

# Contributions to the knowledge of parasitic nematodes of amphibians from the Dry Chaco ecoregion in Argentina.

Cynthya E. González<sup>1</sup>, Marta I. Duré<sup>1</sup>, Soledad Palomas<sup>2</sup>, Eduardo F. Schaefer<sup>3</sup>, Eduardo G. Etchepare<sup>4</sup>, José L. Acosta<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Ecología Aplicada del Litoral (CECOAL), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Ruta Provincial Número 5, km 2,5, Corrientes, C.P. 3400, Argentina.

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Ecorregiones Andinas (INECOA), Universidad Nacional de Jujuy (UNJu-CONICET), Av. Bolivia 1711, Jujuy, C.P. 4600, Argentina.

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Geohistóricas (IIGHI), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Mar del Plata 3055, Resistencia, Chaco, C.P. 3500, Argentina.

<sup>4</sup> Facultad Regional Concordia, Universidad Tecnológica Nacional (UTN), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Salta 277, Concordia, Entre Ríos, C.P. 3200, Argentina.

<sup>5</sup> Laboratorio de Herpetología, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales y Agrimensura (FaCENA), Universidad Nacional del Nordeste (UNNE), Avenida Libertad 5470, Corrientes, C.P. 3400, Argentina.

Recibido: 26 Mayo 2020

Revisado: 03 Julio 2020

Aceptado: 14 Octubre 2020

Editor Asociado: C. Borteiro

doi: 10.31017/CdH.2021.(2020-037)

## ABSTRACT

In the Argentinean Gran Chaco, numerous investigations have dealt with the diversity of vertebrates, including amphibians and reptiles. The parasitofauna of these organisms, has been more studied in some groups such as amphibians; however, from a biogeographic perspective, most of these studies were carried out in the Humid Chaco. The goal of this work is to present the nematofauna found in six species of amphibians, *Rhinella diptycha*, *Chacophrys pierottii*, *Ceratophrys cranwelli*, *Scinax nasicus*, *Leptodactylus bufonius*, and *Odontophrynus lavillai*, collected in different locations within the Dry Chaco, in the department of Matacos, Formosa, Argentina. In a total of 34 amphibians analyzed, we found 1,526 parasitic nematodes (total prevalence: 61.7%; total mean intensity:  $72.6 \pm 90.3$ ; total mean abundance:  $44.9 \pm 78.9$ ), belonging to 9 taxa: adults of *Rhabdias* sp., *Oswaldocruzia* sp., *O. subauricularis*, *Schulzia travassosi*, *Aplectana hylambatis*, *Cosmocerca podicipinus*, *Oxyascaris caudacutus*, *Schrankiana formosula*, and larvae of *Physaloptera* sp. These nematodes were collected from the lungs, small intestine, large intestine, gastric mucosa, and gallbladder of hosts. This work is the first record of helminths from *C. pierottii* and *O. lavillai* and the first record of nematodes from *Ce. cranwelli* throughout its geographical range. The species *Schrankiana formosula* (Atractidae) is recorded for the first time in Argentinean amphibians. Thus, we expand the knowledge of the biological diversity of helminth parasites of amphibians from the Dry Chaco ecoregion. This base-line information, would allow to understand the factors that influence the patterns of host and geographic distribution of vertebrate parasites in an area with extreme characteristics such as the Dry Chaco.

Key Words: Anurans; Chaco; Nematode Parasites.

## RESUMEN

Contribuciones al conocimiento de nematodos parásitos de anfibios de la ecorregión Chaco Seco de Argentina. En el Gran Chaco Argentino, numerosas investigaciones han tratado la diversidad de los vertebrados, incluida su fauna herpetológica. En cuanto a la parasitofauna de estos organismos, algunos grupos como el de los anfibios han sido más estudiados; sin embargo, biogeográficamente, la mayor parte de estos estudios fueron realizados en el Chaco Húmedo. El objetivo de este trabajo es presentar la nematofauna hallada en seis especies de anfibios, *Rhinella diptycha*, *Chacophrys pierottii*, *Ceratophrys cranwelli*, *Scinax nasicus*, *Leptodactylus bufonius* y *Odontophrynus lavillai*, colectadas en distintos puntos del Chaco Seco en el departamento de Matacos, Formosa, Argentina. En un total de 34 anfibios analizados encontramos 1.526 nematodos parásitos (prevalencia total: 61,7%; intensidad media total:  $72,6 \pm 90,3$ ; abundancia media total:  $44,9 \pm 78,9$ ), pertenecientes a 9 taxones, adultos de *Rhabdias* sp., *Oswaldocruzia* sp., *O. subauricularis*, *Schulzia travassosi*, *Aplectana hylambatis*, *Cosmocerca podicipinus*, *Oxyascaris caudacutus*, *Schrankiana formosula* y larvas de *Physaloptera* sp. Estos nematodos se colectaron de

