



# Lactic Acid Bacteria Strains Differently Modulate Gut Microbiota and Metabolic and Immunological Parameters in High-Fat Diet-Fed Mice

Emanuel Fabersani<sup>1</sup>, Antonela Marquez<sup>2</sup>, Matías Russo<sup>2</sup>, Romina Ross<sup>3,4</sup>, Sebastián Torres<sup>5</sup>, Cecilia Fontana<sup>6</sup>, Edoardo Puglisi<sup>6</sup>, Roxana Medina<sup>1,2</sup> and Paola Gauffin-Cano<sup>2,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Facultad de Agronomía y Zootecnia, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>2</sup> Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos -CONICET, Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>3</sup> Instituto de Biotecnología Farmacéutica y Alimentaria -CONICET, Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>4</sup> Facultad Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad del Norte Santo Tomás de Aquino, Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>5</sup> Instituto de Bioprospección y Fisiología Vegetal -CONICET, Tucumán, Argentina, <sup>6</sup> Department for Sustainable Food Process, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Piacenza, Italy

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Demin Cai, Yangzhou University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Renqiang Yu, Wuxi Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, China Anandh Babu Pon Velayutham, The University of Utah, United States

> \*Correspondence: Paola Gauffin-Cano pgauffin@cerela.org.ar

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 01 June 2021 Accepted: 06 August 2021 Published: 09 September 2021

#### Citation:

Fabersani E, Marquez A, Russo M, Ross R, Torres S, Fontana C, Puglisi E, Medina R and Gauffin-Cano P (2021) Lactic Acid Bacteria Strains Differently Modulate Gut Microbiota and Metabolic and Immunological Parameters in High-Fat Diet-Fed Mice. Front. Nutr. 8:718564. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.718564 **Background:** Dietary strategies, including the use of probiotics as preventive agents that modulate the gut microbiota and regulate the function of adipose tissue, are suitable tools for the prevention or amelioration of obesity and its comorbidities. We aimed to evaluate the effect of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) with different adipo- and immuno-modulatory capacities on metabolic and immunological parameters and intestinal composition microbiota in high-fat-diet-induced in mice fed a high-fat diet

# **Methods:** Balb/c weaning male mice were fed a standard (SD) or high-fat diet (HFD) with or without supplementation with *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* CRL1446 (CRL1446), *Lactococcus lactis* CRL1434 (CRL1434), or *Lacticaseibacillus casei* CRL431 (CRL431) for 45 days. Biochemical and immunological parameters, white-adipose tissue histology, gut microbiota composition, and *ex vivo* cellular functionality (adipocytes and macrophages) were evaluated in SD and HFD mice.

**Results:** CRL1446 and CRL1434 administration, unlike CRL431, induced significant changes in the body and adipose tissue weights and the size of adipocytes. Also, these strains caused a decrease in plasmatic glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides, leptin, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 levels, and an increase of IL-10. The CRL1446 and CRL1434 obese adipocyte in *ex vivo* functionality assays showed, after LPS stimulus, a reduction in leptin secretion compared to obese control, while with CRL431, no change was observed. In macrophages from obese mice fed with CRL1446 and CRL1434, after LPS stimulus, lower levels of MCP-1, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 compared to obese control were observed. In contrast, CRL431 did not induce modification of cytokine values. Regarding gut microbiota, all strain administration caused a decrease in Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes index and diversity. As well as, related to genus results, all strains increased, mainly the genera *Alistipes, Dorea, Barnesiella*, and *Clostridium* XIVa. CRL1446 induced a higher increase in the *Lactobacillus* genus during the study period.

1

**Conclusions:** The tested probiotic strains differentially modulated the intestinal microbiota and metabolic/immunological parameters in high-fat-diet-induced obese mice. These results suggest that CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains could be used as adjuvant probiotics strains for nutritional treatment to obesity and overweight. At the same time, the CRL431 strain could be more beneficial in pathologies that require regulation of the immune system.

Keywords: lactobacilli, probiotic, adipocytes, macrophages, high-fat diet-fed mice, gut microbiota

## INTRODUCTION

Until the beginning of the 20th century, obesity was considered an individual problem caused by uncontrolled eating and personal responsibility. Currently, obesity is described as an immuno-metabolic, heterogeneous, polygenic, and multifactorial disease. It is characterized by excess and dysfunction of the adipose tissue and alteration of the gut microbiota, affecting human health. This multifactorial epidemic represents the main problem for public health worldwide (1).

So far, despite significant research, the underlying mechanisms involved in the development of obesity have not been fully elucidated. However, it has been established that three main factors: diet, genetics, and intestinal microbiota, interact with each other and set the immuno-metabolic profile of an individual. The intestine, brain, and adipose tissue are the principal organs involved in developing this disease.

The gut microbiota has been linked to diet, and it is widely recognized that its composition conditions an individual's metabolic profile. Studies have shown that dysbiosis and low species diversity are associated with obesity (2). Besides, the immune system also plays a crucial role in regulating gut microbiota, whereby immunity, further their participation in infection/inflammation activities, is fundamental to maintaining a healthy state or developing metabolic disease (3).

The human gut microbiota encompasses a densely populated ecosystem that provides essential functions for the host, affecting the metabolism and immune maturation in a state of symbiosis. Gut microbiota composition changes leading to dysbiosis state could propitiate the development of obesity; in fact, studies in animals have suggested that dysbiosis is a causal agent of this disease (4).

In humans and mice, obesity correlates, in most cases, with changes in the relative abundance of two dominant bacterial *phyla* in the intestine: Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. It was reported an increase of the Firmicutes and a decrease in Bacteroidetes, which increases the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes (F/B) index (5). Also, transfer the gut microbiota from genetically obese (*ob/ob*) mice to germ-free wild-type (WT) mice have been shown to lead to an increase in fat mass, conducting the speculation that the intestinal microbiota promotes obesity by increasing the host's ability to draw energy from ingested foods, and controlling food intake and energy distribution (6).

The inflammation of adipose tissue (AT) was proposed as a central mechanism in developing obesity and its associated metabolic disorders (7, 8). Immune cells resident into AT are the second major cellular component after adipocytes and, as such, play an essential role in maintaining local and systemic homeostasis. Obesity-induced changes in cell numbers, functionality, and adipokine profile result in the activation of the local and systemic inflammatory response, marking the transition from a simple increase of adiposity to insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus type II (DM2), or non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFLD) associated with obesity (7). Obesity induces lowgrade inflammation or "meta-inflammation" (inflammation of metabolic organs) throughout the body. This chronic state of inflammation is mediated by macrophages located within the colon, liver, muscle, and adipose tissue (9).

Consequently, immunity plays a fundamental role in health or metabolic disease, supporting the alternative strategies for treating these metabolic diseases using immunobiotics (immunoregulatory probiotics or microbial strains able to regulate the mucosal immune system beneficially). Effectively, several authors have investigated probiotics for their potential use to treat or prevent nutritional disorders (5, 10–12). These beneficial strains influence the regulation of the cytokine profile, adipokines, and intestinal microbiota structure and ameliorate diet-induced immuno-metabolic alterations (13).

Establishing a process of selection of strains with probiotic potential for obesity represents a great challenge, taking into account the wide range by which a bacterium could exert its beneficial effect. We focused our study on the premise that the meta-inflammation associated with obesity is responsible for developing this disease and taking leptin as the central adipokine in this process. We previously selected non-inflammatory lactic acid bacteria (LAB) strains with the capacity to modulate adipokine secretion in adipocyte cultures (13). Our *in vitro* previous results allowed us to establish the capacities of different LAB to modulate the immune system or adipose tissue, and we selected three strains with specific characteristics to be studied in the following *in vivo* essay: *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* CRL1446, *Lactococcus lactis* CRL1434, or *Lacticaseibacillus casei* CRL431.

In this work, we aimed to evaluate the effect of LAB with different adipo- and immuno-modulatory capacities on metabolic and immunological parameters and the composition of intestinal microbiota in a high-fat diet-fed mice model.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions**

The LAB used in this study were obtained from the culture collection of the Reference Center for Lactobacillus (CERELA)-CONICET, Tucumán, Argentina. *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* 

CRL1446 (CRL1446), *Lactococcus lactis* CRL1434 (CRL1434), or *Lacticaseibacillus casei* CRL431 (CRL431) were selected in previous studies by their non-inflammatory properties and capacities to modulate adipokine secretion (leptin) in cells culture (13). The LAB were cultured in Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth (MRS, Britania, Buenos Aires, Argentina) for 16 h at 37  $^{\circ}$  C (late exponential phase), following the methodology previously described by Fabersani et al. (13).

#### Animals, Diets, and Experimental Design

Balb/c male mice aged 21 days (n = 80) were obtained from the closed random-bred colony maintained at CERELA. Experiments were carried out following the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals Center of CERELA. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee (approval number CRL-BIOT- EF-2012 / 2A).

