

A NuSTAR view of SS433

Precessional evolution of the jet-disk system

F. A. Fogantini^{1,2}, F. García^{1,2}, J. A. Combi^{1,2,3}, S. Chaty⁴, J. Martí⁵, and P. L. Luque Escamilla³

- ¹ Instituto Argentino de Radioastronomía (CONICET; CICPBA), C.C. No 5, 1894 Villa Elisa, Argentina e-mail: fafogantini@iar.unlp.edu.ar
- ² Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque, B1900FWA La Plata, Argentina
- ³ Departamento de Ingeniería Mecánica y Minera (EPSJ), Universidad de Jaén, Campus Las Lagunillas s/n Ed. A3, 23071 Jaén, Spain
- ⁴ Université Paris Cité, CNRS, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, 75013 Paris, France
- ⁵ Departamento de Física (EPSJ), Universidad de Jaén, Campus Las Lagunillas s/n, A3, 23071 Jaén, Spain

Received 2 May 2022 / Accepted 13 October 2022

ABSTRACT

Context. SS433 is a Galactic microquasar with powerful outflows (double jet, accretion disk and winds) with a well-known orbital, precessional, and nutational period.

Aims. In this work we characterise different outflow parameters throughout the precessional cycle of the system.

Methods. We analysed ten *NuSTAR* (3-70 keV) observations of $\sim 30 \text{ ks}$ that span ~ 1.5 precessional cycles. We extracted averaged spectra and modelled them using a combination of a double thermal jet model (bjet) and pure neutral and relativistic reflection (xillverCp and relxilllpCp) over an accretion disk.

Results. We find an average jet bulk velocity of $\beta = v/c \sim 0.29$ with an opening angle of ≤ 6 deg. Eastern jet kinetic power ranges from 1 to 10^{39} erg s⁻¹, with base 'coronal' temperatures T_0 ranging from between 14 and 18 keV. Nickel-to-iron abundances remain constant at ~9 (within 1σ). The western to eastern jet-flux ratio becomes ~ 1 on intermediate phases, which is about 35% of the total precessional orbit. The 3–70 keV total unabsorbed luminosity of the jet and disk ranges from 2 to 20×10^{37} erg s⁻¹, with the disk reflection component mainly contributing to the hard 20–30 keV excess and the stationary 6.7 keV ionised Fe line complex. *Conclusions.* At low opening angles Θ , we find that the jet expands sideways following an adiabatic expansion of a gas with tem-

perature $T_{\rm o}$. Finally, the central source and lower parts of the jet could be hidden by an optically thick region of $\tau > 0.1$ and size $R \sim N_{\rm H}/n_{\rm e0} \sim 1.5 \times 10^9$ cm $\sim 1700 r_{\rm g}$ for $M_{\rm BH} = 3 M_{\odot}$.

Key words. X-rays: individuals: SS433 - X-rays: binaries - stars: jets - stars: winds, outflows

1. Introduction

SS433 is a Galactic eclipsing X-ray binary (XRB) system which is a member of the microquasar class (Margon 1984; Mirabel & Rodríguez 1998). It is composed of an A-type supergiant star and either an accreting neutron star or a black hole (Kubota et al. 2010; Robinson et al. 2017), with the nature of its compact object still being controversial, on a circular orbit with an orbital period of 13.1 days (Fabrika 2004). It seems to be located at a distance of 5.5 ± 0.2 kpc (Lockman et al. 2007), a value that is consistent with the recent geometric parallax from the *Gaia* satellite ($4.6^{+1.9}_{-1}$ kpc at 1σ ; Lindegren et al. 2016). Jets in SS433 are its more prominent feature. They are the

Jets in SS433 are its more prominent feature. They are the most powerful ones known in the Galaxy with luminosities of $L_{jet} \gtrsim 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Marshall et al. 2002), and they were discovered first for a compact Galactic source (Abell & Margon 1979; Fabian & Rees 1979). They are ejected at a mildly relativistic velocity of $v \sim 0.26c$ (Margon & Anderson 1989). It is remarkable that baryons are present in these jets, with SS433, along with 4U 1630–47, being the only two Galactic XRBs in which baryonic jets have been observed (Kotani et al. 1994; Díaz et al. 2013). X-ray emission lines from ionised heavy elements have

been detected (Margon & Anderson 1989; Marshall et al. 2002), and they are associated with adiabatic expansion and radiative losses of hot and dense blobs of gas propagating outwards from the compact source and following the jet precessional motion. Multi-wavelength observations of the SS433 outflow reveal a consistent scheme of symmetric jet flow once Doppler boosting and projection effects are taken into account (Roberts et al. 2010; Bell et al. 2011; Martí et al. 2018), with adiabatic losses playing a major role in the jet emission and following a path accurately described by a kinematic model (Hjellming & Johnston 1981; Margon & Anderson 1989). Using archival data from the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) observatory, Martí et al. (2018) confirmed that the energy losses of radiating electrons in the jet are dominated by adiabatic expansion instead of synchrotron radiative losses.

Precession of the jet in SS433 has been extensively studied at different wavelengths for decades. Apart from its apparent shape, it was observed in both the Doppler-shifted X-ray with the EXOSAT satellite (Watson et al. 1986) and optical (Margon et al. 1979) emission lines, from which precessional parameters could be determined. The exhaustive monitoring of the source led to the obtention of its power spectrum, thus

Open Access article, published by EDP Sciences, under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. This article is published in open access under the Subscribe-to-Open model. Subscribe to A&A to support open access publication.

allowing a time-series analysis which has resulted in SS433 being the only XRB with a measured orbital, precessional, and nutational period thus far (Eikenberry et al. 2001).

Medvedev et al. (2018) studied SS433 on the X-ray domain using data from *Chandra* to describe the hard component of the spectra by including a hot extension of the jets, which is optically thick to low energy photons (E < 3 keV), but progressively optically thinner to higher energy photons. This serves as a source of high dense absorption to the central source and lower parts of the jets, as well as an up-scattering component of soft photons emitted by the visible part of the jets.

Although SS433 has been extensively studied in the X-ray domain, data from the *NuSTAR* satellite have not been completely exploited yet. The *NuSTAR* observatory operates up to very hard X-ray energies (3 to 79 keV) with spectral resolutions of 0.4 keV at 10 keV and 0.9 keV at 68 keV. The combination of emission line spectroscopy with the study of hard X-ray continuum emission should thus provide a more detailed description of SS433.