los pulmones, el intestino delgado, el intestino grueso, la mucosa gástrica y la vesícula biliar de los hospedadores. Este trabajo constituye el primer registro de helmintos para *C. pierottii* y *O. lavillai* y el primero de nematodos para *Ce. cranwelli* en toda su área de distribución geográfica. La especie *Schrankiana formosula* (Atractidae) es registrada por primera vez en anfibios argentinos. De este modo, ampliamos el conocimiento de la diversidad biológica de los helmintos parásitos de anfibios de la ecorregión Chaco Seco. Esta información permitirá conocer cuáles son los factores que influyen en los patrones de ocurrencia en hospedadores y distribución geográfica de helmintos parásitos de vertebrados, en un área con características tan extremas como el Chaco Seco.

Palabras claves: Anuros; Chaco; Nematodos Parásitos.

## Introduction

Studies on amphibian parasites are relevant because they can provide information regarding the structure and relationships of trophic networks, as many parasites may pass from one host to another through predator-prey relationships. Besides, parasitological data may provide indirect information about the host diet, as the presence of certain parasites with known indirect cycles would indicate the consumption of certain type of prey. The study of parasites may indicate the state of ecosystems, as their presence or absence makes possible to infer the richness of their intermediate and definitive hosts, and provide also information related to host stress because some agrochemicals are known to depress the immune system and turn amphibians more susceptible to certain parasites (see for instance Marcogliese and Cone, 1997; Lafferty *et al.*, 2008; Koprivnikar and Redfern, 2012; Koprivnikar *et al.*, 2012), among other aspects. However, despite the fact that their study may give us a wealth of information, parasites are not generally considered in biodiversity studies (Gómez and Nichols, 2013; Rocha *et al.*, 2016).

In recent investigations, Campião *et al.* (2014; 2015) compiled data on helminth parasites of amphibians from South America; one of the results of their investigation was that the most studied hosts were anurans of the families Bufonidae, Hylidae and Leptodactylidae, mainly *Leptodactylus latrans* (Steffen, 1815) and *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus, 1758). In addition, they found that Brazil was the origin of most studies with over 50% of the total output. This evidence large information gaps regarding several host species and large geographical areas that remain unsurveyed.

Particularly for the Argentinean Chaco, parasitological studies have focused on diverse vertebrate hosts, including mammals such as monkeys (Perea-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2010), wolves (Orozco *et al.*, 2014), and armadillos (Ríos *et al.*, 2020), and sauropsids

such as birds (Dueñas Díaz *et al.*, 2018; Lunaschi *et al.*, 2018), snakes (Lunaschi and Drago, 2007; 2010), lizards (Lamas and Zaracho, 2006; Zaracho and Lamas, 2006), caimans and turtles (Lunaschi and Drago, 2007). Regarding amphibians, the majority of helminth studies were carried out in the Humid Chaco, mainly in the province of Corrientes (Hamann *et al.*, 2013a; 2020; Draghi *et al.*, 2015a, b; González and Hamann, 2015a; González *et al.*, 2019), while such studies are only incipient for the Dry Chaco region (Hamann and González, 2015; González and Hamann, 2015b; González *et al.*, 2020).

The aim of the present work is to provide records of nematode parasites for six amphibian species collected in a locality within the Dry Chaco ecoregion, Argentina.

## Materials y methods

Thirty-four adult amphibians belonging to six species of five families, namely *Rhinella diptycha* (Cope, 1862), *Scinax nasicus* (Cope, 1862), *Chacophrys pierottii* (Vellard, 1948), *Ceratophrys cranwelli* Barrio, 1980, *Leptodactylus bufonius* Boulenger, 1894, and *Odontophrynus lavillai* Cei, 1985, were collected for this study. Table 1 presents the number of specimens for each amphibian species analyzed, with the respective collection date, locality, and collection accession number.

Amphibians were collected within the Dry Chaco ecoregion (Morello *et al.*, 2012), approximately 32 km south from the city Ingeniero Juárez, Matacos Department, in Formosa, Argentina. In this area the vegetation cover is adapted to dry conditions (xerophytic deciduous forest), with predominance of small leaves deciduous and thorny species tolerant to large fluctuations in water availability, as well as to seasonal thermal variations. Woody vegetation is sparse, and the herbaceous species are predominantly grasses. The landscape is flat, gently sloping

toward the east. The climate is characterized by low rainfall (mean annual precipitation <700 mm), and high temperatures, at times exceeding 47°C since this area comprises part of the South American Heat Pole (Maldonado *et al.*, 2006).