In the adaptation period, the mice were housed in acclimated cages at 22  $\pm$  2  $^{\circ}$  C, with a light / dark cycle of 12 h, and fed a standard diet (SD). After that, some animals were switched to the high-fat diet (HFD). The animals were randomly separated into the following groups (n = 16 each group): SD: mice fed standard diet; HFD: mice fed high-fat diet; HFD-CRL1446: mice fed HFD supplemented with CRL1446; HFD-CRL1434: mice fed HFD supplemented with CRL1434 and HFD-CRL431: mice fed HFD supplemented with CRL431. The HFD provided 20% kcal as protein, 20% kcal as carbohydrate, and 60% kcal as fat (energy density: 5.21 kcal/g diet) (Research Diets Inc., D12492, Rodent Diet with 60 kcal% Fat, NJ, USA). The SD provided 20% kcal as protein, 60% kcal as carbohydrate, and 20% kcal as fat (energy density: 3.21 kcal/g diet) (Association of Argentine Cooperatives, Buenos Aires, Argentina). Mice had free access to diets for 45 days. The mice received a daily probiotic dose of each LAB in the drinking water  $(1.0 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1})$  (14–16). Each animal drinks about 1–4 ml per day, receiving between  $1.0 \times 10^8$  and  $4.0 \times 10^8$  CFU of probiotic LAB per day. The drinking water supplemented with LAB was replaced every 12 h.

Body weight was measured weekly, and caloric intake was accounted for from daily food consumption. At the end of the study, animals fasted for 12 h and subsequently anesthetized (intraperitoneally into the right lower quadrant of the abdomen) with 30  $\mu$ l of the anesthetic mixture containing 2 mg ketamine and 0.2 mg xylazine (independently of the bodyweight), and sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Blood samples were collected in tubes containing EDTA, centrifuged to obtain plasma, and was kept at  $-20^{\circ}$ C to analyze metabolic parameters. The fecal contents were collected at the end of the experimental period (45 days) for gut microbiota analyses. Fat pads of epidydimal and mesenteric adipose tissues (total adipose tissue; TAT) were removed and rinsed thoroughly with ice-cold saline (PBS), weighed, and fixed in a 10% neutral formalin buffered solution for histological analysis.

# Analysis of Metabolic and Inflammatory Parameters

Triglycerides, total cholesterol, and glucose were analyzed in the plasma samples. The analysis was carried out by enzymatic methods using commercial kits (Wiener Lab, Rosario, Argentina). Also, plasmatic leptin levels were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Mouse / Rat Leptin Quantikine ELISA Kit, MN, USA). Plasma insulin levels were measured using ELISA (Mouse Insulin ELISA Kit, Alpco Diagnostics, USA). The chemokine monocyte chemoattractant protein- 1 (MCP-1) and the cytokines, tumor necrosis factoralpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin (IL)-6 (IL-6), and IL-10 were determined by flow cytometry using the BD<sup>TM</sup> Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) Mouse Inflammation Kit (BD Bioscience, 560485, San Diego, CA, USA).

# **Histological Analysis of Adipocytes**

Paraffin-embedded epididymal adipose tissues were sectioned to a thickness of 4–5  $\mu$ m and fixed to glass slides. Slides were deparaffinized and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. The adipocyte number and sizes of each group were determined according to the methodology described by Gauffin-Cano et al. (10). For the histological analysis was used the Carl Zeiss-Axio Vision software, Release 4.8. Adipocyte numbers and sizes were measured in 100 cells of two sections of epididymal adipose tissue per mouse (n = 8 each group). Adipocyte sizes were expressed as area ranges using the following ranges: areas <500 (1); 500–1000 (2); 1,000–2,000 (3); 2,000–4,000 (4) and >4,000 (5)  $\mu$ m2) to be able to compare between the different groups.

# *Ex vivo* Functionality Evaluation of Peritoneal Macrophages and Adipocytes

Ex vivo functionality studies were carried out on day 45 of the experiment. Macrophages cells were collected from the peritoneal cavity, according to Fabersani et al. (16) in 5 mL of cold Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) (Gibco, #41965-039, CA, USA), containing 10% inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, 10082139, CA, USA), streptomycin/penicillin (Sigma ALDRICH P 4333, MO, USA). The isolated macrophages were plated into the flask (Costar® 24, NY, USA) at a concentration of 106 cells/mL in DMEM and incubated for 2h at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Then nonadhered cells were washed out with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (135 mM NaCl, 8.1 mM Na2HPO4, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, and 3.0 mM KCl, pH 7.4). To evaluate the functionality of peritoneal macrophages (from each mice group), adhered macrophages were cultured overnight into 24-well flat-bottom polystyrene microtiter plates (Costar® 24 well flat, #3524, NY, USA) at the concentration of 1 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL in DMEN. The culture media were changed before LAB stimulation, and then macrophages were incubated in the presence of 100  $\mu$ L of a cell suspension  $11 \times 0^7$  CFU/ml of each strain for 24 h. For positive stimulation control, macrophages were incubated in the presence of purified lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from E. coli serotype O26: B6 (SIGMA-ALDRICH, # L2654, MO, USA) at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ g/mL. Non-stimulated peritoneal macrophages were evaluated as controls of basal cytokine production. Cell viability was determined with Trypan-blue (SIGMA-ALDRICH, # T6146, MO, USA). The cell culture supernatants were collected and stored at -20°C until cytokine determination. Secreted TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, IL-10, and MCP-1 by macrophages were quantified in cell supernatants using BD<sup>TM</sup> Cytometric Bead Array (CBA)

Mouse Inflammation Kit (BD Bioscience, 560485, San Diego, CA, USA).

To study the functionality of adipocytes from the different groups, adipocytes from epididymal adipose tissue were isolated by collagenase digestion (Collagenase from Clostridium histolyticum Type II #C6885 SIGMA, USA.) as was previously described (16). Briefly, adipose tissues were digested for 1 h at 37°C in DMEM high glucose containing 1.5 mg/mL collagenase II and supplemented with 10% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin and FBS. The suspension was centrifuged (400 rpm for 5 min). The stromal-vascular cells (capillary, endothelial, mast, macrophage, and epithelial cells) in the bottom were removed by aspiration, and the mature adipocytes were washed with supplemented DMEM high glucose. Isolate adipocyte cells were inoculated in 0.5 mL of plating medium into 24-well plates at a concentration of 5 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/wells. The cells were maintained in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere for 24 h at 37°C. The medium was changed before the stimulation, and then, adipocyte cells were incubated with 100  $\mu$ L of a cell suspension of 11 imes $0^7$  CFU/mL of each strain for 24 h. The positive stimulation control were adipocytes from different mice groups incubated in the presence of purified LPS from E. coli serotype O26: B6 at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ g/mL. Non-stimulated adipocytes were evaluated as controls of basal leptin production. Leptin concentrations secreted by adipocytes were measured in cell supernatants with a DuoSet kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The assays were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Each parameter was determined in triplicate in two independent experiments.

#### DNA Extraction and High-Throughput Sequencing of 16S rRNA Gene Amplicons

Fecal samples from each group (n = 3) were collected at 20 and 45 days of study in sterile Eppendorf tubes and stored at -20 °C until use. The DNA extraction was performed using a specific commercial kit for fecal samples (QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit, Hilden, Germany). The V3-V4 bacterial regions of the 16S rRNA were amplified by PCR using primer pairs, 343F (5'-TACGGRAGGCAGCAG-3') and 802R (5'-TACNVGGGTWTC TAATCC-3'), according to Polka et al. (17). The PCR product set was sent to Fasteris SA (Geneva, Switzerland) for sequencing using the TruSeq TM DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). High throughput sequencing was performed on a MiSeq Illumina instrument (Illumina Inc.) using the V2 chemistry in a 2 x 250 configuration to cover the entire amplicon length, estimated at  $\sim$ 450 bp. The matched end fitting and quality filtering were performed using Flash software (18). Sample multiplexing was carried out using information from the barcoding DNA samples and the Mothur platform. Chimera elimination was performed using the UCHIME algorithm (19). Then, the sequences obtained were grouped according to their similarity in different operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and annotated at taxonomic levels, comparing them with the public reference database SILVA (20).

Diversity indexes were calculated using the Mothur platform using default parameters and the mean method in the clustering step (21). Diverse wealth-measuring diversity parameters such as Sobs or Chao as well as diversity in terms of homogeneity, such as Shannon and Simpson, were calculated from operational taxonomic units (OTUs) grouped by 97% and using a standardized subset of 23,000 sequences Selected at random, after multiple blends (10,000X) from the original database. At the phylum and genus level, the taxonomic assignment of the subset of high-quality readings was performed using the Bayesian RDP classifier (22), using a cutoff confidence assignment of 0.8. Beta diversity was analyzed by performing the Unifracweighted analysis.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significant differences were determined by applying one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test or non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. In every case, P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

#### CRL1446 and CRL1434 Administration Induced a Decrease in Body Weight Gain and the Feed Conversion Ratio in HFD Mice

**Figure 1** shows the effect of different dietary treatments on body weight gain (BWG) (**A**) and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) (B) at 7, 20, and 45 days of bacterial treatment. Body weight gain (BWG) is defined as the body weight increase in a determined time (Final body weight—Initial body weight). We observe that the HFD induces an increase of twice the BWG at day 45, concerning the SD group. On day 45, the groups HFD-CRL1446 (P = 0.0025) and HFD-CRL1434 (P = 0.0175) showed a significant reduction of BWG compared with the HFD group in all studied periods (**Figure 1A**). On day 45, the HFD supplementation with strain CRL431 not showed significant changes in BWG compared to the HFD group (**Figure 1A**).

The food intake and BWG allow evaluating the capacity to transform grams of food consumed into grams of body weight. This is defined as feed conversion ratio (FCR) [Body weight gain (g)/Food consumed (g) x 100]. The FCR is closely related to the structure of the intestinal microbiota and diet. The modification of the intestinal ecosystem by the administration of LAB may influence this parameter. The FCR was determined on days 7, 20, and 45 (**Figure 1B**). The HFD showed a significant increase (63–69%) of the FCR compared to the SD group at all times evaluated (P < 0.0001). Only the HFD supplementation with the strains CRL1446 and CRL1434 induced a significant (P = 0.0018 and P = 0.0076, respectively) reduction in FCR in all the assays (45 and 38%, respectively at 45 days) (**Figure 1B**).



