Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# ELSEVIER





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcv

# First WHO International Reference Panel containing hepatitis B virus genotypes A–G for assays of the viral DNA

M. Chudy<sup>a</sup>, K.-M. Hanschmann<sup>a</sup>, J. Kress<sup>a</sup>, S. Nick<sup>a</sup>, R. Campos<sup>b</sup>, U. Wend<sup>c</sup>, W. Gerlich<sup>c</sup>, C.M. Nübling<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Section of Molecular Virology, Langen, Germany

<sup>b</sup> University of Buenos Aires, School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>c</sup> Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Institute for Medical Virology, Giessen, Germany

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 June 2012 Received in revised form 9 August 2012 Accepted 16 August 2012

Keywords: HBV genotypes HBV DNA HBV NAT IVD Validation Calibration

# ABSTRACT

*Background:* WHO International Standards (IS) are provided for the calibration and validation of diagnostic and screening assays, e.g. for hepatitis B virus (HBV). HBV forms numerous subgenotypes and the current IS for HBV DNA reflects subgenotype A2.

*Objective:* A reference panel with the most prevalent subgenotypes should facilitate evaluation of genotype-specific detection efficiencies.

*Study design*: 215 HBV positive plasma samples collected worldwide were characterized for HBV markers and sequenced. Fifteen subgenotype A1, A2, B2, B4, C2, D1, D3, E, F2 and G samples were selected for the panel. The lyophilized samples were tested in parallel with the IS in an international collaborative study with 16 laboratories using 13 different nucleic acid amplification techniques (NATs).

*Results:* Eight of 13 NAT had a HBV DNA detection efficiency which was independent of the genotype and consistent with the IS, while with five assays, certain deviations were noted, particularly with genotype F which was under quantitated or even missed by three assays. The panel was accepted by the WHO as the "1st WHO International Reference Panel for HBV Genotypes for HBV NAT-Based Assays".

*Conclusions:* The evaluation of HBV DNA assays should include many different genotypes. The WHO Reference Panel is universally available for manufacturers of HBV DNA assays, diagnostic laboratories and control authorities to facilitate standardized validation of HBV genotype specific detection efficiency of both diagnostic (quantitative and qualitative) and screening NAT assays.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Background

Sensitive screening and accurate diagnostic assays play a crucial role in the prevention and management of HBV associated diseases. Sensitive HBV DNA assays by nucleic acid amplification techniques (NATs) detect more infected subjects in the early and in the low level chronic infection phase when compared to highly sensitive immune assays for HBsAg.<sup>1,2</sup> Over the past years HBV NAT has been increasingly introduced in different countries into the screening programmes for blood donors.<sup>3</sup> Highly sensitive detection of HBV DNA by NAT is also important for the detection of occult HBV infection, for the early detection of re-activating HBV infection under immune suppression, and for the decision on a potential discontinuation of long-term antiviral therapy.<sup>4–6</sup> Quantitative HBV DNA assays are required for the estimation of infectivity of an HBV infected subject, and for the decision on whether an antiviral therapy is indicated according to HBV treatment guidelines.<sup>6,7</sup>

Due to the importance of HBV DNA as a screening and diagnostic marker, the first WHO International Standard (IS) for HBV DNA (97/746) was established in 1999 followed by the subsequent replacement standards, the 2nd WHO IS for HBV DNA (97/750) and the 3rd WHO IS (10/264). All these were derived from the same plasma of one highly viremic HBV carrier.<sup>8,9</sup> HBV strains from different regions of the world differ in their genomic sequence. Eight HBV genotypes (A-H) have been defined so far with >8% intergenotype nucleotide divergence over the entire viral genome.<sup>10</sup> Some of the HBV genotypes are further differentiated into subgenotypes characterized by >4% intra-genotype divergence. The IS preparations are subgenotype A2 which is mainly prevalent in Western Europe and North America. However, on a global basis, this genotype represents only 1% of the HBV infected population. Currently available NAT assays target different regions of the HBV genome, e.g. the preS-, S-, core- or X-gene region. Despite the choice of conserved sequences for primers and probes, not all

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Section of Molecular Virology, Paul-Ehrlich-Strasse 51-59, D-63225 Langen, Germany. Tel.: +49 6103 77 3304; fax: +49 6103 77 1280.

E-mail address: nuemi@pei.de (C.M. Nübling).