Amphibians were hand-captured between 18 and 21 hours using the sampling technique defined as "visual encounters survey" (Crump and Scott Jr., 1994) and "Audio Strip Transect" method (Zimmerman, 1994) in the most favorable environments such as shallow lakes, temporary puddles and ditches. Frogs were subsequently transported alive to a field-mounted laboratory, euthanized topically using 10% lidocaine cream and necropsied. Their snout-vent length (SVL) and body weight (g) were recorded.

We examined under a stereoscopic microscope the digestive tract, lungs, liver, gallbladder, kidneys, urinary bladder, coelomic cavity, and musculature. Parasites were observed *in vivo*, counted, and then fixed in hot 70% ethyl alcohol. Posteriorly, they were clarified in Amman's lactophenol, mounted on temporary slides and examined under a light microscope. For morphological examination and measurements, a Leica DM2500 microscope equipped with a drawing tube was used. Amphibian taxonomy followed Frost (2020). Specimens were preserved in 70% ethyl alcohol and deposited in the Helminthological Collection of Centro de Ecología Aplicada del Litoral (CECOAL) Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET),

**Table 1.** Amphibian species from the Dry Chaco Ecoregion analyzed for nematode parasites: collection date, locality and accession numbers.

| Family          | Species and number of specimens analyzed | Collection date                                    | Locality - Geographical coordinates | Accession number |
|-----------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Bufonidae       | <i>Rhinella diptycha</i> (n= 3)          | Dec. 2016;<br>May 2017;<br>Feb. 2018               | -24.215401, -61.981015              | UNNEC 13601      |
| Ceratophryidae  | <i>Chacophrys pierottii</i> (n= 8)       | Dec. 2016;<br>Feb. 2018;<br>Dec. 2019              | -24.216001, -61.979434              | UNNEC 13602      |
|                 | <i>Ceratophrys cranwelli</i> (n= 1)      | Dec. 2016  | -24.216001, -61.979434              | UNNEC 13603      |
| Hylidae         | <i>Scinax nasicus</i> (n= 8)             | Dec. 2016;<br>Feb. 2017;<br>Jul. 2017              | -24.223394, -61.958458              | UNNEC 13604      |
| Leptodactylidae | <i>Leptodactylus bufonius</i> (n= 12)    | Dec. 2016;<br>April 2018;<br>Jul. 2018             | -24.223394, -61.958458              | UNNEC 13605      |
| Odontophrynidae | <i>Odontophrynus lavillai</i> (n= 2)     | Dec. 2016;<br>Feb. 2017;<br>May 2017;<br>Jul. 2017 | -24.191161, -62.04896               | UNNEC 13606      |

Corrientes city, Corrientes, Argentina. Amphibian specimens were deposited in the Colección Herpetológica of the Universidad Nacional del Nordeste (UNNEC); accession numbers are shown in Table 1.

Prevalence, mean intensity (MI) and mean abundance (MA) of infection for parasites were calculated following Bush *et al.* (1997).

## Results

We found 21 amphibians parasitized by nematodes (total prevalence: 61.7%), from which we recovered

1,526 nematode specimens (total MI: 72.6±90.3; total MA: 44.9±78.9) of the following species and families: Rhabdiasidae: *Rhabdias* sp. (CECOAL 18021944, 1 hermaphrodite female); Molineidae: *Oswaldocruzia* sp. (CECOAL 16122115; 3 FF), *O. subauricularis* (Rudolphi, 1819) (CECOAL 17050809; 5 FF, 5 MM), *Schulzia travassosi* Durette-Desset, Baker and Vaucher, 1985 (CECOAL 18071603; 2 FF, 2 MM); Cosmocercidae: *Aplectana hylambatis* (Baylis, 1927) Travassos, 1931 (CECOAL 18042419; 10 FF, 10 MM), *Cosmocerca podicipinus* Baker and Vaucher, 1984 (CECOAL 18042402; 1 F, 1 M), *Oxyascaris*

**Table 2.** Nematode parasites found in six amphibian species from the Dry Chaco ecoregion, Argentina. n: number of nematode parasites collected; %: prevalence of infection; MI±SD: mean intensity (± 1 standard deviation); MA±SD: mean abundance (± 1 standard deviation).