**FIGURE 1** Body weight gain (**A**) and Feed conversion ratio (FCR) (**B**) at days 7, 20, and 45, Relative weight of epididymal adipose tissue (EAT) (**C**). Mesenteric adipose tissue (MAT) (**D**), and Total adipose tissue (TAT) (**E**) at days 20 and 45, Distribution of adipocyte size at day 20 (**F**). Distribution of adipocyte size at day 45 (**G**), and Histology of epididymal adipose tissue at day 45 (**H**) of mice under the administration of standard Diet (SD), or a high-fat diet (HFD) with administration of CRL1446 (HFD-CRL1446), CRL1434 (HFD-CRL1434), and CRL431 (HFD-CRL431) at a dose of 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL. Control mice were fed with a SD or HFD without bacterial supplementation. The FCR is the relation between the food consumed (g) and the body weight gain (g) expressed as a percentage. Adipocyte cell sizes are expressed as area ranges: <500, 500–1,000, 1,000–2,000, and 2,000–4,000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. Photomicrographs 40X of representative HE-stained slides of AT are only shown on day 45. Each group of data (*n* = 6) is represented by the mean ± SEM (standard error mean). In Figure (**A**) and (**B**), in the same period of treatment (7, 20, or 45 d), data with different lowercase letters (a–c) are significantly different (*P* < 0.05). In Figure (**F**) and (**G**), data with different lowercase letters in the same adipocyte cell sizes (area ranges) are significantly different (*P* < 0.05). In Figure (**F**) and (**G**), data with different lowercase letters in the same adipocyte cell sizes (area ranges) are significantly different (*P* < 0.05). In Figure (**F**) and (**G**), data with different lowercase letters in the same adipocyte cell sizes (area ranges) are significantly different (*P* < 0.05). In Figure (**F**) and (**G**), data with different lowercase letters in the same adipocyte cell sizes (area ranges) are significantly different (*P* < 0.05). In Figure (**F**) and (**G**), data with different lowercase letters in the same adipocyte cell sizes (area ranges) are significantly different (*P* < 0.05). In Figure (**F**) and (**G**), data with dif

## The Adipose Tissue Weight and Adipocyte Area Were Reduced by CRL1446 and CRL1434 Administration in HFD Mice

We evaluated the weight of total adipose tissue (TAT) (Figure 1E), epididymal adipose tissue (EAT) (Figure 1C), and mesenteric adipose tissue (MAT) (Figure 1D). The HFD significantly (P < 0.0001) increased TAT weight by  $\approx 1.69$  and 1.65 fold at days 20 and 45 of treatment concerning the SD group. In addition, we observed that this effect was more significant in the EAT than MAT compared with the SD group (Figures 1C,D, respectively). At days 20 and 45, the

supplementation of HFD with CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains induced a significant decrease in all adipose tissue (TAT, EAT, and MAT), reaching SD values. CRL431 strain induced a significant reduction of TAT and EAT weight without reach the SD values.

In histological sections of EAT, we analyzed changes in the adipose tissue structure (**Figures 1F,G**), determining the number of adipocytes with different area sizes (adipocytes with areas sizes between 0–500; 500–1,000; 1,000–2,000; 2,000–4,000 and >4,000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). On day 20 of treatment (**Figure 1F**), HFD significantly induced the increase of the hypertrophied adipocytes number (area between 2,000 and 4,000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) and reduced the adipocyte number between 500 and 1,000.



In the HFD group, at 45 days (Figure 1G), the more significant adipocyte number with an area between 3,000 and 8,000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> was observed. Furthermore, at 20 or 45 days of treatment, we observed fewer small adipocytes (area between 1,000 and 2,000  $\mu$ m2) in HFD mice than in SD mice. At 20 days, supplementation of HFD with strains CRL1446 and CRL1434 induced a significant reduction of medium (1,000-2,000  $\mu$ m2) and large (2,000-4000  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) adipocytes, while small adipocytes (500–1,000  $\mu$ m2) significantly increased compared to the HFD group (Figures 1F,G). The CRL431 strain increased median adipocytes  $(1,000-2000 \ \mu m^2)$  and significantly reduced large adipocytes  $(2,000-4,000 \ \mu m^2)$ ; this effect was lower than the other strains (CRL1446 and CRL1434). At 45 days (Figure 1G), only CRL1446 maintained increasing the small adipocytes  $(1,000-2,000 \ \mu m^2)$ with an almost total reduction of hypertrophied adipocytes  $(\geq 3,000 \,\mu m^2)$ . In Figure 1H we showed, representative images of hematoxylin- and eosin-stained sections of EAT in mice fed HFD with and without administration of CRL1446 (HFD-CRL1446), CRL1434 (HFD-CRL1434), and CRL431 (HFD-CRL431) at 45 days.

#### Plasma Glucose, Triglyceride, Cholesterol, and Insulin and Leptin Levels Were Reduced by CRL1446 and CRL1434 Administration in HFD Mice

We analyzed the effects of HFD and LAB administration in glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and leptin levels for 45 days (**Figures 2A–D**). Our results showed strain-dependent effects on carbohydrates and lipids metabolism. Related to glucose and triglyceride levels, the HFD supplementation with CRL1446 and CRL1434 significantly reduces these markers compared to the HFD group (**Figures 2A,B**, respectively). While that administration of CRL431 only significantly decreased glucose and triglyceride levels at 20 days. Regarding the total cholesterol levels (**Figure 2C**), the supplementation with





CRL1446 and CRL1434 induced a decrease compared to the HFD group at 20 and 45 days. CRL431 induced significant changes in total cholesterol only at 45 days of administration.

Insulin levels (**Figure 2D**) in HFD group are significantly increased compared to SD group. the HFD supplementation with CRL1446 and CRL1434 induced a significant decrease concerning HFD mice, whereas in HFD-CRL431 group, no change was observed

In **Figure 2E**, we observed that HFD had an adiposemodulatory effect since significantly increased plasma leptin levels (1.37 times at 20 days and 1.44 times at 45 days) than SD. The administration of CRL431 strain induced an increase in leptin levels, which was significantly higher than HFD. The CRL1446 and CRL1434 have the opposite effect; these strains induced a significant reduction of leptin levels, reaching the levels of the SD group.

#### Inflammatory Status in HFD Mice Was Modulated by LAB in a Strain-Dependent Way

We determined plasma levels of cytokines (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and IL-10) and the chemokine MCP-1 at 45 days, as shown in **Figure 3**. HFD, compared to SD, significantly increased TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and MCP-1 (**Figures 3A–C**), while IL-10 was reduced, demonstrating a pro-inflammatory effect of diet (**Figure 3D**). Supplementation with CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains completely restored proinflammatories molecules (IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$  y MCP-1), achieving the levels of the SD group. Regarding IL-10 levels, this was partially increased with CRL1446 and CRL431, compared with SD group. At the same time, CRL1434 administration recovered this cytokine close to SD levels. Supplementation with strain CRL431 induced a significant increase in IL-6 levels compared to the HFD group.

#### CRL1446, CRL1434, and CRL431 Showed Different Influence in Adipocyte and Macrophage Functionality in HFD Mice

We further evaluated the ex vivo functionality of peritoneal macrophages by producing TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 (pro-inflammatory molecules), and IL-10 (anti-inflammatory molecule) cytokines, and MCP-1 chemokine (pro-inflammatory molecule) (Table 1). We did not observe significant differences in the basal production of the cytokines (Control, supernatant of culture cells without stimulus) of the different groups, except for TNF- $\alpha$  at 45 days and MCP-1compared with SD mice. When macrophages were stimulated with LPS, HFD reduced IL-10 production at 20 and 45 days and increased TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and MCP-1 at 45 days, concerning the macrophages of the SD group. The LAB administration to macrophages challenged with LPS restored IL-10 levels to values similar to the SD group. The CRL1434 strain induced the highest levels of IL-10. On the other hand, the administration of CRL1446 and CRL1434 produced a significant reduction in the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and chemokines (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and MCP-1) increased by HFD. The CRL431 strain did not induce a significant change in these pro-inflammatory molecules in the HFD group.