<sup>1386-6532/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2012.08.013

assays have been equally reliable in collaborative studies in the past.<sup>11</sup>

# 2. Objectives

During the 'WHO Consultation on Global Measurement Standards and their use in the in vitro Biological Diagnostic Field' in June 2004 concern was raised that NAT test kits for the detection of HBV DNA or test systems for the detection of HBsAg might be less efficient for some HBV genotypes other than genotype A2.<sup>12</sup> The Paul-Ehrlich-Institut (PEI) in Germany, as one of the three WHO Collaborating Centres involved in the Biological Standardization Programme for in vitro diagnostics (IVDs), proposed in collaboration with the German Reference Laboratory for Hepatitis B and D in Giessen projects to establish WHO International Biological Reference Panels for HBV DNA and for HBsAg representing different subgenotypes of HBV.

# 3. Study design

# 3.1. Human plasma samples

HBsAg and HBV DNA high titre plasma units were collected worldwide. In total, 215 potential candidate materials were kindly received from: H. Yoshizawa and J. Tanaka, Hiroshima University, Japan; E. Zhiburt, Federal Blood Center, Moscow, Russia; E. Sabino and M. Otani, Fundação Pró-Sangue Homocentro de São Paulo, Brazil; W. Gerlich, Institute of Medical Virology, University Giessen, Germany; M. Schmidt, German Red Cross Frankfurt/Main, Germany; M. Cheraghali and H. Abolghasemi, Iranian Blood Transfusion Organization, Tehran, Iran; and W. Sykes and D. Watts, South African National Blood Service, Durban, South Africa.

# 3.2. NAT assays for characterization of HBV plasma samples

HBV DNA in the plasma specimens was initially quantitated using four different CE-marked NAT assays: Cobas AmpliPrep/Cobas TaqMan HBV Test, Cobas Amplicor HBV Test (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), Abbott RealTime HBV assay (Abbott GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany), and *artus* HBV LC PCR Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The arithmetic mean value was taken for further calculations. The plasma samples were checked for the absence of HCV RNA and HIV-1 RNA using the Procleix HIV-1/HCV Assay (GenProbe, San Diego, USA). HBV genotyping was performed by using the INNO-LiPA HBV Genotyping kit (Innogenetics N.V., Gent, Belgium) and checked by sequence analysis (see below).

# 3.3. Serological assays for characterization of HBV plasma samples

Quantitative HBsAg determination was performed using Architect HBsAg L/N 6C36 (Abbott GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany). The status of anti-HBc, anti-HBe and anti-HBs was determined using the Architect system (Architect Anti-HBc II L/N 8L44, ARCHITECT Anti-HBe, L/N 6C34 and ARCHITECT Anti-HBs, L/N 7C18), and HBeAg was determined with Elecsys HBeAg (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Potential coinfection with HDV was determined by using the Murex anti-Delta (total) (Abbott GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany).

# 3.4. HBV sequence analysis

For sequence analysis primers PreS1 sense (2816–2835; 5' GTCACCATATTCTTGGGAAC 3') and S6 antisense (997–973; 5'

### Table 1

| Participants in | ı the | international | colla | borative | study |
|-----------------|-------|---------------|-------|----------|-------|
|                 |       |               |       |          |       |

| Scientist              | Affiliation                                       |
|------------------------|---|
| J. Chen/L. Wong        | Siemens Clinical Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA    |
| M. Chudy/C.M. Nübling  | Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen, Germany            |
| T. Grewing/M. Rudolph  | Qiagen GmbH, Hamburg, Germany                     |
| O. Hsu/Y. Shih-Chieh   | General Biologicals Corp., HsinChu, Taiwan,       |
|                        | ROC   |
| B. Jansson/I. Bokliden | Cepheid AB, Bromma, Sweden                        |
| R. Jardi               | Universitary Hospital Vall Hebron, Barcelona,     |
|                        | Spain   |
| S. Kerby               | Center for Biologics Evaluation and               |
|                        | Research/Food and Drug Administration,            |
|                        | Bethesda, MD, USA                                 |
| A. Kramvis/CY. Chen    | University of the Witwatersrand,                  |
|                        | Johannesburg, South Africa                        |
| H. Leying/F. Boehl     | Roche Diagnostics AG, Rotkreuz, Switzerland       |
| J.M. Linnen            | Gen-Probe Incorporated, San Diego, CA, USA        |
| S. Mizusawa/F. Ban     | National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, |
|                        | Japan/BML, Inc., Saitama, Japan                   |
| C.R. Mullen            | AbbottMolecular, Des Plaines, IL, USA             |
| M. Rapicetta           | Istituto Superiore di Sanita, Rome, Italy         |
| E. Sabino/M. Otani     | Fundação Pró-Sangue, Hemocentro de São            |
|                        | Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil                          |
| W.R. Willems           | Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Giessen,        |
|                        | Germany   |
| HS. Yim                | Biosewoom, Inc., Seoul, Korea                     |

CKTTGACADACTTTCCAATCAATAG 3') were used. Amplicons were directly sequenced by the company GATC Biotech AG (Konstanz, Germany). Sequences determined for the entire S open reading frame were used for initial subgenotyping and HBsAg subtyping.

