Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

# Continuous and localized Riesz bases for $L^2$ spaces defined by Muckenhoupt weights

Hugo Aimar<sup>a</sup>, Wilfredo A. Ramos<sup>b,c,\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral, IMAL (UNL-CONICET), CCT CONICET Santa Fe, Predio "Dr. Alberto Cassano", Colectora Ruta Nac. 168, Paraje El Pozo, 3000 Santa Fe, Argentina
 <sup>b</sup> Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral, IMAL (UNL-CONICET), Argentina
 <sup>c</sup> Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Naturales y Agrimensura, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

### A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 14 January 2015 Available online 6 May 2015 Submitted by R.H. Torres

Keywords: Riesz bases Haar wavelets Basis perturbations Muckenhoupt weights Cotlar's Lemma

## ABSTRACT

Let w be an  $A_{\infty}$ -Muckenhoupt weight in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $L^2(wdx)$  denote the space of square integrable real functions with the measure w(x)dx and the weighted scalar product  $\langle f,g \rangle_w = \int_{\mathbb{R}} fg \ wdx$ . By regularization of an unbalanced Haar system in  $L^2(wdx)$ we construct absolutely continuous Riesz bases with supports as close to the dyadic intervals as desired. Also the Riesz bounds can be chosen as close to 1 as desired. The main tool used in the proof is Cotlar's Lemma.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction and statement of the main result

A sequence  $\{f_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  in a Hilbert space H is said to be a Bessel sequence with bound B if the inequality

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f, f_k \rangle \right|^2 \le B \left\| f \right\|_H^2$$

holds for every  $f \in H$ . If  $\{f_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a Bessel sequence with bound B and  $\{e_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for the separable Hilbert space H, then the operator T on H defined by

$$Tf := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, f_k \rangle e_k$$

is bounded on H with bound  $\sqrt{B}$ . Conversely if T is bounded on H, then  $\{f_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a Bessel sequence with bound  $||T||^2$ .

\* Corresponding author.

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.05.003} 0022-247 X/\odot$  2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.







E-mail addresses: haimar@santafe-conicet.gov.ar (H. Aimar), wramos@santafe-conicet.gov.ar (W.A. Ramos).

When  $\{f_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  itself is an orthonormal basis and  $e_k = f_k$ , T is the identity. Of particular interest is the case of  $H = L^2$  when the Bessel system and the orthonormal basis are built on scaling and translations of the underlying space. In such cases the operator T has a natural decomposition as  $T = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_j$ . Sometimes the orthonormal basis can be chosen in such a way that the  $T_j$ 's become almost orthogonal in the sense of Cotlar. We aim to use Cotlar's Lemma to produce smooth and localized Riesz bases for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, wdx)$  when w is a Muckenhoupt weight.

To introduce the problem let us start by some simple illustrations. Let  $\psi$  be a Daubechies compactly supported wavelet in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset [-N, N]$ . The system  $\{\tilde{\psi}_k^j(x) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}}\psi(2^jx^3 - k) : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a compactly supported orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, 3x^2dx)$ . More generally if w(x) is a non-negative locally integrable function in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $W(x) = \int_0^x w(y)dy$ , then the system  $\overline{\psi}_k^j(x) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}}\psi(2^jW(x) - k)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(wdx)$ . In fact, changing variables

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\psi}_k^j(x) \overline{\psi}_m^l(x) w(x) dx &= 2^{\frac{l+j}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(2^j W(x) - k) \psi(2^l W(x) - m) w(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_k^j(z) \psi_m^l(z) dz \end{split}$$

and we have the orthonormality of the system  $\{\overline{\psi}_k^j : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, wdx)$ . As it is easy to verify in the case of  $w(x) = 3x^2$ , for j fixed the length of the supports of  $\overline{\psi}_k^j$  tend to zero as  $|k| \to +\infty$ . On the other hand for k = 0 the scaling parameter is  $2^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ .

Notice also that if w is bounded above and below by positive constants the sequence  $\overline{\psi}_k^j$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(wdx)$  with a metric control on the sizes of the supports provided by the scale.