The *ex-vivo* adipocyte functionality in obese mice treated with and without LAB administration was evaluated through leptin

Period	Cytokines	Stimulus	Cytokines from peritoneal macrophage (pg/mL)*					
			SD	HFD	HFD-CRL1446	HFD-CRL1434	HFD-CRL431	
20 d	TNF-α	Control	$29.4\pm4.5^{\rm a}$	$34.4\pm6.3^{\mathrm{a}}$	$28.0\pm3.3^{\rm a}$	$38.5\pm3.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	$37.1 \pm 3.3^{a}$	
		LPS	$1087.1 \pm 63.2^{\circ}$	$5866.1 \pm 337.8^{a}$	$1482.5 \pm 128.6^{\rm b}$	$2674.8 \pm 240.5^{ab}$	$4036.8 \pm 500.5^{\rm c}$	
	IL-6	Control	$16.6 \pm 4.1^{a}$	$29.8\pm5.9^{\text{a}}$	$19.9\pm3.1^{\mathrm{a}}$	$27.1\pm5.3^{\rm a}$	$27.3\pm4.4^{\text{a}}$	
		LPS	$520.9\pm63.3^{\rm b}$	$2206.5 \pm 512.6^{\rm a}$	$1090.8 \pm 164.3^{\rm b}$	$1128.6 \pm 78.9^{\rm b}$	$1609.3 \pm 275.2^{\rm b}$	
	MCP-1	Control	$6889.7 \pm 394.5^{a}$	$7472.5 \pm 481.6^{a}$	$5834.2 \pm 672.9^{a}$	$5676.5 \pm 653.0^{\rm a}$	$5960.6 \pm 529.9^{a}$	
		LPS	$17468.1 \pm 877.5^{\rm b}$	$19966.9 \pm 1259.9^{a}$	$14285.3 \pm 3187.4^{\rm b}$	$14788.2 \pm 2928.0^{\rm b}$	$15581.0 \pm 3106.5^{ m b}$	
	IL-10	Control	$3.7\pm0.8^{\text{a}}$	$1.3 \pm 1.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.5\pm1.8^{\mathrm{a}}$	$5.4 \pm 3.5$	$3.7\pm2.3^{a}$	
		LPS	$37.0\pm5.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	$8.4 \pm 1.3^{\circ}$	$29.5\pm2.9^{\rm b}$	$51.8\pm7.8^{\rm a}$	$33.9\pm3.8^{\rm b}$	
45 d	TNF-α	Control	$735.8 \pm 144.1^{a}$	$1788.8 \pm 316.0^{a}$	$632.3 \pm 176.3^{a}$	$680.8 \pm 82.4^{a}$	$1013.8 \pm 108.7^{a}$	
		LPS	$5194.8 \pm 912.9^{\mathrm{b}}$	$25365.3 \pm 2233.3^{\rm a}$	$3365.8 \pm 373.2^{\rm b}$	$7224.0 \pm 816.5^{\rm b}$	$16447.8 \pm 3099.0^{a}$	
	IL-6	Control	$41.7 \pm 4.4^{\mathrm{a}}$	$59.8 \pm 12.6^{\rm a}$	$41.7 \pm 4.4^{\mathrm{a}}$	$55.3\pm8.4^{\rm a}$	$64.6\pm10.7^{\rm a}$	
		LPS	$1922.3 \pm 110.2^{\rm b}$	$6802.5 \pm 1247.0^{a}$	$2224.0 \pm 359.3^{\rm b}$	$3036.3 \pm 598.2^{\rm b}$	$5926.5 \pm 1394.8^{a}$	
	MCP-1	Control	$13086.1 \pm 1025.1^{a}$	$17858.7 \pm 1048.5^{a}$	$14175.2 \pm 514.8^{a}$	$14008.7 \pm 662.8^{a}$	$18790.8 \pm 1715.9^{a}$	
		LPS	$16622.2 \pm 1496.9^{\rm b}$	$28010.6 \pm 1070.8^{\rm a}$	$18661.0 \pm 771.1^{b}$	$20542.3 \pm 1886.5^{\rm b}$	$28737.6 \pm 1242.8^{a}$	
	IL-10	Control	$5.1\pm0.3^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.3 \pm 1.1^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.3 \pm 1.3^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.5\pm0.8^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.8\pm0.6^{\rm a}$	
		LPS	$38.3\pm2.6^{\text{a}}$	$3.9\pm1.4^{\circ}$	$23.3\pm2.5^{\rm b}$	$40.5\pm3.0^{\rm a}$	$25.0\pm3.7^{\rm b}$	

TABLE 1 THE T. U. G. MCD 1. and U. 10 av vivo production by LDS atimulated or pap atimulated participage at 20 and 45 days

\*Peritoneal macrophage from mice under the standard diet (SD) or the high-fat diet (HFD) administration with and without administration of CRL1446 (HFD-CRL1446), CRL1434 (HFD-CRL1434), and CRL431 (HFD-CRL431) at a dose of  $1 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL. Peritoneal macrophages without stimulus were evaluated as controls of basal cytokine production (Control). Each group of data (n = 6) is represented by the mean  $\pm$  SEM (standard error mean). Different letters in some rows indicate significant differences. These evaluations were carried out using the ANOVA statistical analysis.

|--|

Period	Stimulus	Leptin from adipocyte (pg/mL)*						
		SD	HFD	HFD-CRL1446	HFD-CRL1434	HFD-CRL431		
20 d	Control	$12609\pm5^{a}$	$14131 \pm 16^{a}$	$16452 \pm 92^{a}$	$17203 \pm 28^{a}$	$15952\pm59^{\rm a}$		
	LPS	$16463 \pm 23^{a}$	$26733 \pm 81^{\rm b}$	$18713\pm36^{\rm a}$	$18885 \pm 50^{a}$	$22953 \pm 52^{\rm a,b}$		
45 d	Control LPS	$12949 \pm 8.14^{a}$ 28721 $\pm$ 87.51 $^{a}$	$15501 \pm 27^{a}$ $44763 \pm 06^{b}$	$10924 \pm 4^{a}$ 24241 $\pm 40^{c}$	$10697 \pm 3^{a}$ 21651 $\pm 96^{c}$	$14935 \pm 5^{a}$ $36092 \pm 30^{c}$		

\*Adipocyte cells from mice under the standard diet (SD) or the high-fat diet (HFD) administration with and without administration of CRL1446 (HFD-CRL1446), CRL1434 (HFD-CRL1434), and CRL431 (HFD-CRL431) at a dose of 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL. The cells were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Adipocyte cells without stimulus were evaluated as controls of basal cytokine production (Control). The mean  $\pm$  SEM represents each group of data (n = 6). Different letters in the same rows indicate significant differences. These evaluations were carried out using the ANOVA statistical analysis.

#### TABLE 3 | Alpha diversity metrics.

	Experimental Groups	Alpha diversity metrics*					
		Sobs	Chao	Ace	Shannon	Simpson	
	SD	1400.00	8550.04	17653.82	3.48	0.20	
20 d	HFD-20 d	1165.00	9516.07	16807.21	3.46	0.08	
	HFD-CRL1446-20d	1288.00	11718.68	27741.89	3.15	0.15	
	HDD-CRL1434-20d	1344.00	9621.51	25823.69	3.95	0.06	
	HFD-CRL431-20 d	1250.00	12076.40	29261.24	3.25	0.11	
45 d	HFD-20 d	1111.00	7788.68	16101.98	3.62	0.07	
	HFD-CRL1446-45d	1464.00	10134.00	27230.89	4.22	0.06	
	HDD-CRL1434-45 d	1421.00	12393.97	33202.88	4.01	0.06	
	HFD-CRL431 – 45 d	1399.00	9862.09	25947.74	4.34	0.04	

\*Sobs, Chao, Shannon, Ace, Simpsom's diversity of the intestinal fecal content of mice after the dietary intervention with a high-fat diet (HFD) with and without administration of CRL1446 (HFD-CRL1446), CRL1434 (HFD-CRL1434), and CRL431 (HFD-CRL431) at a dose of 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL.

production (**Table 2**). Adipocytes from different groups showed a differential profile of leptin production. HFD did not affect the basal production (Control, the supernatant of epididymal adipocytes without stimulus) of this hormone concerning the SD group. Nevertheless, the HFD increased the response to the LPS stimulus, showing higher levels of leptin production in both times (20 and 45 days). Supplementation of HFD diet with LAB reduced leptin levels in LPS-stimulated adipocytes, and this reduction was significant when the diet was supplemented with CRL1446 and CRL1434, compared to the HFD group, whereas strain CRL431 did not show significant differences.

# Effect of HFD and LAB on the Gut Microbiota Composition

The microbial communities present in the fecal content samples from mice from the different groups were analyzed by massive and parallel sequencing of 16S rDNA amplicons. **Table 3** (supplementary material) shows the alpha diversity analysis (Sobs, Chao, Shannon, and Simpson) and are calculated based on OTU species and abundance. The Sobs, Chao, and Ace (indexes of the species richness) showed that HFD induced a considerable reduction of theoretical intestinal microbial species compared with SD and LAB supplemented-HFD groups. The Shannon and Simpson indexes reflect community diversity (including species richness and species evenness). Interestingly, the HFD seems to maintain species diversity in terms of the evenness of the community because the index remained constant throughout the study period (20 and 45 days). Shannon's diversity index showed that the LAB supplementation of the HFD allowed increasing the diversity over time. Finally, the reduction of Simpson's index in HFD-CRL1446 and HFD-CRL431 showed a diversity gain over time.

Our results demonstrate essential changes in the intestinal microbiota composition at the Phylum (**Figures 4A,B**), Family (**Figures 5A,B**), and Genus (**Figures 5C,D**) level in experimental groups and the different treatment periods (20 and 45 d). The HFD group had a higher relative abundance of Firmicutes and a lower Bacteroidetes compared to SD group. At 45 days after LAB administration, we observed a decline of the Actinobacteria and Firmicutes phylum and increased Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria (**Figures 4A,B**). In addition, the HFD group present the highest F/B index values compared with other study groups at 45 days, while the three studied strains favor a significant decrease in this index (**Figure 4C**).

At the end of the treatment period (45 d), the relative abundance on the Family level showed that LAB supplementation induces a higher abundance of Bacteroidaceae, Eubacteriaceae,



Prevotellaceae, Clostridaceae, and Enterococacceae compared with 20 d (Figures 5A,B).