The sequence data were checked by a modified approach to construct the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1): sequences were determined for concatenated 1128 bp belonging to the preS region (nucleotide positions 2762–177) and the S gene (nucleotide positions 248–738) of HBV reference sequences (retrieved from GenBank) reflecting genotypes A–H (subgenotypes are indicated at the end of reference sequence accession numbers). HBV sequences from members of the WHO International Reference Panel are indicated as S1–S15, the WHO International Standard (97/750) as SD.

Woolly-Monkey HBV sequences were used as outgroups.

# 3.5. Design and manufacture of the HBV genotype reference panel

Each panel member was diluted to a target HBV DNA concentration of  $10^6$  IU/ml in a volume of 1.2 l, with the exception of panel samples 6 (B4;  $10^4$  IU/ml), 14 (F2;  $10^5$  IU/ml), and 15 (G;  $10^4$  IU/ml) because of limited volumes of the source samples. Dilutions were performed with a plasma pool consisting of donations which had been tested negative for the following markers: HIV-1 RNA, HCV RNA, HBV DNA, HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc (IgG and IgM), anti-HIV-1/2, and anti-HCV.

Filling and lyophilization of the panel members was performed by an ISO EN 13485 certified company. The coefficient of variation of the fill volume is described as being within an acceptable range (0.7–1.1%). In total, 2000 vials were produced for each of the 15 panel members. Additional 144 vials filled with 0.5 ml of negative diluent plasma pool were used for "non-infectious" residual moisture determination based on an European Pharmacopeia method.<sup>13</sup> Stability testing of the panel was initiated using the Cobas AmpliPrep/Cobas TaqMan HBV Test.

## 3.6. Collaborative study

16 laboratories from 12 countries participated in the characterization of the panel (Table 1). They were requested to analyse the coded 15 panel samples concurrently with the 2nd WHO IS for HBV DNA (97/750) and to perform 3 separate assay runs when



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of HBV genotypes. Bootstrap values for genotype or subgenotype clusters are indicated. \*This bootstrap support increased to 95 when bootstrapping was performed by Neighbour Joining method (1000 replicates).

# Table 2 Assays used by participants.

| Assay type (quantitative or qualitative) | Extraction/assay method                                 | Region of HBV genome amplified |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Quantitative                             | Cobas Amplicor HBV Monitor Test                         | pre-C/C                        |
| Quantitative                             | Real-Q HBV Quantification Kit                           | S                              |
| Quantitative                             | Cobas TaqMan HBV Test for Use with the High Pure System | pre-C/C                        |
| Quantitative                             | Cobas AmpliPrep/Cobas TaqMan HBV Test                   | pre-C/C                        |
| Quantitative                             | Abbott RealTime HBV                                     | S                              |
| Quantitative                             | QIAamp MinElute Virus spin/HBV RealQuant PCR            | Х                              |
| Quantitative                             | affigene HBV trender                                    | n.a.                           |
| Quantitative                             | Smart HBV   |                                |
| Quantitative                             | In house TaqMan PCR 1                                   | S                              |
| Quantitative                             | In house TaqMan PCR 2                                   | S                              |
| Quantitative                             | Versant HBV DNA 3.0 Assay (bDNA)                        | n.a.                           |
| Quantitative                             | QIAamp DSP Virus Kit/artus HBV RG PCR Kit               | С                              |
| Qualitative                              | cobas TaqScreen MPX Test                                | pre-C/C                        |
| Qualitative                              | Procleix Ultrio Plus assay                              | S/pol                          |

n.a.: information not available.

performing quantitative tests. For qualitative assays, participants were requested to assay each panel member by a series of one  $log_{10}$  dilution steps, followed by 3 subsequent assays with half- $log_{10}$  dilutions around the determined end-point estimation. The types of assays used are recorded in Table 2.

## 3.7. Statistical methods

# 3.7.1. Quantitative assays

For comparison of laboratories the replicate results of each laboratory, corrected for the dilution factor, were combined as arithmetic mean of  $\log_{10}$  IU/ml values. These estimates were then combined across assays to obtain an overall laboratory mean value of  $\log_{10}$  IU/ml. Results outside a range of the overall mean of  $\pm 0.5 \log_{10}$  IU/ml were removed for a second evaluation excluding outliers. The box-and-whisker-plot shows the distribution of the data. The box itself contains the middle 50% of the results (interquartile range, IQR) and the median as horizontal line. Between the whiskers lie 100% of the observations. The statistical analysis was performed with SAS<sup>®</sup>/STAT software, version 9.2, SAS System for Windows. Estimation of end-point dilution was done with CombiStats Software, version 4.0, from EDQM/Council of Europe.