In this article we present a spectral analysis of a publiclyavailable dataset consisting of ten *NuSTAR* observations of SS433, performed between October 2014 and July 2015. The paper is structured as follows: we present the observations and data reduction in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we show the results of our X-ray spectral analysis in the context of a kinematic model for the SS433 precessing jet. Finally, in Sects. 4 and 5 we draw and present our main conclusions derived from our results.

In a recent paper, Middleton et al. (2021) have also exploited the same *NuSTAR* dataset. In their work, they focussed on the analysis of the time-resolved covariant spectrum, as well as the associated frequency- and energy-dependent time lags, which they used to constrain physical properties of the accretion regime, associated with different scenarios for SS433. In our work, we analyse the complete dataset of ten observations, without disregarding any of them, and we focus on the timeaveraged, or stationary spectra, which we used to derive geometrical and physical properties of SS433 using detailed jet and disk-reflection models, in the context of their mutual precessional motion.

2. Data analysis

NuSTAR observed SS433 ten times between modified Julian dates (MJD) 56934 and 57207 with typical exposures of 20–30 ks in the 0.2–0.3 orbital phase range, spanning over roughly one and a half precessional periods of the source. Details of the observational dataset are given in Table 1. Observations ID span from 30002041002 to 30002041020. From now on, we shorten their Obs names to the last two digits for simplicity. Due to the triggered read-out mechanism of *NuSTAR*, the spectra derived for a source as bright as SS433 have a great signal-to-noise ratio and are safe from pileup.

We processed the data obtained with the two focal plane modules (FPMA and FPMB; Harrison et al. 2013), using the *NuSTAR* Data Analysis Software (NUSTARDAS) available via the HEASOFT v6.28 package. The observation files were reduced with the NUPIPELINE tool using CALDB v.20200429. We generated source and background spectra, as well as the ancillary and response matrices for each observation using the NUPRODUCTS script. We extracted photons in circular regions of 50–70 arcsec centred at the centroid of the source and of 70–100 arcsec for the background, using the same chip, in regions that were not contaminated by the source. The X-ray spectral analysis was performed using XSPEC (Arnaud 1996) considering the 3–70 keV energy range, as we did not detect significant emission from the source over the background level at higher energies.

In order to filter the southern Atlantic anomaly (SAA) passages, we applied different criteria depending on the individual observation reports¹. We also performed the standard analysis SAAMODE=NONE and TENTACLE=NO and checked the dependence of our results on this filtering. For each observation, we found that the spectral parameters were consistent within the errors. We performed a similar check by considering two different spectral backgrounds, and we obtained consistent results.

For Obs10, the total exposure of Science Mode 01 was about 3 ks. We thus reduced the Spacecraft Mode 06 data by means of the standard splitter task NUSPLITSC. Using the camera head unit CHU12 combination in STRICT mode, we obtained an enhanced exposure of 12.59 ks, and we used this dataset for the spectral analysis.

In Table 1 we show the ten observations and their characteristics including the operating mode; MJD date; final GTI exposure; precessional, nutational, and orbital phases; as well as the SAA parameters used for GTI filtering and the extraction radii for the spectral analysis. Phases were calculated based on the ephemeris of Eikenberry et al. (2001) and include their corresponding intervals according to their exposure time fraction.

3. Results

3.1. Model setup

In order to investigate the spectral X-ray variability of SS433 along the ten *NuSTAR* observations, we propose the same spectral model for the whole set of averaged spectra, with similar Galactic absorption, jet, and accretion disk components. In particular, we consider a double neutral Galactic absorption model tbabs with abundances from Anders & Grevesse (1989) and Balucinska-Church & McCammon (1992) cross sections. We fixed the Galactic absorption parameter throughout our entire spectral analysis to a value of $N_{\rm H} = 0.67 \times 10^{22} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$ (Marshall et al. 2002; Namiki et al. 2003), while leaving local absorption free. In XSPEC this double absorption component reads tbabs *tbabs.

To account for cross-calibration uncertainties between both *NuSTAR* instruments FPMA and FPMB, we included a constant factor between each spectrum (constant model in XSPEC). We checked that this constant remains in the 3% level for all the epochs, which is inside the expected 0–5% range (Madsen et al. 2015). The spectra were grouped to a minimum of 30 counts per bin to properly use χ^2 statistics. Throughout this paper, we quote parameter uncertainties to a 90% confidence level, which was computed using the XSPEC CHAIN task with the Goodman-Weare algorithm and 360 walkers (20 times the number of free parameters).

To check for convergence of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, we visually inspected the chains of each parameter and determined the most appropriate number of burnin steps in order to obtain uncorrelated series for the parameters of interest. We corroborated this method by computing the integrated autocorrelation time associated with each series, and verified that it remained as close to unity as possible (see documentation² on the PYTHON-EMCEE package for more details).

¹ SAA reports http://www.srl.caltech.edu/NuSTAR_Public/ NuSTAROperationSite/SAA_Filtering/SAA_Filter.php

² https://emcee.readthedocs.io/en/stable/tutorials/ autocorr/

Table 1. NuSTAR observations of SS433.

Obs	Mode	MJD	Exp. [ks]	Ψ_{orb}	Ψ_{pre}	Ψ_{nut}	SAA parameters	Src/Bkg radii
02	01	56934.13	26.7	0.28	0.69	0.74	Strict – Yes	50'' / 100''
04	01	56960.35	25.3	0.28	0.85	0.91	Strict – Yes	50'' / 100''
06	01	56973.40	29.2	0.28	0.93	0.99	Strict – Yes	70′′′ / 70′′
08	01	56986.44	27.8	0.28	0.02	0.06	Strict – Yes	70'' / 70''
10	06	56999.55	12.6	0.28	0.10	0.14	Strict – Yes	60'' / 85''
12	01	57077.93	21.4	0.27	0.58	0.61	Strict – Yes	70'' / 70''
14	01	57092.04	26.2	0.35	0.66	0.85	Strict - Yes	70'' / 70''
16	01	57104.74	29.5	0.32	0.74	0.87	Strict - Yes	70'' / 70''
18	01	57130.75	27.4	0.31	0.91	0.01	Strict – Yes	70'' / 70''
20	01	57208.00	26.6	0.21	0.38	0.30	Strict – Yes	70′′ / 70′′

Notes. The column Obs contains shortened names for ObsIDs 300020410##. Modes 01 and 06 correspond to science and spacecraft modes, respectively. Southern Atlantic anomaly (SAA) parameters, as well as source and background extraction radii are also included. Orbital (Ψ_{orb}), precessional (Ψ_{pre}), and nutational phases (Ψ_{nut}) were calculated based on the ephemeris of Eikenberry et al. (2001).