A Riesz basis in  $L^2(wdx)$  is a Schauder basis  $\{f_k\}$  such that there exist two constants A and B called the Riesz bounds of  $\{f_k\}$  for which

$$A\sum |c_k|^2 \le \left\|\sum c_k f_k\right\|_{L^2(wdx)}^2 \le B\sum |c_k|^2$$

for every  $\{c_k\}$  in  $l^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the space of square summable sequences of real numbers. In this note we aim to give sufficient conditions on a weight w defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  more general than  $0 < c_1 \leq w(x) \leq c_2 < \infty$ , in order to construct, for every  $\delta > 0$ , a system  $\Psi = \{\psi_I(x), I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  ( $\mathcal{D}$  are the dyadic intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) with the following properties,

- (i)  $\Psi$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(wdx)$  with bounds  $(1 \delta)$  and  $(1 + \delta)$ ,
- (ii) each  $\psi_k^j$  is absolutely continuous,
- (iii) for each I,  $\psi_I$  is supported on a neighborhood  $I^{\epsilon}$  of I such that

$$0 < \frac{|I^{\epsilon}|}{|I|} - 1 < \delta.$$

As we have shown in the above example with  $w(x) = 3x^2$ , we have that  $\{\overline{\psi}_k^j\}$  satisfies (i) and (ii) but not (iii).

An orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, wdx)$  satisfying (iii) but not (ii) when w is locally integrable is the following unbalanced version of the Haar system (see [12]). Let  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}^j$  be the family of standard dyadic intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Each I in  $\mathcal{D}^j$  takes the form  $I = [k2^{-j}, (k+1)2^{-j})$  for same integer k. For  $I \in \mathcal{D}^j$  we have that  $|I| = 2^{-j}$ . We shall frequently use  $a_I$  and  $b_I$  to denote the left and right points of I respectively, for each  $I \in \mathcal{D}$ , define

$$h_{I}^{w}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w(I)}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{w(I_{r})}{w(I_{l})}} \chi_{I_{l}}(x) - \sqrt{\frac{w(I_{l})}{w(I_{r})}} \chi_{I_{r}}(x) \right\}$$
(1.1)

where  $w(E) = \int_E w \, dx$ ,  $I_l$  is the left half of I and  $I_r$  is its right half. Notice that with the above notation  $h_I^w$  is the standard Haar basis  $h_I$  for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  when w = 1.

The real numbers with the usual distance and measure  $d\mu = wdx$  with w a Muckenhoupt weight, is a space of homogeneous type. Some constructions of wavelet type bases on spaces of homogeneous type are contained in [2] and [3]. Those in [2] are not regular and those in [3] are not compactly supported.

In this note we prove that the  $A_{\infty}$  Muckenhoupt condition on a weight w is sufficient for building a Riesz basis in  $L^2(wdx)$  satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii).

Aside from Cotlar's Lemma, other fundamental tools we shall use are the basic properties of Muckenhoupt weights and a result due to Favier and Zalik [8] on small Bessel perturbations of Riesz bases.

In [10] N. Govil and R. Zalik gave a spline based regularization method of the Haar system to produce a regular and compactly supported Riesz basis with bounds as close to one as desired and supported on small neighborhoods of the dyadic intervals. In [1] the same type of result is obtained via regularizing by convolution. In both cases the main tool is contained in Theorem 5 in [8].

Let  $1 . A locally integrable nonnegative function w defined on <math>\mathbb{R}$  is said to be an  $A_p$  Muckenhoupt weight if there exists C > 0 such that

$$\left(\int_{J} w dx\right) \left(\int_{J} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx\right)^{p-1} \le C \left|J\right|^{p},$$

for every interval J. The class  $A_{\infty}$  is defined by  $A_{\infty} = \bigcup_{1 .$ 

The typical nontrivial examples of  $A_{\infty}$  weights are the powers of the distance to a fixed point. In particular  $w(x) = |x|^{\alpha}$  belongs to  $A_{\infty}$  for every  $\alpha > -1$ . For the general theory of Muckenhoupt weights, introduced by B. Muckenhoupt in [11], see the book [9].