# 3.7.2. Qualitative assays

The results from the 4 assay runs were pooled to give a series of number positive out of number tested at each dilution. The pooled results of the single assays were evaluated by using probit analysis to estimate the concentration at which 63% of the samples tested were positive (i.e. the dilution at which on average one single copy per sample tested could be expected under the assumption of an underlying Poisson distribution). The calculated end-point gives estimated NAT detectable units/ml after correcting for an equivalent volume of the test sample.

# 4. Results

The 15 member HBV genotype panel for use with NAT assays was designed to contain the HBV (sub)genotypes A1, A2, B2, B4, C2, D1, D3, E, F2 and G. Selection criteria were availability of the HBV (sub)genotype, mean HBV DNA concentration > 10<sup>7</sup> IU/ml as determined by four quantitative NAT assays and an HBsAg content preferably >15,000 IU/ml, in order to potentially use common source materials for a differently manufactured WHO HBV genotype panel for HBsAg assays designed in parallel (Chudy et al., manuscript in preparation). All source specimens used for the panel members were anti-HBc positive, and most of them HBeAg positive and anti-HBe negative (except panel members 14 and 15),

indicating highly replicative HBV infection with low immune pressure. It was intended to provide samples typical for the corresponding (sub)genotype with a minimum of individual mutations (e.g. exclusion of known HBsAg escape variants) or quasi-specieslike heterogeneity. There was no evidence for any HDV coinfection. The individual panel candidates were also checked for the absence of HIV RNA and HCV RNA in order to facilitate future use of the panel for validation of the HBV component in multiplex assays (e.g. HBV/HCV/HIV multiplex blood screening NATs). Detailed information on the characterization of the source materials is summarized in Table 3.

The preS/S Gene region of all panel members was sequenced and the obtained sequence confirmed the HBV genotype as determined by the INNO-LiPA HBV Genotyping test. Furthermore, the sequence analysis identified HBsAg subtypes (Table 3). These sequences are available on the PEI homepage (www.pei.de). It will also provide users with sequence information of the genome region targeted by some NAT assays. Fig. 1 shows a phylogenetic tree constructed on HBV reference sequences (retrieved from GenBank) reflecting genotypes A–H and from HBV sequences of the reference panel members (S1–S15) and the 2nd WHO IS HBV DNA (97/750).<sup>14,15</sup> The subgenotypes are included at the end of the names of the reference sequences.

The residual moisture content was determined as 0.82% (standard deviation  $\pm 0.03\%$ ) which satisfies the requirements for biological reference preparations.<sup>16</sup> A stability testing programme of the panel members was introduced. Interim results demonstrate that the panel members are now stable for more than two years under recommended storage conditions, i.e. at -20 °C or below.

Laboratory mean estimates for quantitative (IU/ml) and qualitative assays (NAT detectable units) are summarized (Table 4). In the collaborative study, the highest accuracy of test results was obtained with the 2nd WHO IS HBV DNA (97/750) with a mean value of 6.01 log<sub>10</sub> IU/ml virtually identical to designated content and the smallest SD of 0.17. There was also a narrow range of the quantitative test results for samples 1-3 (genotype A) and samples 10–12 (genotype D) (Table 4, Fig. 2) with a difference factor of 0.6 (sample 12) to 0.95  $log_{10}$  (sample 2) between the highest and lowest value. In contrast, all other samples exhibited difference factors in reported results in a range between 1.0 and 2.2 log<sub>10</sub>. Some of the quantitative assays clearly underestimated some genotypes or provided false-negative test results. These kinds of outliers are marked in bold (Table 4). These outliers were found with genotypes B, C, E, F and G but not with A or D. The mean estimates using all assays were also calculated for the individual panel members. The distribution of the quantitative results has been summarized using box plot (Fig. 2). The distribution of test results between different NAT

| Table 3                   |                                      |            |        |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| Characterization of human | plasma source materials used for HBV | genotype p | oanel. |