At the Genus level, differences between strains administration were observed. The LAB administrations increased *Lactobacillus* genus, principally with CRL1446 (**Figures 5C,D**). The *Bifidobacterium* genus increases at 45 days of treatment in the HFD group, but strains administration decreased this genus. The administration of LAB increased mainly the genera *Bacteroides* (principally with CRL1446), *Clostridium* XIVa (principally with CRL431), *Alistipes, Dorea* (principally with CRL1446), *Barnesiella* and, *Desulfovibrio Pseudoflavonifractor*. In contrast, the strains induced a decrease of *Roseburia, Ruminoccuss, Clostridium* XVIII genus (**Figure 5D**).

The principal component analysis (PCA) was assayed to investigate the difference in microbial structure among different groups (**Figures 6A–C**). The HFD and SD groups showed a microbiota composition independently of each other. Moreover,

the LAB groups had a separated cluster in comparison with the HFD and SD groups.

#### DISCUSSION

There is considerable evidence in pre-clinical and clinical interventions that demonstrates the use of probiotics as an alternative to improve the treatment and prevention of obesity-related disorders (10, 23). The different anti-obesity effects of traditional or not traditional probiotics strains are needed to be well-defined since its benefits are strains and/or dose-dependent (24). It is crucial to understand the strain-specificity and mechanisms involved in probiotics.

In the present pre-clinical study, we compared the effects of an HFD and the primary intervention with different adipomodulatory LAB; CRL1446, CRL1434, and CRL431 on immune-metabolic parameters and gut microbiota composition



in obese mice. To establish the effects of time, we performed this work at 20 and 45 days. The administration of HFD for 45 days induced an increase in body weight, and it was associated with a marked increase of the feed conversion ratio (FCR) compared to the SD. The CRL431 supplementation showed no significant differences in the BWG. Similarly, Nuñez et al., in a 60-day obesity model, reported an increase in BWG induced by CRL431 (25). Andersson et al. also reported a body weight gain in the obese group supplemented with the probiotic *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* DSM 15313



**FIGURE 6** | Principal component analysis of **(A)** Phylum, **(B)** Family, and **(C)** Genus of gut microbiota at 45 days of mice with administration of standard diet (SD) or a high-fat diet (HFD) with and without supplementation of CRL1446 (HFD-CRL1446), CRL1434 (HFD-CRL1434), and CRL431 (HFD-CRL431) at a dose of 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL. Controls mice were fed with SD or HFD without bacterial supplementation.

(26). In contrast, CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains showed BWG and FCR effects, inducing a significant decrease in both nutritional parameters. Many studies conducted in obese

animals demonstrated decreased BWG, food consumption, or fat accumulation after probiotic supplementation (27–30). Also, in a previous study, in a 98-days metabolic syndrome model in mice, CRL1446 strain maintained its anti-obesogenic effect (14).

The available information suggests that the studied LAB had a strain-dependent effect on metabolism. The strains could induce modifications to the energetic metabolism and/or modulate the energy extraction capacity in the host.

Analyzing the adipose tissue in the HFD group, we showed hypertrophy and tissue weight gain (mesenteric, and epididymal) compared to the SD group. In epididymal adipose tissue, we observed an increase in the number of large adipocytes induced by HFD. It is established that the increase in adipose tissue size is associated with changes in the adipokine profile and metabolic dysregulation, which contributes to the development of chronic diseases linked to diets, such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cancer (7, 31). In our study, the HFD-induced hypertrophy of adipose tissue was correlated with increases in the production of plasmatic leptin (adipokine), IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  cytokines, and chemokine MCP-1. These pro-inflammatory molecules could be one mechanism by which the HFD promotes the immuno-metabolic imbalances associated with obesity (7). The strains CRL1446 and CRL1434 reversed the immune deregulation caused by the HFD across the gut and peripheral tissues (adipokine production by adipose tissue).

These strains completely arrest the adipose tissue hypertrophy, with a reduction in tissue weight and the area of the adipocytes, compared with the HFD group. Besides, it was associated with a significant reduction in plasma leptin levels, a key adipokine in the development of diet-induced obesity (32, 33). The state of hyperleptinemia observed in obesity was already reported by several authors, who also demonstrated the ability of certain macronutrients in the diet (lipids specifically) to induce leptin resistance (10, 11). Previously, we demonstrated the efficacy of microcapsules containing CRL1446 strain and *Lactobacillus johnsonii* CRL1231 to reduce in obese mice the plasma leptin levels, accompanied by the improvement of metabolic parameters and reduction of BWG, adiposity index, and fat deposition in the liver (15).

The CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains induced a reduction in leptin levels, reaching levels similar to the SD control. Reduction in leptin levels was correlated with decreased BWG, feed conversion ratio, plasma glucose, lipid levels, and a reduction in adipose tissue size. We showed that the *in vivo* adipose-modulatory effects correlated with previous *in vitro* results obtained with these strains (13). On the other hand, the supplementation with the CRL431 strain induced an increase in leptin levels compared to the HFD group. Moreover, we have reported an increase in leptin levels induced by this strain in a caloric-restriction model (16).

The chronic inflammatory state, characteristic of obesity, was observed when we analyzed plasma cytokine levels. We detected increases in the pro-inflammatory cytokines; TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6, the chemokine MCP-1, and the reduction of IL-10 (a regulatory cytokine). Other authors also reported this pro-inflammatory state induced by HFD in both animals and humans, and a link was established between the development

of this pro-inflammatory state and insulin resistance in typediabetes II (11, 34). In our study, the strains with the capacity to lower leptin levels also reduced the pro-inflammatory molecules (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and MCP-1). This effect was also associated with the increase of IL-10 cytokine. So, we demonstrated the immunomodulatory capacity of CRL1446 and CRL1434, which maintained IL-10 levels next to the values of the SD group and reduced leptin, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and MCP-1 levels. While the supplementation with the CRL431 strain, despite increasing IL-10 levels, could not reverse the increases in pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by HFD. These results could be due to the higher leptin levels associated with the CRL431 strain.

In this context, it was reported by several authors a negative relationship between obesity and IL-10 levels (35, 36); in some cases, this cytokine correlated positively with energy homeostasis and negatively with insulin resistance. Recently, Nakata et al. reported that the overexpression of IL-10 in leptin-deficient mice reduced hyperphagia, adiposity, glucose intolerance, and insulin resistance and attenuated TNF- $\alpha$  expression (37). Furthermore, a clinical trial in women with polycystic ovary syndrome, a disease that also has features of the metabolic syndrome, including insulin resistance, obesity, and a pro-inflammatory estate, demonstrated that Lactobacillus supplementation could reduce the inflammation through IL-6, CRP reduction, and increase of IL-10, in congruence with a decrease in weight and body mass index (36). Thus, we suggest that increases in IL-10 associated with reduced leptin levels induced by strains CRL1446 and CRL1434 may be the primary mechanisms by which they exert their antiobesogenic effects.

It is considered that IL-6, together with TNF- $\alpha$ , could play a key role in developing insulin resistance and pathologies related to obesity (7). About 35% of circulating IL-6 is produced by adipose tissue, and it increases with the expansion of this tissue. Besides, leptin also correlates with many obesity parameters, and its secretion also increases with the enlargement of adipose tissue (7). This peptide acts promoting the proliferation of proinflammatory cells and cytokines, like TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6. The immunomodulatory probiotic CRL431 previously showed to raise leptin levels in mice under a caloric restriction diet (16). In general, it is expected that positive impacts of probiotics on obesity and associated metabolic disorders are related to a decrease in serum leptin values or leptin expression (10, 38, 39). However, numerous animal and clinical studies showed discrepancies concerning the effects of probiotics on obesity and their impact on serum leptin levels or leptin expression (40, 41). Further, a strain-specific effect on leptin was proposed for probiotics (10). In this study, the supplementation with the CRL431 strain did not improve weight or adipose tissue compared to HFD control, which could relate to the maintenance of resistance to leptin and the increase in IL-6 levels. In addition, these results could be associated with the duration of the treatment (45 d). A previous work using the same strain for 60 d of administration in a different obesity mice model showed decreased inflammation markers (42).

Elevated cholesterol levels are commonly associated with dyslipidemia in diet-induced mice obesity models (10, 11, 15). We observed that the HFD increased total cholesterol

levels, and LAB supplementation reduced this lipid in plasma, suggesting a hypocholesterolemic effect. The CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains showed higher hypocholesterolemic effects than CRL431. Several studies conducted in obese animals have demonstrated the positive influence of various Lactobacillus strains on cholesterol metabolism (43-45). Besides, we previously reported a hypocholesterolemic effect of strain CRL1446 on a murine caloric restriction model and in mice fed with SD supplemented with CRL1446-cheese (46, 47). Also, in previous work, we proved the efficiency of oral administration of spraydried microcapsules containing feruloyl esterase producing CRL1446 and L. johnsonii CRL1231 to improve metabolic parameters (including lipids profile) and atherogenic index (15). Consequently, we showed the ability of CRL1446 strain to reduce cholesterol levels independently of the diet administered or the matrix used to carry the probiotic in an obesity mice model. Also, Bhathena et al. reported that the feruloyl esterase producing Limosilactobacillus fermentum LF11976 decreased serum lipids in hypercholesterolemic hamsters (48). Feruloyl esterases are enzymes responsible for cleaving insoluble wallbound hydroxycinnamates, releasing ferulic acid (among other hydroxycinnamic acids) from plant matrixes (49). Ferulic acid can inhibit hydroxymethyl-glutaryl CoA reductase, a rate-limiting enzyme in the biosynthesis of cholesterol, and cholesterol acyltransferase, an enzyme that esterifies cholesterol in tissues, mainly in the liver. Also, ferulic acid may improve the excretion of acid sterols (50). These biological activities explain the hypocholesterolemic properties of ferulic acid. Previously, it has been shown that the CRL1446 strain has in vivo and in vitro high feruloyl esterase activity (51). Therefore, we suggest that feruloyl esterase activity observed in this bacterium could be implicated in its hypocholesterolemic effect.