We found that a total length of 1.2×10^7 with a burn-in phase of 6×10^6 was sufficient for all ten observations to reach convergence.

In Fig. A.1 we show an example of a parameter chain series with the computed, integrated autocorrelation time τ .

To represent the X-ray emission from the jet in SS433, we considered a spectral model developed by Khabibullin et al. (2016). We adopted the SS433 flavour which has the jet opening angle and bulk velocity fixed at $\Theta = 0.024$ rad and $\beta = 0.2615$, respectively. This table model depends on the jet kinetic luminosity L_k , the jet base temperature T_0 , and the electron transverse opacity τ_{e0} at the base of the jet. The model also includes iron and nickel abundances. By considering a distance of $d_s = 5.5$ kpc (Blundell & Bowler 2004), the model normalisation can be expressed as $N = L_{38}\tau_e/d_{10}^2$, where $L_{38} = L_k/10^{38}$ erg s⁻¹ and $d_{10} = d_s/10$ kpc.

To account for the western jet contribution, we included a second additive table model multiplied by an attenuation factor $C_{\text{west}}^{\text{jet}}$ (constant in XSPEC). The western jet parameters were linked to that of the eastern jet. Both table models were loaded in the XSPEC environment by means of the atable command. To account for the precessional motion of the jet (Doppler shifting and boosting), we included a convolution model (zashift in XSPEC) to each table model. A Gaussian smoothing component gsmooth (with index $\alpha = 1$) was also added to take the broadening of the emission lines into account, which was caused by the gas expansion of the ballistic jet. Therefore, both jet X-ray spectra were modelled by zashift*bjet+constant*zashift*bjet, in XSPEC language.

To account for the accretion disk emission, we included a linear combination of direct thermal emission from a black-body spectrum (diskbb), which significantly contributes at energies below 5 keV, and pure-reflected neutral (xillverCp; García et al. 2013) and relativistic-emission spectra (relxilllpCp; Dauser et al. 2014). For both of the latter components, we chose to use the coronal flavours (Cp). In the relativistic case, we chose the lamp post geometry (lp). These reflection components contribute both to the ionised iron clomplex at ~6.7 keV, and to the hard excess at 20–30 keV through the Compton hump.

In summary, the complete disk emission spectrum was modelled by diskbb+xillverCp+relxilllpCp. The free parameters are the following: the temperature kT_{dbb} and the normalisation of the black-body component N_{dbb} ; the incident

photon spectrum index Γ , the ionisation degree ξ , the inclination angle ϕ , and normalisation of the **xillverCp** component; and the source height *h* above the disk of the **relxilllpCp** component. The reflection fraction was set to -1 in order to obtain only the reflected spectrum. The iron abundance and coronal temperature of the **xillverCp** component were tied to their respective analogues of the bjet components. All identical parameters of both reflection components were tied together. The remaining parameters were left frozen to their default values.

The resulting best-fitting parameters of the entire model are shown in Table A.1. In Fig. A.2 we show a simplified picture of the SS433 jet-disk system, indicating each model contribution to the total X-ray spectra.

3.2. Broadband description

In the left panels of Fig. 1, we show the spectra for observations #14 ($\psi_{pre} \sim 0.71$) and #08 ($\psi_{pre} \sim 0.06$) and their best fits along with their residuals. These two examples show two very different instances of precessional motion. Observation #14 has both jets at similar Doppler shifts and thus shows overlapping emission lines (unresolvable by *NuSTAR*). Observation #08 has the eastern and western jet at opposing Doppler shifts, and thus shows iron and nickel emission lines perfectly resolvable by *NuSTAR* (dashed and dot-dashed lines). We also clearly see the different disk component contribution (dotted lines) at very soft energies (E < 5 keV; diskbb), the Fe K α line (~6.4 keV; xillverCp), and the harder (E>20 keV) reflected component (both xillverCp and relxilllpCp).

The soft energy range of the *NuSTAR* spectra (E < 10 keV) is dominated by the contribution of one or both jets and the thermal disk component. In highly blue-shifted phases ($\psi_{\text{pre}} < 0.2$ and $\psi_{\text{pre}} > 0.8$), the western jet contribution to the total flux seems to be ~0.1–0.3 times that of the eastern jet, as modelled by the attenuation factor. During the in-between phases, when the merging of emission lines starts to occur, the western jet contributes significantly more, with factors ranging from 0.6 to 1.

The absorption column density does not seem to vary significantly among the different precessional phases. It stays somewhat high and constant at an average value of 12×10^{22} cm⁻². We must note that the *NuSTAR* lower energy detection limit of 3 keV does not allow for this parameter to be constrained better. Furthermore, the black-body component also dominates at very low energies, so the absorption column and black-body parameters



Fig. 1. Spectral behaviour of SS433 on two distinct precessional phases. Left column: sample of *NuSTAR* FPMA/B averaged spectra fitted with a combination of bjet, diskbb, xillverCp, and relxilllpCp. The dashed line corresponds to the eastern jet model component. The dot-dashed line corresponds to the western jet model component. Dotted lines correspond to the different disk model components. Right column: one- and two-dimensional distribution of some continuum model parameters derived from the MCMC simulations. The outward colour gradient indicates the following confidence levels: 90%, 99%, 99.9%, and 99.99%. See Table A.1 for details on parameters units.

(temperature and normalisation) are tightly correlated (see the left panel of Fig. 2).

Using the thermal diskbb component, we get an inner temperature that ranges from approximately 0.36–0.42 keV. This model normalisation N_{diskbb} can be used to estimate the inner disk radius $N_{\text{diskbb}} = (f_c R_{\text{diskbb}}/d_{10})^2 \cos \phi$, where $f_c = 1.7$ is the colour-temperature correction factor (Kubota et al. 1998), and ϕ is the angle between the normal to the disk and the line of sight. As shown in the bottom left panel of Fig. 2, we see that this parameter remains very well constrained between 1 and 5×10^7 cm (10–60 r_g for $M_{\text{BH}} = 3 M_{\odot}$).