| Amphibian species    | Nematode parasites       | Stage | n   | %            | MI±SD     | MA±SD     | Site of infection |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| <i>R. diptycha</i>   | <i>Rhabdias</i> sp.      | A     | 2   | 33.3 (1/3)   | -         | 0.66±1.1  | LU                |
|                      | <i>O. subauricularis</i> | A     | 62  | 33.3 (1/3)   | -         | 20.6±35.7 | SI                |
|                      | <i>A. hylambatis</i>     | A     | 112 | 66.6 (2/3)   | 56±49.4   | 37.3±47.6 | LI                |
| <i>C. pierottii</i>  | <i>A. hylambatis</i>     | A     | 55  | 37.5 (3/8)   | 20.6±29.8 | 7.75±19.2 | LI                |
|                      | <i>C. podicipinus</i>    | A     | 4   | 25.0 (2/8)   | 2.0±1.4   | 0.5±1.1   | LI                |
|                      | <i>Physaloptera</i> sp.  | L     | 3   | 12.5 (1/8)   | -         | 0.37±1.1  | GM                |
| <i>Ce. cranwelli</i> | <i>Oswaldocruzia</i> sp. | A     | 3   | 100.0 (1/1)  | -         | -         | SI                |
|                      | <i>A. hylambatis</i>     | A     | 202 | 100.0 (1/1)  | -         | -         | LI                |
|                      | <i>C. podicipinus</i>    | A     | 3   | 100.0 (1/1)  | -         | -         | SI                |
| <i>S. nasicus</i>    | <i>O. caudacutus</i>     | A     | 25  | 25.0 (2/8)   | 12.5±12.0 | 3.12±7.35 | SI                |
| <i>L. bufonius</i>   | <i>Rhabdias</i> sp.      | A     | 1   | 8.3 (1/12)   | -         | 0.08±0.2  | LU                |
|                      | <i>S. travassosi</i>     | A     | 6   | 33.3 (4/12)  | 1.5±0.5   | 0.5±0.7   | SI-GB             |
|                      | <i>A. hylambatis</i>     | A     | 835 | 83.3 (10/12) | 83.5±80.1 | 69.5±79.4 | LI                |
|                      | <i>C. podicipinus</i>    | A     | 6   | 25.0 (3/12)  | 2±1       | 0.5±1     | SI-LI             |
|                      | <i>S. formosula</i>      | A     | 150 | 16.6 (2/12)  | 75±59.3   | 12.5±34.2 | LI                |
|                      | <i>Physaloptera</i> sp.  | L     | 13  | 8.3(1/12)    | -         | 1.08±3.7  | GM                |
| <i>O. lavillai</i>   | <i>A. hylambatis</i>     | A     | 44  | 100.0 (2/2)  | 22±15.5   | 11±15.5   | LI                |

Stage: A: adult; L: larval; Site of infection: LU: lung; SI: small intestine; LI: large intestine; GM: gastric mucosa; GB: gallbladder.

*caudacutus* (Freitas, 1958) Baker and Vaucher, 1984 (CECOAL 17072407; 5 FF, 1 M); Atractidae: *Schrankiana formosula* Freitas, 1959 (CECOAL 16122124; 10 FF; 10 MM); Physalopteridae: *Physaloptera* sp. (CECOAL 16122136; 5 larvae).

A more precise identification of the specimens of genus *Rhabdias* Stiles and Hassall, 1905 obtained from *R. diptycha* and *L. bufonius*, and those of *Oswaldocruzia* Travassos, 1917 identified in *Ce. cranwelli* was not possible because the number of worms was very low.

Table 2 presents the number of nematode parasites found in each host species, as well as quantitative parasitological descriptors, stage (larval or adult), and site of infection.

## Discussion

To our knowledge, no parasites have been reported for *C. pierottii* or *O. lavillai* throughout their geogra-

phical ranges. For *Ce. cranwelli*, there is one report about the finding of *Haematoloechus longiplexus* (Trematoda, Plagiorchiidae) from Santa Fe province, Argentina (Hamann and Pérez, 1999). In contrast, *Rhinella diptycha*, *S. nasicus* and *L. bufonius* have been previously analyzed for their parasitic helminths several times and from different localities of their geographical ranges (see Lunaschi and Drago, 2007; Hamann *et al.*, 2013a; Campiã *et al.*, 2014; González and Hamann, 2015a).

All the nematode taxa found in this study correspond to generalist species, i.e. they can parasitize a broad range of amphibians, even from different families (Table 3). This was not surprising as generalist parasites are the major components of helminth parasitic communities in amphibians (Aho, 1990). One of these nematode species, *S. formosula*, has not been reported in amphibians from Argentina until the present work.