On the other hand, other authors have reported the capacity of the intestinal microbiota to influence the metabolism of cholesterol in both animals and humans (52). In our study, LAB partly re-establishes the symbiosis state and could indirectly affect cholesterol levels. CRL1434 strain is an immunomodulatory strain that had not previously been evaluated in obesity mice models, and it also showed a hypocholesterolemic effect (13). This strain showed the ability to synthesize conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), which could be associated with its capacity to reduce plasma cholesterol levels (53). The administration of bacteria able to produce CLA, a molecule with vast biological functions, including antiobesity properties and improvement of the lipids profile, at the intestinal level is essential for human health. Hence, this strain could be used to develop functional dairy products with high concentrations of conjugated fatty acids or as a probiotic to promote the synthesis of these bioactive lipids in the intestine (53).

Scientific evidence has demonstrated the strong relationship between gut microbiota, inflammation, and metabolic disturbances related to obesity and insulin resistance (4, 7). Obesogenic diets are considered a determinant factor that modulates intestinal microbiota and is responsible for developing metabolic inflammation and energy metabolism imbalance (54). The gut microbiota composition and diversity can change in the short term of HFD consumption (45). Using high throughput sequencing methods to study intestinal microbiota changes, we observed that it was profoundly affected by the HFD, which induces an increase in the F/B index compared to the SD. The rise of this index is due to an increase in Firmicutes members, which is in agreement with other studies in rodents and humans (55). However, controversial evidence regarding the two dominant phyla (Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes) in obesity are documented and established inverse associations between these bacterial taxa (56, 57).

Cani *et al.* reported that intestinal dysbiosis and HFD induce increased permeability in the gut, promoting metabolic endotoxemia increasing the LPS from Gram-negative bacteria in the blood (58). The LPS favors the inflammatory response and triggers hyperglycemia and insulin resistance. This impact of diet on the gut microbiota composition offers new approaches in nutritional interventions through the manipulation of the intestinal ecology or introduction of specific beneficial microbial species. In this sense, specific probiotics can modulate the gut microbiota and prevent chronic low-grade inflammation and altered energy metabolism linked to obesity and metabolic syndrome (7).

BWG and FCR are also strongly associated with the gut microbiota composition, which determines the obtention of energy from the diet (59). Several authors correlated the Firmicutes phylum with BWG and the Bacteroidetes phylum with loss of weight. The F/B index is closely related to the energy extraction capacity of the diet by the host, and it has been established that the microbiota of obese individuals has a more remarkable ability to extract energy and deposit it in the form of body fat (60). The F/B index reduction in the obese mice with LAB-supplemented diets may partly explain the decrease in BWG.

Our results showed that HFD increased plasma glucose levels in comparison with SD. Diet supplementation with CRL1446, CRL1434, and CRL431 strains showed a reduction in glucose levels regarding the HFD group. The influence on glucose levels may be associated with gut microbiota changes promoted by LAB administration. Indeed, a marked Firmicutes reduction and the F/B index were observed after the LAB supplementation.

In this context, several authors have reported the influence of gut microbiota on insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance and the decrease of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 in plasma (45). The LAB effect on glucose metabolism could also be associated with their immunomodulatory profile. In effect, CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains induced the reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines.

There is sufficient evidence relating the beneficial effects of probiotics on metabolism to the production of metabolically active compounds (through the biotransformation of complex polysaccharides, proteins, and polyphenols into short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), bioactive peptides, and phenolic compounds, respectively), or their ability to modulate the inflammatory response or gut microbiota composition, which reflects in the amelioration of chronic low-grade inflammation and oxidative stress linked to metabolic diseases (7, 61). In particular, SCFA (mainly acetate, propionate, and butyrate), which constitute the main products of dietary fiber fermentation in the intestines by microbiota, are often considered essential metabolites for probiotics exert their effects (62). SCFA are presumed to improve energy homeostasis and inflammation by activating eukaryotic cells receptors (free fatty acid receptors FFAR2 and FFAR3, and G-protein-coupled receptor GPR109A) or inhibiting histone deacetylases (62, 63). Thus, SCFA performs its effects at different levels. By decreasing inflammation (e.g., by modulating the secretion of interleukins, inducing T regulatory cells, interfering with the maturation of monocyte-derived dendritic cells, and down-regulating TLR4 receptor in macrophages); increasing the secretion by colonocytes of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY (PYY) that regulates food intake and improves  $\beta$ -cell function, and GLP-2 that also regulates food ingestion and improves intestinal barrier integrity and intestinal function; and increasing insulin sensitivity in muscle (62, 63). In fact, in previous work, we demonstrated that CRL1446 strain administration to a mice model of metabolic syndrome improved metabolism, and this effect was associated, among others, with the modulation of gut microbiota and the increase in the concentration of 3-hydroxyphenylpropionic and butyric acids in colonic content (14).

It is known that diet is a major factor in modulating the intestinal microbiota inducing environmental modifications (pH, bile acids, SCFA) in the gut ecosystem. In addition, long-term and short-term dietary patterns (HFD and HFD-probiotics) have been shown to induce gut microbiota structure and function modifications (64). Changes in microbiota composition in HFD are probably related to these modifications in the environmental conditions of the gut. The increase in the fat percentages to the detriment of fiber in the diet can expedite the growth of some species of bacteria but negatively impact other species. For instance, reduction in fiber percentage in the diet impairs fiber-fermenting bacteria that results in changes in pH and SCFA content, as well higher fat proportion stimulate bile acids conjugation and increasing organic sulfur availability (64). Therefore, the availability of nutrients and the changes in the gut environmental conditions would trigger the shift in the microbiota diversity.

In our work, as expected from the literature, we have observed a dysbiotic microbiota in the intestine of mice fed HFD. This dysbiosis was characterized by an increased relative abundance of Firmicutes and a decrease of Bacteroidetes. Ley et al. showed that after dietetic treatment in humans, the relative abundance of the main phyla is reversed (65). In this study, at the end of LAB administration, an effect similar to weight loss interventions was observed. In this regard, it should be noted that recent studies indicated that the associations established between the abundance of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes phylum and HFD are oversimplifications. The link between metabolism and microbiota composition is higher sophisticated, and the genus diversity and the species level are pivotal in the obesity context (66).

Lachnospiraceae family is conserved in HFD groups. Some members of Lachnospiraceae are among the leading producers of SCFA, but different taxa of Lachnospiraceae are also associated with various diseases (67). Despite LAB supplementation to HFD mice induced a decrease in Lachnospiraceae family, the relative abundance of *Dorea* genus (one of the principal genera within this family and producer of SCFA) is increased. *Dorea* genus was positively affected by CRL1446 and CRL 1434 administration, which could favor the SCFA production.

It was also found that the Prevotellaceae family was highly present in the mice with LAB administration. However, we have not detected an abundance of *Prevotella* genus. It is known that an increase in the *Prevotella* genus facilitates the fermentation of the carbohydrates and increases the production of SCFA (68).

In terms of gender analysis, we also observed that the HFD promoted the abundance of *Bifidobacterium*, *Ruminococcus*, and *Clostridium* XVIII. Some species, such as *Ruminococcus bromii*, *Ruminococcus obeum* have been associated with HFD and the development of obesity (68). Previous studies have associated *Clostridium* XVIII with abnormal lipid profiles, gastrointestinal disorders, and colonic inflammation in HFD fed rats. In addition, *Roseburia* genera were related to abnormal lipids parameters (68). Further studies related to lipid metabolism and microbiota are necessary to clarify these issues.

Our results showed that the HFD group with LAB supplementation caused an increase in the relative abundance of Alistipes, Barnesiella (within Bacteroidetes phylum), Pseudoflavonifractor, Clostridium XIVa (within Firmicutes phylum), Desulfovibrio (within Proteobacteria phylum). Hu et al. showed negative correlations between lipid metabolismassociated abnormal parameters in HFD rats and the relative abundance of Alistipes genus, suggesting a beneficial effect of this bacteria (68). Jiang et al. reported an increase in the relative abundance of genera Alistipes and Prevotella in healthy lean patients compared to individuals with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (69). Louis et al. showed that obese patients who had success in weight loss in 2 years, at the beginning of the study, had a gut microbiota enriched in Alistipes and Pseudoflavonifractor compared with patients who were less successful in reducing weight (70). The supplementation with LAB, particularly CRL1446 strain, enriches the relative abundance of the Lactobacillus genus. This genus correlates with beneficial effects on the host and positively with life expectancy (71).

The supplementation with probiotic LAB can improve the relative abundance of certain beneficial bacteria genera on the intestinal microbiota of HFD fed mice through diverse mechanisms (72). These mechanisms may include limiting the growth of undesired microorganisms by the production of metabolites with antimicrobial or inhibitory properties (i.e., bacteriocins, lactic acid), competition for dietary substrates, the competitive exclusion for binding sites or receptors on the intestinal mucosa, stimulation of innate immune response (host's production of  $\beta$ -defensin and IgA) (72). In addition, probiotic LAB can raise the abundance of beneficial bacteria genera through the production of growth substrates (exopolysaccharides, vitamins, or SCFA) for other bacteria, by altering the dynamics of carbohydrate utilization, the improvement of the intestinal barrier function or reduction of inflammation that may result in maintenance of immune tolerance favoring the colonization and persistence in the intestinal lumen of certain bacteria (72).