As already mentioned in the previous section, the bjet model normalisation can be expressed in terms of L_k and τ_{e0} by fixing a distance to the source. We constrained the value

(Khabibullin et al. 2016) transverse to the outflow axis. We report the best bjet parameters in the right panel of Fig. 3. The jet kinetic luminosity ranges from between 1 and 10 times the Eddington luminosity ($\sim 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$), with higher values at extreme precessional phases. The temperature at the base of the jet (where it becomes visible in X-rays) ranges from 12 to 18 keV (within errors), averaging $\sim 15 \text{ keV}$. The base electron optical depth ranges from between ~ 0.1 and its maximum accessible value of 0.5.

of $L_k * \tau_{e0}$ and used it as a measure of the jet kinetic power

We notice the nickel overabundance with respect to iron already reported in Medvedev et al. (2018). The nickel-to-iron abundance ratio varies from between 5 and 15, being highest during the intermediate phases. Although an apparent precessional motion of this ratio can be seen (bottom right panel of Fig. 3), it can be thought to be constant at ~ 9 within 1σ .

The disk continuum parameters show a more intricate behaviour. The incident power-law index ranges from between 1.6 and 2, and it has the lowest value of ~1.4 for observation #02. It becomes harder towards intermediate phases, pointing to a weaker jet-dominated state. It is interesting to note that the spectral index of the pexmon component used by Middleton et al. (2021) to fit the average spectra, which was tied across all eight observations, is considerably harder than the one found in the fits to the covariance spectrum (~1.4 and ~2.2, respectively). Our results lie between these two boundaries, which shows that our precessional analysis is compatible with the fits to the timeresolved covariance spectra.

Both ionisation degree ξ and inclination angle ϕ do not seem to follow any particular precessional behaviour, but instead they seem anti-correlated somehow. At lower inclination angles, the ionisation degree increases. This may indicate that at lower (higher) inclinations, we see more (less) of the inner and hotter regions of the accretion disk, and thus this is seen as a higher (lower) ionisation degree of the reflecting material.

Lastly, the illuminating source height *h* ranges (within errors) from between 0.2 and 9×10^7 cm (3–100 r_g for $M_{\rm BH} = 3 M_{\odot}$), taking lower values (with lower relative errors) towards extreme phases. This effect might be related to the fact that the more edge-on the accretion disk is seen, the weaker the contribution is to the total flux from reflection, and thus, the most important the contribution is of direct emission. This makes the height parameter more difficult to constrain.

In the right column of Fig. 1, we present the triangle plot of observations #14 and #08, where the diagonal subplots represent the one-dimensional distribution (histogram) of each parameter derived from the MCMC chains. The remaining subplots contain the two-dimensional distribution of values of the *i*th column parameter with the *j*th row parameter. Colours indicate different confidence levels: 90% (red), 99% (green), and 99.9% (blue). For better display purposes, we show only a subset of the parameters. The top label above each parameter histogram indicates the relevant parameter name and its best fit value with the 90% confidence level error range. We also included a table of units for clarity.

3.3. Flux evolution and hardness

To further investigate the spectral contributions of the jet and the accretion disk along the precessional motion, we calculated each model's unabsorbed flux (using the cflux convolution model in XSPEC) in two different bands: soft, 3-10 keV; and hard, 10-70 keV. In the left panel of Fig. 4, we show the precessional evolution of both defined bands for each model component. We only show the 'eastern' bjet component, as the remaining 'western' one would be the same multiplied by the attenuation factor. We also show the total flux for reference.

The eastern jet component dominates and contributes from 30% up to 65% of the total observed flux depending on the precessional phase. The thermal disk component contributes $\sim 2\%$ almost independently of the phase to the total flux.

In intermediate phases, where the total flux is reduced by a third, the contribution of both jet dominates, while the contribution of the disk comes almost equally from xillverCp and relxilllpCp components. In this phase (0.15–0.85), the disk components contribute up to 30% of the total flux.

For extreme phases, the total flux is distributed as follows: 65% is from the disk (considering the three components) and

45% is from both jets (mainly the eastern jet). Moreover, the neutral reflection component almost has the same flux as the eastern jet component. This could be attributed, in part, to the beaming effect produced by the particular orientation of the system on these phases.

Lastly, we note a similar precessional behaviour between the jet and disk measured fluxes, the attenuation factor, and the illuminating source height. The more edge-on the accretion disk is, the less contribution to total flux from reflection there is, and thus, the more important the contribution is of the direct emission. In these precessional phases, the western jet emission becomes significant as well.

When looking at the spectral distribution within energy bands shown in the right panel of Fig. 4, we see a clear difference between systems. By defining the hardness as the ratio between the measured fluxes of 10–70 keV (hard) to 3–10 keV (soft), we see that the jet component is purely soft X-ray dominated, while the disk components (without the thermal diskbb) is purely hard X-ray dominated. We also note that as the total flux increases, every component tends to have a hardness ratio of 1. Inversely, as total flux decreases, the jet becomes softer and the disk harder.

As a final remark, we note that the total bjet unabsorbed luminosity in the 3–70 keV band (assuming a distance of 5.5 kpc), ranges from 0.2 to 2×10^{38} erg s⁻¹. These values are approximately 2–10 times that of the measured kinetic luminosities (see Fig. 3). For the intermediate phases, the ratio between these two kinds of luminosities is the greatest.

4. Discussion

4.1. Precessing lines and kinematic model

From the obtained values of both jet redshifts Z and the broadening factor of the lines Σ , we used the kinematic model equations in order to compute the bulk velocity of matter across the jet, $\beta_{jet} = v/c$. This is the angle sustained by the eastern jet with respect to the observer ϕ and the half opening angle Θ of the jet.

We define z_e and z_w as the respective eastern and western jet redshifts. Then, by assuming perfect alignment between jets and equal velocities, we have the following:

$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{(1 + z_0)^2}} \text{ with } z_0 = \frac{z_e + z_w}{2}.$$
 (1)

By application of kinematic equations (see Cherepashchuk et al. 2018 for a full set of equations), we can express the angle between the jet axes and the line of sight in terms of both jet redshifts:

$$\cos \phi = \frac{z_{\rm w} - z_{\rm e}}{2\gamma\beta} \text{ with } \gamma = 1 + z_{\rm o} \text{ the Lorentz factor.}$$
(2)

Lastly, by considering the line profiles to be Gaussians with dispersion Σ (E_o) (with E_o being the line centroid at rest), we can estimate the jet half opening angle (Marshall et al. 2002):

$$\Theta = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log 2}{3}} \frac{2}{\gamma \beta \sin \phi} \frac{\Sigma(E_{\rm o})}{E_{\rm o}}.$$
(3)

The application of these three equations can be seen in the left panel of Fig. 3.