*Schrankiana formosula* is an attractid nemato-

de that has only been found in leptodactylid hosts; it was found in *Leptodactylus fuscus* (Schneider, 1799) and *L. syphax* Bokermann, 1969 from Brazil (Campião *et al.*, 2014; Souza Linz *et al.*, 2017), and in *L. fuscus* and *L. elenae* Heyer, 1978 from Paraguay (Baker and Vaucher, 1988). Our record is the first

for *L. bufonius* throughout the distribution area of this species.

Campião *et al.* (2015) established that gastrointestinal roundworms of the family Cosmocercidae are the most reported helminth parasites in South American amphibians. Concurrently, Gon-

**Table 3.** Amphibian nematode parasites found in this study and previous reports from Argentina, after the checklist of González and Hamann (2015a). Adult nematodes not identified at species level were not included in this table.

| Nematode species         | Amphibian species  | Locality   | References   |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| <i>O. subauricularis</i> | <i>R. diptycha</i> <sup>£</sup>                                  | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>Rhinella major</i> (Müller and Hellmich, 1936)                | Corrientes, Corrientes   | Hamann <i>et al.</i> , 2013b                             |
| <i>S. travassosi</i>     | <i>R. major</i>  | Las Lomitas, Formosa; Concepción del Bermejo, Chaco                                    | Hamann and González, 2015                                |
| <i>A. hylambatis</i>     | <i>R. major</i>  | Las Lomitas and Ingeniero Juárez, Formosa; Concepción del Bermejo and Taco Pozo, Chaco | Hamann and González, 2015; González <i>et al.</i> , 2019 |
|                          | <i>Trachycephalus typhonius</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)                 | Pirané, Formosa  | Draghi <i>et al.</i> , 2015b                             |
|                          | <i>L. bufonius</i>   | Las Lomitas, Formosa; Taco Pozo, Chaco   | González <i>et al.</i> , 2019                            |
|                          |  | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>Dermatonotus muelleri</i> (Boettger, 1885)                    | Las Lomitas, Formosa   | González <i>et al.</i> , 2019                            |
|                          | <i>D. muelleri</i>   | Ingeniero Juárez, Formosa  | González <i>et al.</i> , 2020                            |
|                          | <i>R. diptycha</i>   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
| <i>C. podicipinus</i>    | <i>C. pierottii</i> *  | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>Ce. cranwelli</i> *   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>O. lavillai</i> *   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>Leptodactylus elenae</i> ; <i>L. podicipinus</i> (Cope, 1862) | Corrientes, Corrientes   | González and Hamann, 2016                                |
|                          | <i>R. major</i>  | Las Lomitas, Formosa   | Hamann and González, 2015                                |
| <i>O. caudacutus</i>     | <i>P. albonotatus</i>  | Corrientes, Corrientes   | González <i>et al.</i> , 2019                            |
|                          | <i>D. muelleri</i>   | Ing. Juárez, Formosa   | González <i>et al.</i> , 2020                            |
|                          | <i>C. pierottii</i> *  | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>Ce. cranwelli</i> *   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>L. bufonius</i>   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>S. nasicus</i> <sup>£</sup>                                   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>S. formosula</i>  | <i>L. bufonius</i> <sup>*£</sup>   | Matacos Department, Formosa                              |
| <i>Physaloptera</i> sp.  | <i>R. major</i>  | Las Lomitas and Ing. Juárez, Formosa; Concepción del Bermejo, Chaco                    | Hamann and González, 2015                                |
|                          | <i>Melanophryniscus klappenbachi</i> Prigioni and Langone, 2000  | Resistencia, Chaco   | Hamann <i>et al.</i> , 2014                              |
|                          | <i>C. pierottii</i> *  | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |
|                          | <i>L. bufonius</i>   | Matacos Department, Formosa  | Present study  |

\* new host record; £ new geographical record

zález and Hamann (2015a) found that *Cosmocerca parva* Travassos, 1925 and *C. podicipinus* (Cosmoceridae), collected as adults, are the most commonly occurring nematodes in Argentinean amphibians; each of them was found parasitizing 14 amphibian species. In the present study, cosmocercids were also the most common nematodes; they were represented by the greatest number of species (*A. hylambatis*, *C. podicipinus*, and *O. caudacutus*), and in general, the highest quantitative descriptors. In addition, they parasitized all the amphibian species analyzed, except for the hylid *S. nasicus*. However, it was not a species of the genus *Cosmocerca* Diesing, 1861 the one most frequently occurring, but *A. hylambatis* which presented the highest values of abundance and infection prevalence in the amphibians analyzed (see Table 2). This is in agreement with recent studies on the helminth fauna of anurans from the Dry Chaco ecoregion (Hamann and González, 2015; González *et al.*, 2019; 2020). We herein expand the hosts list of *A. hylambatis* in Argentina to thirteen species (see González and Hamann, 2015a and Table 3).