According to the obtained results, we suggest that LAB supplementation to the HFD group could counteract the detrimental effects induced by HFD on gut microbiota, favoring an enrichment of genera that correlated positively with healthy lean individuals. Thus, we support the hypothesis that the same diet may impact differently according to the basal composition of the intestinal microbiota.

#### Conclusions

This study allowed to establish the effect of diet, time of treatment, and specific LAB supplementation on the gut microbiota composition and metabolic-immunological parameters in obesity disease. LAB supplementation in a HFD mice model can ameliorate the effects exerted by the HFD, improving body weight and metabolic parameters in a strain-dependent manner. These effects can be associated with intestinal microbiota, adipose tissue, adipokines, and cytokine profile changes.

Specifically, the oral administration of CRL1446 and CRL1434 strains is related to the reduction in the leptin levels and pro-inflammatory cytokines and was associated with greater lipid-lowering and hypoglycaemic effects. In contrast, the CRL431 strain increased leptin level and did not change the cytokines profiles regarding the HFD group. Thus, the functional properties of the CRL1446 and CRL1434 strain can support their use as a complement for the prevention or primary intervention of metabolic disorders associated with obesity. The possibility of using specific probiotic strains as a strategy to minimize or improve changes induced by the diet arises as an attractive and viable alternative for attenuate the adverse impact of obesity on metabolic health.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: NCBI SRA BioProject, accession no: PRJNA749164.

#### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Laboratory Animals Center of CERELA (approval number CRL-BIOT- EF-2012 / 2A). Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

EF and PG-C: conceived and designed the experiments. EF, AM, RR, and MR: performed the experiments. EF, RR, and PG-C: analyzed the data. CF and EP: deposited raw sequence data in a public repository. PG-C, ST, and RM: wrote draft and the final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This study was supported by grants: - PIP 215 from CONICET, Argentina. - BID-PICT 2017-3975, BID-PICT 2018-2151 from FONCyT.

#### REFERENCES

- Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* (2014) 384:766–81. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8
- Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, Prifti E, Hildebrand F, Falony G, et al. Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature*. (2013) 500:541–6. doi: 10.1038/nature12506
- Palm NW, de Zoete MR, Flavell RA. Immune-microbiota interactions in health and disease. *Clin Immunol Orlando Fla.* (2015) 159:122– 7. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2015.05.014
- Cani PD. Metabolism in 2013: The gut microbiota manages host metabolism. Nat Rev Endocrinol. (2014) 10:74–6. doi: 10.1038/nrendo. 2013.240
- Sanz Y, Santacruz A, Gauffin P. Gut microbiota in obesity and metabolic disorders. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2010) 69:434–41. doi: 10.1017/S00296651100 01813
- Parekh PJ, Arusi E, Vinik AI, Johnson DA. The Role and Influence of Gut Microbiota in Pathogenesis and Management of Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome. *Front Endocrinol.* (2014) 5::1–7. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2014. 00047
- Torres S, Fabersani E, Marquez A, Gauffin-Cano P. Adipose tissue inflammation and metabolic syndrome. The proactive role of probiotics. *Eur J Nutr.* (2019) 58:27–43. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1790-2
- Fabersani E, Portune K, Campillo I, López-Almela I, la Paz SM, Romaní-Pérez M, et al. Bacteroides uniformis CECT 7771 alleviates inflammation within the gut-adipose tissue axis, involving tlr5 signaling, in dietinduced obese mice. Sci Rep. (2021) 11:11788. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-90888-y
- Li C, Xu MM, Wang K, Adler AJ, Vella AT, Zhou B. Macrophage polarization and meta-inflammation. *Transl Res J Lab Clin Med.* (2018) 191:29–44. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2017.10.004
- Gauffin Cano P, Santacruz A, Trejo FM, Sanz Y. Bifidobacterium CECT 7765 improves metabolic and immunological alterations associated with obesity in high-fat diet fed mice. *Obesity.* (2013) 21:2310–21. doi: 10.1002/oby. 20330
- Moya-Pérez A, Neef A, Sanz Y. Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum CECT 7765 reduces obesity-associated inflammation by restoring the lymphocyte-macrophage balance and gut microbiota structure in high-fat diet-fed mice. *PloS ONE.* (2015) 10:e0126976. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0126976
- Verón HE, Cano PG, Fabersani E, Sanz Y, Isla MI, Espinar MTF, et al. Cactus pear (Opuntia ficus-indica) juice fermented with autochthonous Lactobacillus plantarum S-811. *Food Funct.* (2019) 10:1085–97. doi: 10.1039/C8FO0 1591K
- Fabersani E, Abeijon-Mukdsi MC, Ross R, Medina R, González S, Gauffin-Cano P. Specific strains of lactic acid bacteria differentially modulate the profile of adipokines in vitro. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:266. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00266
- Russo M, Marquez A, Herrera H, Abeijon-Mukdsi C, Saavedra L, Hebert E, et al. Oral administration of Lactobacillus fermentum CRL1446 improves biomarkers of metabolic syndrome in mice fed a high-fat diet supplemented with wheat bran. *Food Funct.* (2020) 11:3879–94. doi: 10.1039/D0FO0 0730G
- 15. Russo M, Marquez A, Abeijón-Mukdsi MC, Santacruz A, López-Malo A, Gauffin-Cano P, et al. Microencapsulated feruloyl esterase-producing

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We sincerely thank Jose Luis Alvarado for his technical assistance in animal care and Lic. Mabel Taljuk for her assistance in the search of bibliography.

lactobacilli ameliorate lipid profile and glycaemia in high fat dietinduced obese mice. *Benef Microbes.* (2019) 10:189–98. doi: 10.3920/BM20 18.0025

- Fabersani E, Russo M, Marquez A, Abeijón-Mukdsi C, Medina R, Gauffin-Cano P. Modulation of intestinal microbiota and immunometabolic parameters by caloric restriction and lactic acid bacteria. *Food Res Int.* (2019) 124:188–99. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.06.014
- Połka J, Rebecchi A, Pisacane V, Morelli L, Puglisi E. Bacterial diversity in typical Italian salami at different ripening stages as revealed by highthroughput sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons. *Food Microbiol.* (2015) 46:342–56. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2014.08.023
- Magoc T, Salzberg SL, FLASH. fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. (2011) 27:2957–63. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507
- Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R, UCHIME. improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*. (2011) 27:2194– 200. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and webbased tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:D590–6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, et al. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2009) 75:7537–41. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01541-09
- Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J, Knight R. UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. *ISME J.* (2011) 5:169–72. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.133
- 23. Wu Y, Li X, Tan F, Zhou X, Mu J, Zhao X. Lactobacillus fermentum CQPC07 attenuates obesity, inflammation and dyslipidemia by modulating the antioxidant capacity and lipid metabolism in high-fat diet induced obese mice. *J Inflamm*. (2021) 18:5. doi: 10.1186/s12950-021-00272-w
- Alard J, Cudennec B, Boutillier D, Peucelle V, Descat A, Decoin R, et al. Multiple selection criteria for probiotic strains with high potential for obesity management. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:713. doi: 10.3390/nu13030713
- 25. Novotny Núñez I, Maldonado Galdeano C, de Moreno de. LeBlanc A, Perdigón G. Evaluation of immune response, microbiota, and blood markers after probiotic bacteria administration in obese mice induced by a high-fat diet. *Nutrition*. (2014) 30:1423–32. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2014.03.025
- Andersson U, Bränning C, Ahrné S, Molin G, Alenfall J, Önning G, et al. Probiotics lower plasma glucose in the high-fat fed C57BL/6J mouse. *Benef Microbes*. (2010) 1:189–96. doi: 10.3920/BM2009.0036
- Ejtahed H-S, Angoorani P, Soroush A-R, Atlasi R, Hasani-Ranjbar S, Mortazavian AM, et al. Probiotics supplementation for the obesity management; A systematic review of animal studies and clinical trials. *J Funct Foods*. (2019) 52:228–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2018.10.039
- Park S-S, Lee Y-J, Song S, Kim B, Kang H, Oh S, et al. Lactobacillus acidophilus NS1 attenuates diet-induced obesity and fatty liver. *J Endocrinol.* (2018) 237:87–100. doi: 10.1530/JOE-17-0592
- Yang G, Hong E, Oh S, Kim E. Non-Viable Lactobacillus johnsonii JNU3402 Protects against Diet-Induced Obesity. *Foods.* (2020) 9:1494. doi: 10.3390/foods9101494
- Lee E, Jung S-R, Lee S-Y, Lee N-K, Paik H-D, Lim S-I. Lactobacillus plantarum strain Ln4 Attenuates Diet-Induced Obesity, Insulin resistance, and changes in hepatic mRNA levels associated with glucose and lipid metabolism. *Nutrients.* (2018) 10:643. doi: 10.3390/nu10050643
- Sam S, Mazzone T. Adipose tissue changes in obesity and the impact on metabolic function. *Transl Res.* (2014) 164:284– 92. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2014.05.008