| Sample no. | Origin       | HBsAg subtype | HBV genotype <sup>a</sup> | HBV subgenotype <sup>b</sup> | HBV DNA (IU/mL) | HBsAg (kIU/mL) |
|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1          | South Africa | adw2          | А                         | A1                           | 6.08E+08        | 131.9          |
| 2          | Brazil       | adw2          | А                         | A1                           | 6.53E+08        | 94.0           |
| 3          | Germany      | adw2          | А                         | A2                           | 6.87E+08        | 74.3           |
| 4          | Japan        | adw2          | В                         | B2                           | 1.48E+08        | 51.4           |
| 5          | Japan        | adw2          | В                         | B2                           | 2.84E+08        | 95.3           |
| 6          | Viet Nam     | ayw1          | В                         | B4                           | 6.29E+06        | 4.6            |
| 7          | Japan        | adr           | С                         | C2 (Ce)                      | 3.99E+08        | 70.2           |
| 8          | Japan        | adr           | С                         | C2 (Ce)                      | 1.25E+08        | 47.0           |
| 9          | Russia       | adr           | С                         | C2 (Ce)                      | 2.92E+08        | 54.4           |
| 10         | Germany      | ayw2          | D                         | D1                           | 1.17E+09        | 130.4          |
| 11         | South Africa | ayw2          | D                         | D3                           | 1.04E+08        | 63.8           |
| 12         | Iran         | ayw2          | D                         | D1                           | 1.00E+08        | 17.7           |
| 13         | West Africa  | ayw4          | Е                         | Е                            | 9.45E+08        | 82.6           |
| 14         | Brazil       | adw4          | F                         | F2                           | 1.10E+07        | 32.2           |
| 15         | Germany      | adw2          | G                         | G                            | 1.40E+07        | 0.9            |

All panel members were tested anti-HBc positive, HBeAg positive (except no. 14, 15), anti-HBe negative (except no. 14), anti-HDV negative, HIV-1 RNA negative, HCV RNA negative.

<sup>a</sup> INNO-LiPA.

<sup>b</sup> Sequencing.

Table 4

Laboratory mean estimates for quantitative NAT assays (log<sub>10</sub> IU/ml) and for qualitative NAT assays (log<sub>10</sub> NAT detectable units/ml; greyed boxes).