Nematodes of the families Rhabdiasidae, Cosmoceridae, and Molineidae have direct life cycles (Anderson, 2000); infection may occur in some cases by active penetration of the infective larvae (L3) that occur in the soil and penetrates through the skin, or through the mucosa or eye cavity (e.g., *Rhabdias*, *Oswaldocruzia*, *Cosmocerca*); in others, amphibians ingest the larvae present in the environment and acquire the infection (e.g., *Aplectana*). For *Oxyascaris* Travassos, 1920, no information is available about their life cycle yet.

Nematodes of the family Atractidae have been found in amphibians, reptiles, mammals and fishes. Eggs develop to third-stage larvae in utero and autoinfect the host; these autoinfections are generally accompanied by high intensities, low pathogenesis and large larvae. Their transmission from host to host is not understood for most species (Anderson, 2000).

Of all the nematodes found in this study, only those of the genus *Physaloptera* Rudolphi, 1819 present an indirect life cycle. Amphibians become infected when they consume intermediate hosts (e.g. orthopterans and coleopterans) that contain L3. In amphibians, these nematodes do not develop or grow, and, only complete their life cycle when consumed by the definitive hosts, which include all types of terrestrial vertebrates (Anderson, 2000; de Quadros *et al.*, 2014). Accordingly, the amphibians

analyzed in this study are the definitive hosts in the life cycle of eight nematode taxa, while only in the case of genus *Physaloptera* found as larvae, the frogs *L. bufonius* and *C. pierottii* represent paratenic hosts.

In recent years, the Gran Chaco ecoregion has been the focus of relevant studies spanning related topics such as the biodiversity of amphibians, the loss of that diversity as a consequence of human activities, the regional distribution of biodiversity according to land-use and land-cover, and the determination of priority conservation areas (see Medina *et al.*, 2016; Nori *et al.*, 2016; Torres *et al.*, 2014). At this point, we would like to highlight that the loss of amphibian species also represents the loss of a whole other dimension of diversity that is usually not taken into account, i.e. the diversity of organisms that parasitize them. Future studies in new host species, will provide further knowledge of the helminth fauna of these vertebrates in one of the most threatened subtropical woodland savannas in the world.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the Dirección de Recursos Naturales y Gestión del Ministerio de la Producción y Ambiente de la Provincia de Formosa, Argentina to authorize the capture of the frogs for this research (Licence 180-18/152-19), to the staff of the Reserva Natural Formosa, Administración de Parques Nacionales (APN) for the logistic and good predisposition, and to the editor for the helpful suggestions that improved this manuscript.

Financial support was provided by International Barcode of Life Project (iBOL), and by CONICET (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas) and SGCyT-UNNE (Secretaría General de Ciencia y Técnica- Universidad Nacional del Nordeste) of Argentina (M.I. Duré through grant CONICET-PIP 11220170100206CO and SGCyT-PI 18Q001; E.G. Etchepare through grant SGCyT-PI18FO12, and O.F. Gallego through CONICET-PUE 22920180100001CO).

### Literature cited

- Aho, J.M. 1990. Helminth communities of amphibians and reptiles: comparative approaches to understanding patterns and processes: 157-195. *In*: Esch, G.W.; Bush, A.O. & Aho, J.M. (ed.), Parasite communities: patterns and processes. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Anderson, R.C. 2000. Nematode Parasites of Vertebrates: Their Development and Transmission. 2nd Edition. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxford.