A simple and well known result for  $A_{\infty}$  weights that implies the doubling condition for the measure w(x)dx, due to B. Muckenhoupt, is the inequality

$$\left(\frac{|E|}{|J|}\right)^p \le C\frac{w(E)}{w(J)} \tag{1.2}$$

which holds for some constant C and every measurable subset E of any interval J, provided that  $w \in A_p$ . From (1.2) it follows easily that the function  $W(x) = \int_0^x w(y) dy$  defines a one to one and onto change of variables on  $\mathbb{R}$  with Jacobian w. Set  $W^{-1}$  to denote the inverse function of W.

In order to produce a regularization of the system  $h_I^w$  given by (1.1) we first use the change of variables defined by  $W^{-1}$  to obtain another orthonormal basis  $\{H_I^w\}$  in the spaces  $L^2$  with respect to the translation invariant measure dx. Next we regularize by convolution with a smooth and compactly supported function  $\varphi$  the functions  $H_I^w$  to produce a Riesz basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  which we shall denote by  $\{H_I^{w,\epsilon}\}$ . Finally in order to obtain the desired regularization  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  of  $\{h_I^w\}$  we go back to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, wdx)$  by reversing the change of variables induced by  $W^{-1}$ . Since the regularizing function  $\varphi$  can be assumed to be as smooth as desired, the regularity of each  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  is only limited by the regularity of W(x) which is at least locally absolutely continuous. Let us precisely define the three families  $\{H_I^w\}$ ,  $\{H_I^{w,\epsilon}\}$  and  $\{h_I^{w,\epsilon}\}$ .

For each  $I \in \mathcal{D}$  set  $H_I^w = h_I^w \circ W^{-1}$ . Notice that

$$H_{I}^{w}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I'|}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|I'_{r}|}{|I'_{l}|}} \chi_{I'_{l}}(x) - \sqrt{\frac{|I'_{l}|}{|I'_{r}|}} \chi_{I'_{r}}(x) \right\}$$
(1.3)

where  $I' = \{W(y), y \in I\}$ . Now take a function  $\varphi$  to be  $C^{\infty}$ , nonnegative, non-increasing to the right of 0, even and supported in (-1, 1) with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi = 1$ . With the standard notation  $\varphi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t}\varphi(\frac{x}{t}), t > 0$ , define

$$H_I^{w,\epsilon}(x) = \left(\varphi_{\epsilon w(I)} * H_I^w\right)(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Finally, set  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}(x) = (H_I^{w,\epsilon} \circ W)(x)$  for  $\epsilon$  positive small enough.

The main result in this note is contained in the following statement.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let w be a weight in  $A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  depending only on w such that

- a) for each positive  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , the system  $\{h_I^{w,\epsilon}, I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(wdx)$  of absolutely continuous functions,
- b) the Riesz bounds of  $\{h_I^{w,\epsilon}, I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  can be taken as close to one as desired by taking  $\epsilon$  small enough,
- c) for each dyadic interval  $I = [a_I, b_I]$  the support of  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  is an interval  $I^{\epsilon} = [a_I^{\epsilon}, b_I^{\epsilon}]$  with  $a_I^{\epsilon} \nearrow a_I, b_I^{\epsilon} \searrow b_I$ when  $\epsilon \to 0$  and for some constant  $C, 0 < \frac{|I^{\epsilon}|}{|I|} - 1 < C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$  if  $w \in A_p$ .

Let us point out that the regularity of each  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  can be better than absolute continuity if w is smooth. In particular, when  $w \equiv 1$  the functions  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  are  $C^{\infty}$ . In other words we get a basis for  $L^2(dx)$  with full regularity and small supports. To get simultaneously these two properties we have to pay loosing orthogonality.

In Section 2 we give the basic result used in Section 3 in order to prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Preliminaries and basic results

In this section we introduce three basic results from functional and harmonic analysis which we shall use in Section 3 to prove Theorem 1.1. We shall refer to them as Coifman–Fefferman inequality, Cotlar's Lemma and Favier–Zalik stability, respectively.