| Lab no.             | Assay type             | Panel | membe | er/HBV s | subgen       | otype |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |              |              | 15         |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|--------------|------------|
|                     |                        | 1     | 2     | 3        | 4            | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14           | 15           | ۱ <u>۵</u> |
|                     |                        | A1    | A1    | A2       | B2           | B2    | B4   | C2   | C2   | C2   | D1   | D3   | D1   | Е    | F2           | G            | AZ         |
| 1                   | Quantitative           | 5.73  | 5.41  | 5.80     | 5.99         | 5.84  | 4.00 | 6.03 | 6.15 | 5.94 | 5.97 | 6.01 | 5.92 | 5.93 | 5.11         | 3.72         | 5.99       |
| 2                   | Quantitative           | 6.57  | 6.35  | 6.16     | 6.31         | 6.02  | 4.41 | 6.41 | 6.42 | 6.16 | 6.30 | 6.31 | 6.37 | 5.91 | 3.54         | 4.30         | 6.30       |
| ЗA                  | Quantitative           | 5.85  | 5.63  | 5.42     | 5.88         | 5.74  | 3.74 | 5.88 | 5.95 | 5.88 | 5.94 | 5.90 | 5.79 | 5.70 | 4.29         | 3.62         | 5.66       |
| 3B                  | Quantitative           | 6.32  | 6.06  | 5.99     | 6.34         | 6.03  | 4.15 | 6.28 | 6.38 | 6.28 | 6.12 | 6.38 | 6.35 | 6.28 | 4.69         | 4.10         | 6.01       |
| 4                   | Quantitative           | 6.30  | 6.03  | 5.96     | 6.05         | 5.79  | 4.19 | 6.09 | 6.04 | 5.99 | 6.22 | 5.89 | 6.12 | 5.95 | 4.54         | 5.01         | 5.92       |
| 5                   | Quantitative           | 6.07  | 5.89  | 5.71     | 6.13         | 5.81  | 4.13 | 5.98 | 6.03 | 5.87 | 5.84 | 5.88 | 5.84 | 5.81 | 5.00         | 3.78         | 5.87       |
| 6                   | Quantitative           | 6.09  | 5.88  | 5.70     | 5.96         | 5.98  | 3.57 | 5.80 | 6.07 | 5.91 | 5.97 | 5.87 | 5.90 | 5.81 | -            | 2.81         | 5.90       |
| 7A                  | Quantitative           | 6.29  | 6.08  | 6.01     | 5.76         | 5.57  | 4.05 | 6.09 | 6.23 | 6.17 | 5.87 | 6.29 | 6.23 | 6.02 | 5.12         | 3.63         | 6.21       |
| 7B                  | Quantitative           | 6.39  | 6.15  | 6.02     | 5.68         | 5.69  | 4.20 | 6.08 | 6.25 | 6.20 | 6.10 | 6.33 | 6.33 | 6.03 | 5.28         | 3.52         | 6.26       |
| 8                   | Quantitative           | 6.13  | 5.86  | 5.81     | 5.27         | 5.03  | 2.58 | 5.34 | 5.25 | 5.70 | 5.68 | 5.78 | 5.94 | 5.68 | -            | 2.94         | 6.09       |
| 9                   | Quantitative           | 5.71  | 5.50  | 5.35     | 5.45         | 5.40  | 3.87 | 5.61 | 5.96 | 5.60 | 5.63 | 5.80 | 5.76 | 5.57 | 4.97         | 3.75         | 5.96       |
| 10                  | Quantitative           | 6.17  | 5.97  | 5.81     | 6.29         | 6.05  | 4.13 | 6.07 | 6.20 | 5.98 | 5.99 | 5.94 | 5.87 | 5.82 | 4.86         | 4.07         | 6.05       |
| 11                  | Quantitative           | 6.01  | 5.80  | 5.82     | 5.71         | 5.49  | 3.41 | 5.82 | 5.99 | 5.28 | 5.95 | 5.92 | 5.87 | 5.31 | 5.04         | 3.56         | 6.01       |
| 12                  | Quantitative           | 6.26  | 6.09  | 6.02     | 6.35         | 6.13  | 4.27 | 6.22 | 6.39 | 6.25 | 6.27 | 6.28 | 6.30 | 6.22 | 4.75         | 4.17         | 6.20       |
| 13                  | Quantitative           | 6.05  | 5.86  | 5.73     | 6.06         | 5.89  | 4.19 | 6.02 | 6.05 | 5.96 | 5.99 | 5.99 | 5.96 | 5.88 | 4.78         | 3.99         | 5.91       |
| 14                  | Quantitative           | 5.98  | 5.75  | 5.64     | 6.00         | 5.59  | 4.14 | 5.89 | 5.98 | 5.80 | 5.74 | 5.81 | 5.86 | 5.79 | 4.97         | 3.85         | 5.84       |
| 15                  | Qualitative            | 6.31  | 5.97  | 6.27     | 6.31         | 6.80  | 3.88 | 6.31 | 6.61 | 6.31 | 6.95 | 6.61 | 6.61 | 6.31 | 4.95         | 3.88         | 6.31       |
| 16                  | Qualitative            | 6.34  | 6.00  | 5.95     | 5.68         | 5.95  | 4.20 | 6.07 | 6.37 | 6.40 | 6.03 | 5.86 | 6.20 | 6.19 | 5.06         | 4.00         | 6.26       |
|                     |                        |       |       |          |              |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |              |              |            |
| Overall m           | ean (log <sub>10</sub> |       |       |          |              |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |              |              |            |
| IU/ml) <sup>a</sup> | 10411 (10910           | 6.12  | 5.89  | 5.81     | 6.00         | 5.80  | 4.07 | 6.02 | 6.14 | 5.98 | 5.97 | 6.02 | 6.03 | 5.89 | 4.88         | 3.85         | 6.01       |
| 05%-cont            | idonco                 | 6.00  | 5 76  | 5 60     | 5 95         | 5 68  | 3.05 | 5.01 | 6.05 | 5 97 | 5 97 | 5.01 | 5.01 | 5 70 | 1 71         | 3 70         | 5 02       |
| interval (          |                        | 6.25  | 6.03  | 5.09     | 5.65<br>6.14 | 5.00  | 1 20 | 6.13 | 6.23 | 6.00 | 6.08 | 6.14 | 6.14 | 5.79 | 4.71<br>5.04 | 3.70<br>4.01 | 6 10       |
| Standard            | deviation <sup>a</sup> | 0.23  | 0.03  | 0.22     | 0.14         | 0.22  | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.27         | 0.25         | 0.10       |
| Glandard            | acviation              | 0.24  | 0.24  | 0.22     | 0.27         | 0.22  | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.27         | 0.20         | 0.17       |

-, not detected; bold, outliers.

<sup>a</sup>Quantitative assays; calculated without outliers.