- Baker, M.R. & Vaucher, C. 1988. Parasitic helminths from Paraguay XV: Atractidae (Nematoda: Cosmocercoidea) from frogs. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* 95: 421–431.
- Bush, A.O.; Lafferty, K.D.; Lotz, J.M. & Shostak, A.W. 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology* 83: 575–583.
- Campiã, K.M.; Morais, D.H.; Dias, O.T.; Aguiar, A.; Toledo, G. & Tavares, L.E.R. 2014. Checklist of Helminth parasites of Amphibians from South America. *Zootaxa* 3843:1–93.
- Campiã, K.M.; Ribas, A.C.; Morais, D.H.; Silva, R.J. & Tavares, L.E.R. 2015. How Many Parasites Species a Frog Might Have? Determinants of Parasite Diversity in South American Anurans. *PLoS ONE* 10(10): e0140577.
- Crump, M. L. & Scott Jr., N. J. 1994. Visual Encounter Surveys: 84–91. In: Heyer, W.R.; Donnelly, M.A.; McDiarmid, R.W.; Hayek, L.C. & Foster, M.S. (eds.). *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity – standard methods for amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington.
- de Quadros, R.M., Marques, S.M.T., de Moura, A.B. & Antonelli, M. 2014. First report of the nematode *Physaloptera praeputialis* parasitizing a jaguarandi. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* 9:186–189.
- Draghi, R.; Drago, F.B. & Lunaschi, L.I. 2015a. First report of the genus *Neocosmocercella* Baker and Vaucher, 1983 (Nematoda: Cosmocercoidea) parasitizing amphibians from Argentina. *Revista Argentina de Parasitología* 3: 12–15.
- Draghi, R.; Lunaschi, L.I. & Drago, F.B. 2015b. First report of helminth parasitizing *Trachycephalus typhonius* (Anura: Hylidae) from northeastern Argentina. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 86: 255–261.
- Dueñas Díaz, M.; Drago, F.B. & Núñez, V.A. 2018. New species of *Microtetrameres* (Nematoda, Tetrameridae) parasitizing *Buteogallus urubitinga* (Aves, Accipitridae) from northeastern Argentina. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 90: 2967–2976.
- Frost, D.R. 2020. Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0. Disponible en: <http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html>. Last access: April 17, 2020.
- Gómez, A. & Nichols, E. 2013. Neglected wild life: Parasitic biodiversity as a conservation target. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 2: 222–227.
- González, C.E. & Hamann, M.I. 2015a. Checklist of nematode parasites of amphibians from Argentina. *Zootaxa* 3980: 451–476.
- González, C.E. & Hamann, M.I. 2015b. First report of *Schulzia travassosi* (Nematoda, Trichostrongylinea, Molineoidea) for amphibians of the Chaco region in Argentina and proposal of *Oswaldocruzia melanostictusi* nov. comb. *Acta Parasitologica* 60: 784–790.
- González, C.E. & Hamann, M.I. 2016. Nematode Parasites of *Leptodactylus elenae* and *Leptodactylus podicipinus* (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from Corrientes, Argentina. *Comparative Parasitology* 83: 117–121.
- González, C.E.; Gómez, V.I. & Hamann, M.I. 2019. Morphological variation of *Aplectana hylambatis* (Nematoda: Cosmocercoidea) from different anuran hosts and localities in Argentina. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 91(3): e20171028.
- González, C.E.; Duré, M.I.; Palomas, S.Y. & Schaefer, E.F. 2020. Structure of the helminth community in *Dermatonotus muelleri* (Anura: Microhylidae) from the driest area of the American Chaco. *Annals of Parasitology* 66: 39–47.
- Hamann, M.I. & Pérez, D.V. 1999. Presencia de *Haematoloechus longiplexus* Stafford, 1902 (Trematoda, Haematoloechidae) en anfibios argentinos. *FACENA* 15: 157–162.
- Hamann M.I.; Kehr, A.I. & González, C.E. 2014. Helminth community structure in the Argentinean bufonid *Melanophryniscus klappenbachi*: importance of habitat uses and season. *Parasitology Research* 113: 3639–3649.
- Hamann, M.I. & González, C.E. 2015. Helminth parasites in the toad *Rhinella major* (Bufonidae) from Chaco region, Argentina. *Acta Herpetologica* 10: 93–101.
- Hamann, M.I.; Kehr, A.I. & González, C.E. 2013a. Biodiversity of trematodes associated with amphibians from different habitats in Corrientes Province, Argentina. *Journal of Helminthology* 87: 286–300.
- Hamann, M.I.; Kehr, A.I. & González, C.E. 2013b. Helminth Communities in the Burrowing Toad, *Rhinella fernandezae*, from Northeastern Argentina. *Biología* 68: 1155–1162.
- Hamann, M.I.; González, C.E. & Fernández, M.V. 2020. Trematode parasites associated with amphibians from a rice field in the northeastern Argentina. *Food Webs* 22: e00139.
- Koprivnikar, J.; Marcogliese, D.J.; Rohr, J.R.; Orlofske, S.A.; Raffel, T.R. & Johnson, P.T.J. 2012. Macroparasite Infections of Amphibians: What Can They Tell Us? *EcoHealth* 9: 342–360.
- Koprivnikar, J. & Redfern, J.C. 2012. Agricultural effects on amphibian parasitism: importance of general habitat perturbations and parasite life cycles. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 48: 925–936.
- Lafferty, K.D.; Allesina, S.; Arim, M.; Briggs, C.J.; De Leo, G.; Dobson, A.P.; Dunne, J.A.; Johnson, P.T.J.; Kuris, A.M.; Marcogliese, D.J.; Martinez, N.D.; Memmott, J.; Marquet, P.A.; McLaughlin, J.P.; Mordecai, E.A.; Pascual, M.; Poulin, R. & Thielges, D.W. 2008. Parasites in food webs: the ultimate missing links. *Ecology Letters* 11: 533–546
- Lamas, M. & Zaracho, V. 2006. *Tropidurus torquatus* (Brown lizard). Endoparasites. *Herpetological Review* 37: 474–475.
- Lunaschi, L.I. & Drago, F. 2007. Checklist of digenean parasites of amphibians and reptiles from Argentina. *Zootaxa* 1476: 51–68.
- Lunaschi, L.I. & Drago, F. 2010. Platyhelminthes, Trematoda, Digenea Carus, 1863: Distribution extension in Argentina and new Anura and Ophidia hosts. *Check List* 6: 447–450.
- Lunaschi L.I.; Drago F.B. & Núñez, V. 2018. Two new species of *Echinostoma* (Digenea: Echinostomatidae) from Argentinean birds. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 89: 356–364.
- Maldonado, P.; Hohne, E. & Naumann, M. 2006. Atlas del Gran Chaco Sudamericano. Sociedad Alemana de Cooperación Técnica (GTZ), ErreGé and Asoc. Buenos Aires.
- Marcogliese, D.J. & Cone, D.K. 1997. Food webs: a plea for parasites. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 12: 320–325.
- Medina, R.G.; Ponssa, M.L. & Aráoz, E. 2016. Environmental, land cover and land use constraints on the distributional patterns of anurans: *Leptodactylus* species (Anura, Leptodactylidae) from Dry Chaco. *PeerJ* 4: e2605.
- Morello, J.; Matteuci, S.D.; Rodriguez, A.F. & Silva, M.E. 2012. Ecorregiones y Complejos Ecosistémicos Argentinos. 1st. Ed. Orientación Gráfica Editora. Buenos Aires.
- Nori, J.; Torres, R.; Lescano, J.N.; Cordier, J.M.; Periago, M.E. & Baldo, D. 2016. Protected areas and spatial conservation priorities for endemic vertebrates of the Gran Chaco, one