Overall, we get an average bulk velocity factor $\beta \sim 0.29$, and with values (and errors) that increase towards extreme phases.



Fig. 2. Precessional evolution of different parameters of the disk components. Left panel, top to bottom: local absorption column, diskbb inner radius temperature, and inner radius. Right panel, top to bottom: incident spectral index, ionisation degree, inclination angle, and source height.



Fig. 3. Precessional evolution of bjet and system parameters derived from the 3–70 keV fit to *NuSTAR* spectra. Left panel, top to bottom: Bulk velocity factor $\beta = v/c$, jet inclination angle ϕ , jet opening angle Θ , and western jet attenuation factor. Right panel, top to bottom: jet kinetic luminosity weighted by opacity $L_k \times \tau_{e0}$ (10³⁸ erg s⁻¹), jet base temperature T_o , and ratio of nickel-to-iron abundances.

This effect comes from the fact that at higher redshift, the western jet redshift becomes harder to constrain, as its flux becomes significantly lower than the eastern jet, and it competes with the thermal diskbb and the reflection components. For comparison, the reference value obtained from decades of optical data is ~0.26 (Cherepashchuk et al. 2018).

From the inclination angle, we can derive estimates for the mean inclination of the system and the precession angle that the jet sustain with respect to the axis of rotation. By fitting a linear function to the second half of precessional phases (>0.5), we get an inclination of approximately 82 deg and a precession angle of \sim 23 deg, which are in complete agreement with the ephemeris of Eikenberry et al. (2001).

The half opening angle of the jet can range from between 1 up to 6 degrees, with lower values (but greater relative errors) on intermediate phases (0.15–0.85). This comes from the fact that during these phases, the width of the emission lines becomes harder to constrain as they start to overlap, and with *NuSTAR*'s resolution they cannot be resolved separately.

An interesting result comes from comparing the expansion velocity of the jet perpendicular to the jet axis ($\beta \sin \Theta$), as well as the sound speed in the rest frame of the flowing gas

$$\beta_{\rm s} = v_{\rm s}/c = \sqrt{\frac{5kT_{\rm o}}{3\mu(1+X)m_{\rm p}c^2}},\tag{4}$$



Fig. 4. Spectral behaviour of the jet and disk model components. Left panel: precessional evolution of the total flux (3–70 keV) of each model component. Right panel: hardness–intensity diagram (soft: 3–10 keV, hard: 10–70 keV). The diskbb (purple) component was only included in the left panel. Error bars appear smaller than the marker size foralmost all data points.



Fig. 5. Relationship between the temperature at the base of the jet and the transversal velocity of matter thought the jet. We plot the expected velocity caused by adiabatic expansion of the jet.

where kT_0 is the measured temperature of the gas at the base



Fig. 6. Precessional evolution of different jet parameters (see text for equations). From top to bottom: jet base height from cone apex, electron density at base height and mass flow rate through the jet.

4.2. Outflow overview

By following Khabibullin et al. (2016), we can estimate the evolution of some of the initial conditions at the base of the jet, using the derived fit parameters. Namely, the height from the jet cone apex where it becomes visible to an observer,

$$r_{\rm o} \sim 1.2 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{cm} \times L_{\rm k} / (\tau_{\rm e0} \beta^3 \Theta), \tag{5}$$

and the electron density at this radius,

$$n_{\rm e0} \sim 1.25 \times 10^{18} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3} \times \tau_{\rm e0}^2 \beta^3 / L_{\rm k}.$$
 (6)

As shown in Fig. 6, we see that the jet base (also referred as the truncation radius) is of the order of 10^{10} cm (~1.1 × $10^4 r_g$ for $M_{\rm BH} = 3 M_{\odot}$), ranging from 0.5 to 5 times this value. By taking

of the jet, m_p is the proton mass, $\mu \sim 0.62$ is the mean molecular weight, and $X = n_i/n_e \sim 0.91$ is the ion-to-electron ratio. We show this relationship in Fig. 5. We note that within errors, the relationship between these parameters holds true for small angles where $\sin \Theta \sim \Theta$. By looking at Fig. 3, we see that this corresponds to observations in intermediate phases (0.15–0.85), where $\Theta < 2 \text{ deg.}$ As suggested by Marshall et al. (2002), this relationship might be physical, which would be interpreted as the jet expanding sideways at the sound speed of plasma at its base.

averaged values of Θ and $r_{\rm o}$, we can estimate the size of the jet base $r_{\rm o}\Theta \sim 5.2 \times 10^8$ cm (~600 $r_{\rm g}$).

The electron density at the jet base $n_{\rm e0}$ ranges from 0.2 to 4×10^{14} cm⁻³. We note that these two quantities follow a simplified version of the continuity equation, with $n_{\rm e0}r_{\rm o}^2 \sim 4 \times 10^{34}$ cm⁻¹ remaining constant throughout the jet.

Finally, we can estimate the mass flow through the jet by combining all of the above quantities:

$$\dot{M} = \mu m_{\rm p} (1+X) n_{\rm e0} \pi r_{\rm o}^2 \Theta^2 \beta c. \tag{7}$$

We show this result in the bottom panel of Fig. 6. We see that the mass flow rate ranges from between 0.4 up to $3 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Assuming a mass of $3 M_{\odot}$ for the compact object (Cherepashchuk et al. 2018), we get a maximum of ~20 times the Eddington mass transfer rate.

For reference, Marshall et al. (2002) obtained a value of $r_{\rm o} \sim 2 \times 10^{10}$ cm, which lies very well between our estimates. Conversely, they obtained a higher upper limit of 4×10^{15} cm⁻³ for the electron density at the jet base, which is almost ten times our upper limit. This gives $n_{e0}r_{\rm o}^2 \sim 1.7 \times 10^{36}$ cm⁻¹, which is ≤ 100 times greater than our estimate.

By taking the maximum measured 3–70 keV bjet luminosity $(2 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$, we can compute the photoionisation degree ξ over the spherical region of size r_o and electron density n_{e0} , log $\xi = \log L_X/(n_{e0}r_o^2) \leq 4$. This means that the illuminating jet power is sufficient to account for the higher ionisation degrees obtained by the reflection components. As already stated by Middleton et al. (2021), the use of more complex reflection models, such as xillverCp and relxill1pCp, provides a more detailed description of the reflecting medium.