# Table 5

Overall mean estimates (log10 IU/ml) relative to concurrently tested WHO IS (97/750) (combined from quantitative and qualitative assays, with outlier exclusion).

| Sample | HBV Sub GT | Number of<br>NAT assays | Overall mean<br>(log <sub>10</sub> IU/ml) | 95%-conf<br>intervals | 95%-confidence<br>intervals (log <sub>10</sub> IU/ml) |      | Min  | Max  | Range |
|--------|------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|------|------|------|-------|
| 1      | A1         | 18                      | 6.10                                      | 6.02                  | 6.18  | 0.16 | 5.74 | 6.38 | 0.64  |
| 2      | A1         | 18                      | 5.86                                      | 5.77                  | 5.95  | 0.18 | 5.42 | 6.11 | 0.69  |
| 3      | A2         | 18                      | 5.80                                      | 5.73                  | 5.87  | 0.14 | 5.39 | 6.04 | 0.65  |
| 4      | B2         | 17                      | 5.96                                      | 5.80                  | 6.12  | 0.31 | 5.42 | 6.33 | 0.91  |
| 5      | B2         | 17                      | 5.83                                      | 5.68                  | 5.98  | 0.29 | 5.36 | 6.49 | 1.13  |
| 6      | B4         | 16                      | 4.03                                      | 3.92                  | 4.14  | 0.21 | 3.57 | 4.30 | 0.73  |
| 7      | C2         | 17                      | 6.00                                      | 5.91                  | 6.08  | 0.17 | 5.65 | 6.27 | 0.62  |
| 8      | C2         | 17                      | 6.14                                      | 6.09                  | 6.20  | 0.11 | 5.98 | 6.37 | 0.39  |
| 9      | C2         | 17                      | 5.98                                      | 5.89                  | 6.07  | 0.17 | 5.61 | 6.27 | 0.66  |
| 10     | D1         | 18                      | 5.99                                      | 5.86                  | 6.12  | 0.25 | 5.59 | 6.64 | 1.05  |
| 11     | D3         | 18                      | 6.01                                      | 5.91                  | 6.10  | 0.19 | 5.60 | 6.37 | 0.77  |
| 12     | D1         | 18                      | 6.03                                      | 5.95                  | 6.10  | 0.15 | 5.80 | 6.34 | 0.54  |
| 13     | E          | 17                      | 5.89                                      | 5.80                  | 5.98  | 0.18 | 5.59 | 6.27 | 0.68  |
| 14     | F2         | 15                      | 4.86                                      | 4.75                  | 4.98  | 0.20 | 4.55 | 5.13 | 0.58  |
| 15     | G          | 14                      | 3.85                                      | 3.72                  | 3.97  | 0.21 | 3.42 | 4.09 | 0.67  |



Box-Plot for panel members (1-15) and the WHO IS (IS) (quantitative assays)

Fig. 2. Box plot for panel members (1-15) and the WHO IS (IS) (quantitative assays).

assays becomes closer if outlier results are excluded and mean estimates are calculated relative to the co-analysed 2nd WHO IS which has a defined HBV DNA content of 10<sup>6</sup> IU/ml (Table 5). Two assays (laboratories 8 and 11) showed lower potencies for more than one sample from the panel (for genotypes B, C, E and G). Additionally, the genotype F sample could not be detected by laboratory 8. The assay used by laboratory 6 was also not able to detect genotype F and in addition underquantitated the genotype G panel member. Laboratory 4 represented a significant higher result for sample 15/G compared to the overall mean potencies. One further NAT assay (laboratory 2) significantly underquantitated the genotype F sample.

# 5. Discussion

The overall results of the collaborative study demonstrate that the range of the results for the samples 1–15 are very close to the HBV DNA concentrations which were chosen for the final preparation of the panel samples: approximately 10<sup>6</sup> IU/ml (samples 1–5, 7–13), approximately  $10^5$  IU/ml (sample 14), and approximately 10<sup>4</sup> IU/ml (samples 6 and 15). The results of this study confirm the validity of the assigned value for 97/750, the 2nd IS for HBV DNA, i.e. 10<sup>6</sup> IU/ml and successful calibration of all assay methods to determine this value since the range of reported results is close around the assigned concentration (Fig. 2). Some HBV NAT assays showed deficiencies in the detection of certain HBV genotypes other than genotype A2 (IS), thus confirming the need for such a genotype reference panel. However, the results of the collaborative study demonstrate that the majority of the quantitative assays and both qualitative assays are able to detect HBV genotypes A–G quite consistently.

Unfortunately we were not in the situation to include HBV genotype H into this reference panel because of lack of material. Genotype H shows a variation in nucleotide sequence equivalent to genotype F. The prevalence of genotype H is restricted to Central America, mainly in Nicaragua and Mexico, and few cases in

California. More recently new HBV strains were identified in Laos and Vietnam which phylogenetically clustered in a new group and were proposed as new genotype I.<sup>17</sup> Currently it is still under discussion whether this HBV strain reflects a recombinant of several genotypes or a separate genotype.<sup>18</sup>

Based on the availability of improved molecular diagnostic tools and performance of epidemiological studies worldwide new subgenotypes and recombinant forms may be identified in the future. The global distribution of genotypes may also change in the future and therefore updating the HBV genotype reference panel, to reflect the changing global epidemiological trend of HBV genotypes, may be necessary.