C.E. González *et al.* — Parasites of amphibians from Dry Chaco, Argentina.

- of the most threatened ecoregions of the world. *Diversity and Distributions* 22: 1212–1219.
- Orozco, M.M.; Ceballos, L.A.; Pino, M. & Gürtler, R.E. 2014. Local threats and potential infectious hazards to maned wolves (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*) in the southeastern Argentine Chaco. *Mammalia* 78: 339–349
- Perea-Rodríguez, J.P.; Milano, A.M.; Oshero, B.E. & Fernández-Duque, E. 2010. Gastrointestinal parasites of owl monkeys *Aotus azarai azarai* in the Argentinean Chaco. *Neotropical Primates* 17: 7–11.
- Ríos, T.A.; Drago, F.B.; Ezquiaga, M.C.; Abba, A.M. & Navone, G.T. 2020. Cestode Parasites of Armadillos (Mammalia: Xenarthra) from Argentina: Two New Species of *Mathevotaenia* (Anoplocephalidae) in *Tolypeutes matacus*. *Journal of Parasitology* 106: 123–132.
- Rocha, C.F.D.; Bergallo, H.G. & Bittencourt, E.B. 2016. More than just invisible inhabitants: parasites are important but neglected components of the biodiversity. *ZOOLOGIA* 33: e20150198.
- Souza Lins, A.G.; Aguiar, A.; Morais, D.; Firmino da Silva, L.; Ávila, R.W. & da Silva, R.J. 2017. Helminth fauna of *Leptodactylus syphax* (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from Caatinga biome, northeastern Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Parasitology* 26: 74–80.
- Torres, R.; Gasparri, N.I.; Blendinger, P.G. & Grau, H.R. 2014. Land-use and land-cover effects on regional biodiversity distribution in a subtropical dry forest: a hierarchical integrative multi-taxa study. *Regional Environmental Change* 14: 1549–1561.
- Zaracho, V. & Lamas, M. 2006. *Tropidurus etheridgei* (Ututo). Endoparasites. *Herpetological Review* 37: 473.
- Zimmerman, B.L. 1994. Audio strip transects: 92–97. In: Heyer, W.R.; Donnelly, M.A.; McDiarmid, R.W.; Hayek, L.-A. & Foster, M.S. (ed.), *Measuring and monitoring biological diversity: Standard methods for amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington.

© 2021 por los autores, licencia otorgada a la Asociación Herpetológica Argentina. Este artículo es de acceso abierto y distribuido bajo los términos y condiciones de una licencia Atribución-No Comercial 2.5 Argentina de Creative Commons. Para ver una copia de esta licencia, visite <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/ar/>