The high absorption column obtained in the spectral fits could be associated with a region of the wind around the jets, which separates the visible part from the invisible one. The density of this region provides an appreciable optical depth for photo-absorption, blocking the jet and thermal disk emission at energies below $\sim 10 \text{ keV}$, but, at the same time, being optically thin for electron scattering, and thus partially scattering photons with higher energies.

This concept has already been developed by Medvedev et al. (2018; cwind model), and they estimate that such a condition would require an absorbing column density $N_{\rm H}$ between 15 and 20×10^{22} cm⁻² with an optical depth of the order of 0.1. These estimates are in full agreement with our obtained values for both parameters.

We can estimate the size of this region if we assume that $n_{e0}R \propto N_{\rm H}$, in other words a balance of between neutral hydrogen and free electrons. By taking average values of $N_{\rm H}$ and n_{e0} , we get $R \sim 1.5 \times 10^9$ cm (~1700 $r_{\rm g}$ for $M_{\rm BH} = 3 M_{\odot}$), which is very similar (within errors and approximations) to the accretion disk spherisation radius $R_{\rm sph} \sim 1.8 \times 10^9$ cm ~ 2000 $r_{\rm g}$ where the accretion regime becomes supercritical (Medvedev et al. 2018). This suggests that the absorbing region originates from the combined effect of the high accretion rate, which generates dense gas structures around the compact object in SS433, as well as the supercritical disk winds which effectively scatter the soft ($E < 10 \, \rm keV$) photons.

Middleton et al. (2021) attribute the disk wind cone (Dauser et al. 2017) as being responsible for the lags found at energies up to 9 keV and the hard X-ray excess at 20–30 keV. We framed these results in our scenario by linking the disk wind cone with the combined effect of the reflected spectrum and the central obscuring region.

Specifically, the wind cone model assumes low opening angles (<10 deg) for velocities $\beta \sim 0.2$ –0.4 to show beaming

effects, and a cone height of $10^5 r_g \sim 9 \times 10^{10}$ cm. Both of these model assumptions are in agreement with the values that we found ($\beta \sim 0.28$ –0.32 and $r_o < 10^{11}$ cm).

According to our fitting results, we attribute the reflected spectrum of the accretion disk as being responsible for the hard excess component (see Fig. 4), and make the case for this obscuring region as the wind itself reprocessing Fe XXV and Fe XXVI emission lines (6.7 and 6.97 keV, respectively) and thus shifting them onto higher energies. For this to be possible, we followed calculations by Inoue (2022), who estimated an optical depth of ~1.6 for a compact object of 10 $M_{\rm BH}$ and a radius of 10^{12} cm. If we re-scale by the magnitudes used and obtained in our paper, we find that a lower optical depth of $\tau > 0.02$ is sufficient to account for soft-photon scattering. We find optical depths at the jet base $\tau_{e0} > 0.1$ that satisfy the former condition.

5. Conclusions

We have reported on the analysis of ten *NuSTAR* observations of the Galactic microquasar SS433 that span 1.5 precessional cycles, which were taken from almost the same orbital phase. We modelled the averaged spectra with a combination of two precessing thermal jets (bjet; Khabibullin et al. 2016) and cold (xillverCp; García et al. 2013) and relativistic reflection (xillverCp; Dauser et al. 2014) emission from a black-bodytype accretion disk (diskbb). We also included Doppler shifting (zashift) and broadening (gsmooth) components, as well as local and Galactic absorption (tbabs).

Our main results are summarised as follows:

- 1. Jet bulk velocity ranges from between 0.28 and 0.32c and the jet half opening angle is $\leq 6 \text{ deg.}$
- 2. The bjet kinetic luminosity ranges from between 2 and $20 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, with an average base temperature of ~16 keV and a nickel-to-iron ratio of ~9.
- 3. The western jet relative flux with respect to the eastern jet flux ranges from 0.2 for extreme phases up to ~ 1 for intermediate phases.
- 4. The diskbb component gives an inner disk temperature of $\sim 0.38 \text{ keV}$ with an inner radius of $\leq 30 r_g$.
- 5. The total 3–70 keV luminosity of both jet and disk reflection components range from between 0.2 and 2×10^{38} erg s⁻¹, with the jet being completely soft X-ray dominated (3–10 keV), and the disk reflection components being hard X-ray dominated (10–70 keV).
- 6. We find that at low half opening angles ($\leq 2^{\circ}$), the jet sideways velocity, $\beta \sin \Theta$, can be expressed in terms of the jet base temperature, indicating that it follows an adiabatic expansion regime.
- 7. The unabsorbed jet luminosity $L_X \leq 2 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ is sufficient to account for the high ionisation degrees (log $\xi \leq 4$) obtained from the reflection components.
- 8. The central source and lower parts of the jets could be hidden by an optically thick region of $\tau > 0.1$ and size $R \sim N_{\rm H}/n_{\rm e0} \sim 1.5 \times 10^9$ cm $\sim 1700 r_{\rm g}$ for $M_{\rm BH} = 3 M_{\odot}$.

Future works done with observations of higher spectral and temporal resolutions missions, such as NICER, will give a much more detailed description of the geometry and physics of the accretion flow that takes place on the most inner and closest regions to the compact object in SS433. Moreover, it will provide a better understanding of the current picture we have of accretion flows and outflows on ultra luminous X-ray sources (ULX) and X-ray binaries in general.

Acknowledgements. FAF, JAC and FG acknowledge support by PIP 0113 (CONICET). This work received financial support from PICT-2017-2865 (ANPCyT). FAF is fellow of CONICET. JAC and FG are CONICET researchers. JAC is a María Zambrano researcher fellow funded by the European Union -NextGenerationEU- (UJAR02MZ). JAC, JM, FG and PLLE were also supported by Grant PID2019-105510GB-C32/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación of the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, and by Consejería de Economía, Innovación, Ciencia y Empleo of Junta de Andalucía as research group FQM-322, as well as FEDER funds.