# 6. Conclusion

The global availability of a well characterized WHO HBV genotype panel is an essential prerequisite for the evaluation and validation of HBV NAT assays. This reference panel will allow comparison of different NAT assays on a standardized basis and may be used for the quality control of NAT tests by kit manufacturers, national control laboratories and diagnostic laboratories. The panel will be available from the PEI with ordering details announced on the PEI homepage.

# Funding

All funding was provided by the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen.

# **Competing interests**

The authors have no conflict of interest.

# **Ethical approval**

Not required.

# References

- Stramer SL, Zou S, Notari EP, Foster GA, Krysztof DE, Musavi F, et al. Blood donation screening for hepatitis B virus markers in the era of nucleic acid testing: are all tests of value? *Transfusion* 2012;**52**:440–6.
- Linauts S, Saldanha J, Strong DM. PRISM hepatitis B surface antigen detection of hepatitis B virus minipool nucleic acid testing yield samples. *Transfusion* 2008;48:1376–82.
- Roth WK, Busch MP, Schuller A, Ismay S, Cheng A, Seed CR, et al. International survey on NAT testing of blood donations: expanding implementation and yield from 1999 to 2009. Vox Sang 2012;102:82–90.
- Raimondo G, Allain JP, Brunetto MR, Buendia MA, Chen DS, Colombo M, et al. Statements from the Taormina expert meeting on occult hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2008;49:652–7.
- Blaich A, Manz M, Dumoulin AC, Schuttler CG, Hirsch HH, Gerlich WH, et al. Reactivation of hepatitis B virus with mutated hepatitis B surface antigen in a liver transplant recipient receiving a graft from an antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen- and antibody to hepatitis B core antigen-positive donor. *Transfusion* 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1537-2995.2011.03537.x.
- Cornberg M, Protzer U, Petersen J, Wedemeyer H, Berg T, Jilg W, et al. Prophylaxis, diagnosis and therapy of hepatitis B virus infection—the German guideline. Z *Castroenterol* 2011;49:871–930.
- Gunson RN, Shouval D, Roggendorf M, Zaaijer H, Nicholas H, Holzmann H, et al. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections in health care workers (HCWs): guidelines for prevention of transmission of HBV and HCV from HCW to patients. *J Clin Virol* 2003;27:213–30.
- Saldanha J, Gerlich W, Lelie N, Dawson P, Heermann K, Heath A, et al. An international collaborative study to establish a World Health Organization international standard for hepatitis B virus DNA nucleic acid amplification techniques. Vox Sang 2001;80:63–71.

- Baylis SA, Heath AB, Chudy M, Pisani G, Klotz A, Kerby S, et al. An international collaborative study to establish the 2nd World Health Organization International Standard for hepatitis B virus DNA nucleic acid amplification technology-based assays. *Vox Sang* 2008;**94**:358–62.
- Norder H, Courouce AM, Coursaget P, Echevarria JM, Lee SD, Mushahwar IK, et al. Genetic diversity of hepatitis B virus strains derived worldwide: genotypes, subgenotypes, and HBsAg subtypes. *Intervirology* 2004;47: 289–309.
- Heermann KH, Gerlich WH, Chudy M, Schaefer S, Thomssen R. Quantitative detection of hepatitis B virus DNA in two international reference plasma preparations. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999;**37**:68–73.
- WHO Consultation on Global Measurement Standards and their use in the in vitro Biological Diagnostic Field. Geneva, Switzerland; June 2004. http://www.who.int/bloodproducts/publications/en/Minutes-220804.pdf.
- Water: micro determination. Methods of analysis EP 2.5.32. In: European pharmacopoeia. 6th ed. Strasbourg, France: Council of Europe; 2008.
- Swofford DL. PAUP<sup>\*</sup>. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods). Version 4.0b10. Sunderland, MA, USA: Sinauer Associates; 2003.
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst Biol 2003;52:696–704.
- Recommendations for the preparation, characterization and establishment of international and other biological reference standards. WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization. Fifty-fifth report; 2004 (WHO Technical Report Series No. 932).
- Hannoun C, Norder H, Lindh M. An aberrant genotype revealed in recombinant hepatitis B virus strains from Vietnam. J Gen Virol 2000;81: 2267-72.
- Kurbanov F, Tanaka Y, Kramvis A, Simmonds P, Mizokami M. When should "I" consider a new hepatitis B virus genotype? J Virol 2008;82: 8241–2.