- Vasselli JR. The role of dietary components in leptin resistance. Adv Nutr. (2012) 3:736–8. doi: 10.3945/an.112.002659
- Korek E, Krauss H. Novel adipokines: their potential role in the pathogenesis of obesity and metabolic disorders. *Postepy Hig Med Doswiadczalnej Online*. (2015) 69:799–810. doi: 10.5604/17322693.1161415
- McArdle MA, Finucane OM, Connaughton RM, McMorrow AM, Roche HM. Mechanisms of obesity-induced inflammation and insulin resistance: insights into the emerging role of nutritional strategies. *Front Endocrinol.* (2013) 4:1–23. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2013.00052
- 35. Jung SH, Park HS, Kim K-S, Choi WH, Ahn CW, Kim BT, et al. Effect of weight loss on some serum cytokines in human obesity: increase in IL-10 after weight loss. J Nutr Biochem. (2008) 19:371– 5. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2007.05.007
- Ghanei N, Rezaei N, Amiri GA, Zayeri F, Makki G, Nasseri E. The probiotic supplementation reduced inflammation in polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Funct Foods. (2018) 42:306–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2017.12.047
- Nakata M, Yamamoto S, Okada T, Gantulga D, Okano H, Ozawa K, et al. IL-10 gene transfer upregulates arcuate POMC and ameliorates hyperphagia, obesity and diabetes by substituting for leptin. *Int J Obes.* (2016) 40:425– 33. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2015.201
- Gauffin Cano P, Santacruz A, Moya A, Sanz Y. Bacteroides uniformis CECT 7771 ameliorates metabolic and immunological dysfunction in mice with high-fat-diet induced obesity. *PLoS ONE.* (2012) 7:e41079. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041079
- Kang Y, Cai Y. The development of probiotics therapy to obesity: a therapy that has gained considerable momentum. *Hormones*. (2018) 17:141– 51. doi: 10.1007/s42000-018-0003-y
- Cheng Y-C, Liu J-R. Effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG on energy metabolism, leptin resistance, and gut microbiota in mice with diet-induced obesity. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:2557. doi: 10.3390/nu12092557
- Wang M, Zhang B, Hu J, Nie S, Xiong T, Xie M. Intervention of five strains of Lactobacillus on obesity in mice induced by high-fat diet. *J Funct Foods*. (2020) 72:104078. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020.104078
- Novotny Núñez I, Maldonado Galdeano C, de Moreno de. LeBlanc A, Perdigón G. Lactobacillus casei CRL 431 administration decreases inflammatory cytokines in a diet-induced obese mouse model. *Nutrition*. (2015) 31:1000–7. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2015.02.006
- Kim J, Yun JM, Kim MK, Kwon O, Cho B. Lactobacillus gasseri BNR17 supplementation reduces the visceral fat accumulation and waist circumference in obese adults: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. J Med Food. (2018) 21:454–61. doi: 10.1089/jmf.20 17.3937
- 44. Yoo S-R, Kim Y-J, Park D-Y, Jung U-J, Jeon S-M, Ahn Y-T, et al. L. probiotics plantarum and L curvatus in combination alter hepatic lipid metabolism and suppress diet-induced obesity. *Obesity*. (2013) 21:2571–8. doi: 10.1002/oby.20428
- 45. Wang T, Yan H, Lu Y, Li X, Wang X, Shan Y, et al. Anti-obesity effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus LS-8 and Lactobacillus crustorum MN047 on high-fat and high-fructose diet mice base on inflammatory response alleviation and gut microbiota regulation. *Eur J Nutr.* (2020) 59:2709– 28. doi: 10.1007/s00394-019-02117-y
- 46. Russo M, Fabersani E, Abeijón-Mukdsi MC, Ross R, Fontana C, Benítez-Páez A, et al. Lactobacillus fermentum CRL1446 Ameliorates Oxidative and Metabolic Parameters by Increasing Intestinal Feruloyl Esterase Activity and Modulating Microbiota in Caloric-Restricted Mice. *Nutrients*. (2016) 8. doi: 10.3390/nu8070415
- Abeijón Mukdsi MC, Haro C, González SN, Medina RB. Functional goat milk cheese with feruloyl esterase activity. *J Funct Foods.* (2013) 5:801– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2013.01.026
- Bhathena J. Effect of orally administered microencapsulated fa-producing l. fermentum on markers of metabolic syndrome: an in vivo analysis. J Diabetes Metab. (2012) S2:009. doi: 10.4172/2155-6156.S6-006
- Oliveira DM, Mota TR, Oliva B, Segato F, Marchiosi R, Ferrarese-Filho O, et al. Feruloyl esterases: Biocatalysts to overcome biomass recalcitrance and for the production of bioactive compounds. *Bioresour Technol.* (2019) 278:408–23. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.064

- Sri Balasubashini M, Rukkumani R, Menon VP. Protective effects of ferulic acid on hyperlipidemic diabetic rats. *Acta Diabetol.* (2003) 40:118– 22. doi: 10.1007/s00592-003-0099-6
- Abeijón Mukdsi M c, Gauffin Cano M p, González S n, Medina R b. Administration of Lactobacillus fermentum CRL1446 increases intestinal feruloyl esterase activity in mice. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* (2012) 54:18– 25. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03166.x
- 52. Gérard P. Metabolism of cholesterol and bile acids by the gut microbiota. *Pathogens.* (2014) 3:14–24. doi: 10.3390/pathogens3010014
- Terán V, Pizarro PL, Zacarías MF, Vinderola G, Medina R, Van Nieuwenhove C. Production of conjugated dienoic and trienoic fatty acids by lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. J Funct Foods. (2015) 19:417– 25. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2015.09.046
- Singh RK, Chang H-W, Yan D, Lee KM, Ucmak D, Wong K, et al. Influence of diet on the gut microbiome and implications for human health. *J Transl Med.* (2017) 15:73. doi: 10.1186/s12967-017-1175-y
- Turnbaugh PJ, Bäckhed F, Fulton L, Gordon JI. Diet-induced obesity is linked to marked but reversible alterations in the mouse distal gut microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2008) 3:213–23. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2008.02.015
- Sanz Y, Rastmanesh R, Agostonic C. Understanding the role of gut microbes and probiotics in obesity: How far are we? *Pharmacol Res.* (2013) 69:144– 55. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2012.10.021
- David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature*. (2014) 505:559–63. doi: 10.1038/nature12820
- Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, Poggi M, Knauf C, Bastelica D, et al. Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. *Diabetes*. (2007) 56:1761– 72. doi: 10.2337/db06-1491
- Jumpertz R, Le DS, Turnbaugh PJ, Trinidad C, Bogardus C, Gordon JI, et al. Energy-balance studies reveal associations between gut microbes, caloric load, and nutrient absorption in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2011) 94:58– 65. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.010132
- Chen Y-H, Chiu C-C, Hung S-W, Huang W-C, Lee Y-P, Liu J-Y, et al. Gnotobiotic mice inoculated with Firmicutes, but not Bacteroidetes, deteriorate nonalcoholic fatty liver disease severity by modulating hepatic lipid metabolism. *Nutr Res.* (2019) 69:20–9. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2019.07.001
- Torres S, Verón H, Contreras L, Isla MI. An overview of plant-autochthonous microorganisms and fermented vegetable foods. *Food Sci Hum Wellness*. (2020) 9:112–23. doi: 10.1016/j.fshw.2020.02.006
- Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B, Verbeke K. The role of short-chain fatty acids in microbiota-gut-brain communication. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 16:461–78. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0157-3
- 63. Tan J, McKenzie C, Potamitis M, Thorburn AN, Mackay CR, Macia L. "Chapter Three - The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Health and Disease," In: Alt FW, editors. *Advances in Immunology*. Academic Press. p. 91–119. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800100-4.00003-9
- 64. Portune KJ, Benítez-Páez A, Pulgar EMGD, Cerrudo V, Sanz Y. Gut microbiota, diet, and obesity-related disorders—The good, the bad, and the future challenges. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* (2017) 61:1600252. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201600252
- Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. Microbial ecology: Human gut microbes associated with obesity. *Nature*. (2006) 444:1022–3. doi: 10.1038/4441022a
- De Filippis F, Pellegrini N, Laghi L, Gobbetti M, Ercolini D. Unusual sub-genus associations of faecal Prevotella and Bacteroides with specific dietary patterns. *Microbiome*. (2016) 4:57. doi: 10.1186/s40168-016-0202-1
- Vacca M, Celano G, Calabrese FM, Portincasa P, Gobbetti M, De Angelis M. The controversial role of human gut lachnospiraceae. *Microorganisms*. (2020) 8:573. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8040573
- Hu R, Guo W, Huang Z, Li L, Liu B, Lv X. Extracts of Ganoderma lucidum attenuate lipid metabolism and modulate gut microbiota in high-fat diet fed rats. J Funct Foods. (2018) 46:403–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2018.05.020
- 69. Jiang W, Wu N, Wang X, Chi Y, Zhang Y, Qiu X, et al. Dysbiosis gut microbiota associated with inflammation and impaired mucosal immune function in intestine of humans with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Sci Rep.* (2015) 5:8096. doi: 10.1038/srep08096

- Bernardeau M, Guguen M, Vernoux JP. Beneficial lactobacilli in food and feed: long-term use, biodiversity and proposals for specific and realistic safety assessments. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* (2006) 30:487–513. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2006.00020.x
- Zhang C, Li S, Yang L, Huang P, Li W, Wang S, et al. Structural modulation of gut microbiota in life-long calorie-restricted mice. *Nat Commun.* (2013) 4:2163. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3163
- Hemarajata P, Versalovic J. Effects of probiotics on gut microbiota: mechanisms of intestinal immunomodulation and neuromodulation. *Ther Adv Gastroenterol.* (2013) 6:39–51. doi: 10.1177/1756283X12459294

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Fabersani, Marquez, Russo, Ross, Torres, Fontana, Puglisi, Medina and Gauffin-Cano. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.