References

- Abell, G. O., & Margon, B. 1979, Nature, 279, 701
- Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 53, 197
- Arnaud, K. A. 1996, ASP Conf. Ser., 101, 17
- Balucinska-Church, M., & McCammon, D. 1992, ApJ, 400, 699
- Bell, M. R., Roberts, D. H., & Wardle, J. F. C. 2011, ApJ, 736, 118
- Blundell, K. M., & Bowler, M. G. 2004, ApJ, 616, L159
- Cherepashchuk, A. M., Esipov, V. F., Dodin, A. V., et al. 2018, Astron. Rep., 62, 747
- Dauser, T., Garcia, J., Parker, M. L., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 444, L100
- Dauser, T., Middleton, M., & Wilms, J. 2017, MNRAS, 466, 2236
- Díaz, Trigo M., Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Migliari, S., Broderick, J. W., & Tzioumis, T. 2013, Nature, 504, 260
- Eikenberry, S. S., Cameron, P. B., Fierce, B. W., et al. 2001, ApJ, 561, 1027
- Fabian, A. C., & Rees, M. J. 1979, MNRAS, 187, 13P
- Fabrika, S. 2004, AdSpRv, 12, 1
- García, J., & Kallman, T. R. 2010, ApJ, 718, 695

- García, J., Kallman, T. R., & Mushotzky, R. F. 2011, ApJ, 731, 131
- García, J., Dauser, T., Reynolds, C. S., et al. 2013, ApJ, 768, 146
- García, J., Dauser, T., Lohfink, A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 782, 76
- Harrison, F. A., Craig, W. W., Christensen, F. E., et al. 2013, ApJ, 770, 103 Hjellming, R. M., & Johnston, K. J. 1981, ApJ, 246, L141
- Inoue, H. 2022, PASJ, 74, 991
- Khabibullin, I., Medvedev, P., & Sazonov, S. 2016, MNRAS, 455, 1414
- Kotani, T., Kawai, N., Aoki, T., et al. 1994, PASJ, 46, L147
- Kubota, A., Tanaka, Y., Makishima, K., et al. 1998, PASJ, 50, 667
- Kubota, K., Ueda, Y., Kawai, N., et al. 2010, PASJ, 62, 323
- Lindegren, L., Lammers, U., Bastian, U., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A4
- Lockman, F. J., Blundell, K. M., & Goss, W. M. 2007, MNRAS, 381, 881
- Madsen, K. K., Harrison, F. A., Markwardt, C. B., et al. 2015, ApJS, 220, 8
- Margon, B., Ford, H. C., Grandi, S. A., & Stone, R. P. S. 1979, ApJ, 233, L63 Margon, B. 1984, ARA&A, 22, 507
- Margon, B., & Anderson, S. F. 1989, ApJ, 347, 448
- Marshall, H. L., Canizares, C. R., & Schulz, N. S. 2002, ApJ, 564, 941
- Martí, J., Bujalance-Fernández, I., Luque-Escamilla, P. L., et al. 2018, A&A,
- 619, A40 Medvedev, P. S., Khabibullin, I. I., Sazonov, S. Y., Churazov, E. M., & Tsygankov, S. S. 2018, Astron. Lett., 44, 390
- Medvedev, P. S., Khabibullin, I. I., & Sazonov, S. Y. 2019, Astron. Lett., 45, 299 Middleton, M. J., Walton, D. J., Alston, W., et al. 2021, MNRAS, 506, 1045
- Mirabel, I. F., & Rodríguez, L. F. 1998, Nature, 392, 673
- Namiki, M., Kawai, N., Kotani, T., & Makishima, K. 2003, PASJ, 55, 281
- Roberts, D. H., Wardle, J. F. C., Bell, M. R., et al. 2010, ApJ, 719, 1918
- Robinson, E. L., Froning, C. S., Jaffe, D. T., et al. 2017, Am. Astron. Soc. Meet. Abstr., 229, 344.01
- Watson, M. G., Stewart, G. C., Brinkmann, W., & King, A. R. 1986, MNRAS, 222, 261

Appendix A: Complementary Material

In Table A.1 we present the complete best-fit parameters with errors reported to a 90% confidence level, which were extracted from MCMC chains of 7×10^6 steps (after burning in the same amount) and obtained using 360 walkers (20 times the number of free parameters). To check for MCMC convergence, we visually inspected the chains of each parameter and determined the most appropriate number of burn-in steps in order to obtain uncorrelated series for the parameters of interest. We corroborated this method by computing the integrated autocorrelation time associated with each series, and verified that it remained as close to unity as possible.

We show an example of a chain 'trace' plot which converged in Figure A.1. The integrated autocorrelation time τ is very close to unity, which serves as an numerical indicator of the chain convergence.

In Figure A.2, we present a schematic picture of the microquasar SS433, where the X-ray emission from the jets and the accretion disk components of our scenario are depicted. We also indicate the different geometrical parameters involved, together with specific physical parameters of the model.



Fig. A.1. Example of a 'trace' plot derived from a MCMC chain. The integrated autocorrelation time τ is close to unity, which serves as an indicator of the chain convergence. The colour gradient indicates the density of data points.

Table A.1.	Complete	model	best f	it paran	neters	and	fit	statistics	5
------------	----------	-------	--------	----------	--------	-----	-----	------------	---

<pre>constant × tbabs × tbabs × (zashift × bjet + constant × zashift × bjet + diskbb + xillverCp + relxilllpCp)</pre>									
Obs	N_H	$\Sigma_{6 \mathrm{keV}}^{\mathrm{jet}}$	$Z_{\text{east}}^{\text{jet}}$	$L_{38} * \tau_{e0}$	T_o	$A_{\rm Fe}^{ m jet}$	$A_{ m Ni}^{ m jet}$	$C_{ m west}^{ m jet}$	$Z_{\rm west}^{\rm jet}$
02	8^{+4}_{-2}	$0.03^{+0.06}_{-0.003}$	$0.008^{+0.003}_{-0.0008}$	10^{+4}_{-1}	$11^{+0.9}_{-1}$	$1.1^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$14^{+2}_{-0.9}$	$0.92^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$0.081^{+0.005}_{-0.001}$
04	12^{+1}_{-2}	$0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	$-0.062^{+0.002}_{-0.001}$	25^{+7}_{-1}	$14^{+0.5}_{-2}$	$1.2^{+0.02}_{-0.1}$	$9^{+0.9}_{-1}$	$0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$0.15^{+0.004}_{-0.005}$
06	$14_{-1}^{+0.7}$	$0.08^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$-0.097^{+0.001}_{-0.0005}$	30^{+10}_{-2}	$18^{+1}_{-0.9}$	$2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	15^{+2}_{-3}	$0.12^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.19_{-0.01}^{+0.007}$
08	$13.2^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$	$0.124^{+0.007}_{-0.005}$	$-0.1059^{+0.0006}_{-0.0005}$	21^{+3}_{-2}	$16.4^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$	$2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	13^{+2}_{-2}	$0.23^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$0.205^{+0.004}_{-0.005}$
10	15^{+2}_{-2} 0.5	$0^{+0.05}_{-0.002}$	$-0.083^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$	$14^{+\tilde{8}}_{-2}$	15^{+1}_{-2}	$2.4^{+0.3}_{-0.9}$	$17^{+\bar{3}}_{-8}$	$0.19^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$0.19^{+0.01}_{-0.008}$
12	$14^{+\bar{2}}_{-3}$	$0.05^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$0.073^{+0.005}_{-0.002}$	8^{+3}_{-04}	$18^{+\bar{3}}_{-3}$	$2.6^{+0.3}_{-0.6}$	29^{+1}_{-6}	$0.9^{+0.1}_{-0.05}$	$0.006^{+0.003}_{-0.001}$
14	11^{+1}_{-3}	$0^{+0.04}_{-0.0005}$	$0.02^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$	11^{+3}_{-1}	13^{+2}_{-1}	$2.4^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	21^{+3}_{-2}	$0.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$0.068^{+0.004}_{-0.001}$
16	12^{+1}_{-1}	$0.04^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$-0.019^{+0.001}_{-0.002}$	17^{+3}_{-2}	$16^{+0.8}_{-1}$	$1.3^{+0.08}_{-0.1}$	12^{+1}_{-1}	$0.69^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$	$0.112^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$
18	$18^{+1}_{-0.8}$	$0.1^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$-0.093^{+0.0005}_{-0.001}$	$27^{+\bar{4}}_{-5}$	$22_{-3}^{+0.9}$	$2.3^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	13^{+2}_{-2}	$0.08^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	$0.2^{+0.009}_{-0.02}$
20	13^{+1}_{-2}	$0.01^{+0.04}_{-0.007}$	$0.073^{+0.003}_{-0.001}$	17^{+2}_{-3}	15^{+2}_{-1}	$1.1_{-0.08}^{+0.2}$	$13^{+\overline{1}}_{-0.8}$	$0.98^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$0.015^{+0.002}_{-0.001}$
Obs	kT _{bb}	N_{bb}	Γ_{in}	$\log \xi$	ϕ	N _{xi}	h	C_{AB}	χ^2 / dof
02	$0.45^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$0.02^{+0.1}_{-0.02}$	$1.4^{+0.1}_{-0.07}$	$2.7^{+0.07}_{-0.2}$	82^{+2}_{-5}	$1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	20^{+70}_{-10}	$1.01^{+0.02}_{-0.004}$	669.52/642
04	$0.39_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$	$1.2^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	$1.9^{+0.04}_{-0.1}$	$2.8_{-0.2}^{+0.04}$	70_{-3}^{+2}	9^{+1}_{-2}	$2.9^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	$1.024^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$	1137.00/1016
06	$0.39^{+0.003}_{-0.02}$	$2.6^{+0.8}_{-0.4}$	$1.71^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$3.6^{+0.05}_{-0.1}$	60^{+6}_{-10}	12^{+2}_{-2}	$2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$	$1.035_{-0.005}^{+0.004}$	1448.34/1333
08	$0.418_{-0.004}^{+0.007}$	$1.3_{-0.1}^{+0.2}$	$1.62_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	$3.47^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	50^{+4}_{-4}	$8.3_{-0.9}^{+0.6}$	$3.7_{-0.7}^{+0.6}$	$1.047^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$	1405.17/1340
10	$0.37^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	$1.8_{-0.6}^{+0.8}$	$1.75_{-0.05}^{+0.03}$	$3.6^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	20^{+20}_{-20}	$1.8^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$	10^{+20}_{-3}	$1.01^{+0.01}_{-0.009}$	686.64/674
12	$0.38_{-0.009}^{+0.02}$	$1.4_{-0.7}^{+0.6}$	$1.7^{+0.06}_{-0.09}$	$3.8_{-0.2}^{+0.1}$	$10^{+\overline{10}}_{-8}$	$1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	9^{+5}_{-2}	$1.042^{+0.007}_{-0.007}$	851.57/894
14	$0.42^{+0.01}_{-0.03}$	$0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$1.7^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$	$3.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	40^{+6}_{-20}	$1.4_{-0.3}^{+0.2}$	20_{-10}^{+40}	$1.03^{+0.003}_{-0.01}$	903.51/864
16	$0.36^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$1.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$	$1.93^{+0.04}_{-0.07}$	$2.67^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	$65_{-2}^{+\overline{3}}$	$4^{+1}_{-0.6}$	6^{+4}_{-2}	$1.055^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$	989.56/987
18	$0.38^{+0.006}_{-0.01}$	$5^{+2}_{-0.8}$	$1.74_{-0.04}^{+0.02}$	$3.6^{+0.04}_{-0.1}$	$20_{-8}^{+\overline{3}0}$	$9^{+2}_{-0.9}$	$2^{+2}_{-0.1}$	$1.022^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$	1383.68/1301
20	$0.36^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$1.9^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$	$1.91^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$	$2.7^{+0.04}_{-0.1}$	60^{+6}_{-6}	$4^{+1}_{-0.6}$	10^{+20}_{-7}	$1.015^{+0.004}_{-0.007}$	944.15/929

 N_H : local absorption column density in 10^{22} cm⁻² units. Σ_{6keV}^{jet} : Gaussian smoothing factor at E = 6 keV in eV units. $Z_{east}^{jet}, Z_{west}^{jet}$: eastern and western jet redshifts. C_{west}^{jet} : western jet attenuation factor. $L_{38} * \tau_{e0}$: jet kinetic luminosity weighted by electron transverse opacity in 10^{38} erg/s units. T_o : jet base temperature in keV units. $A_{Fe}^{jet}, A_{Ni}^{jet}$: jet iron and nickel abundances in solar units. kT_{bb} : diskbb temperature in keV units. N_{bb} : diskbb temperature in keV units. N_{bb} : diskbb normalisation (×10⁴). Γ_{in} : xillverCp incident powerlaw index. log ξ : xillverCp ionisation degree. ϕ : xillverCp inclination angle in degree units. h: relxill1pCp illuminating source height in gravitational radii units. N_{xi} : xillverCp (equal to relxill1pCp) normalisation (×10⁻⁴). C_{AB} : FPMA/B cross correlation factor.

A&A 669, A149 (2023)



Fig. A.2. Schematic view of SS433. Each model component is indicated, with the most relevant parameters of the system.