Aside from (1.2) another important property of  $A_{\infty}$  weights that we shall use in the proof Theorem 1.1 is contained in the next statement which is proved as Theorem 2.9 on p. 401 in [9] and originally proved in [5].

**Coifman–Fefferman.** If  $w \in A_p$ ,  $1 then there exist positive and finite constants C, <math>\gamma$  such that the inequality

$$\frac{w(E)}{w(J)} \le C \left(\frac{|E|}{|J|}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{2.1}$$

holds for every interval J and every measurable subset E of J.

The original proof of Cotlar's Lemma is contained in [6]. For more easily available proofs see [7] or [12].

**Cotlar's Lemma.** Let  $\{T_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a sequence of bounded operators in a Hilbert space H. Assume that they are almost orthogonal in the sense that there exists a sequence  $s : \mathbb{Z} \to (0, \infty)$  with  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{s(k)} = A < \infty$  such that

$$||T_i^*T_j|| + ||T_iT_j^*|| \le s(i-j)$$

for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\left\|\sum_{i=-N}^{N} T_{i}\right\| \le A$$

for every positive integer N.

The third result, due to S. Favier and R. Zalik, deals with the perturbation of Riesz bases and is contained in Theorem 5 of [8]. A basis  $\{f_n\}$  for a Hilbert space H is said to be a Riesz basis with bounds A and B if and only if the inequalities

$$A \left\| f \right\|^{2} \le \sum \left| \langle f_{n}, f \rangle \right|^{2} \le B \left\| f \right\|^{2}$$

hold for every  $f \in H$  (see, for example, Theorem 6.1.1 in [4]).

**Favier–Zalik stability.** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a Riesz basis for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds A and B. Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\{f_n - g_n\}$  is a Bessel sequence with bound M < A. Then  $\{g_n\}$  is a Riesz basis with bound  $[1 - (\frac{M}{A})^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2 A$  and  $[1 - (\frac{M}{B})^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2 B$ .

The next lemma is a consequence of (1.2). It will be crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let w be a weight in  $A_p$ . For a given dyadic interval I, set  $a_I$ ,  $b_I$  and  $c_I$  to denote the left endpoint of I, the right endpoint of I and the center of I respectively. As before  $I_l$  and  $I_r$  denote the left and right halves of I. Then

- a) with C the constant in (1.2) and  $\epsilon < (\frac{1}{2})^p \frac{1}{2C}$  we have that  $2\epsilon w(I) < w(I_l)$  and  $2\epsilon w(I) < w(I_r)$ ;
- b) with C as above and  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{3^p}$  we also have that  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^j} \chi_{W^{\epsilon}(I)}(x) \leq 2$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $W^{\epsilon}(I)$  is the  $\epsilon w(I)$  neighborhood of the interval W(I), in other words  $W^{\epsilon}(I) = (W(a_I) \epsilon w(I), W(b_I) + \epsilon w(I))$ .

**Proof.** a) Using (1.2) with J = I,  $E = I_l$  we obtain

$$\frac{w(I_l)}{w(I)} \ge \frac{1}{C} \left(\frac{|I_l|}{|I|}\right)^p = \frac{1}{C2^p} > 2\epsilon.$$

The same inequality is true for  $I_r$  instead of  $I_l$ .

b) Let us consider I, K and J three consecutive intervals in  $\mathcal{D}^j$  with  $b_I = a_K$  and  $b_K = a_J$ . Let M be the interval obtained as the union of I, J and K. From (1.2) we see that

$$\epsilon < \frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{3^p} = \frac{1}{C} \left( \frac{|K|}{|M|} \right)^p \le \frac{w(K)}{w(M)}$$

Hence  $\epsilon(w(I) + w(J)) \leq \epsilon w(M) < w(K) = W(a_J) - W(b_I)$ , so that  $W(b_I) + \epsilon w(I) < W(a_J) - \epsilon w(J)$ . Then, no point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  can belong to more than two of the intervals  $W_I^{\epsilon}$ .  $\Box$ 

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout this section w is a weight in  $A_p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $1 . We shall use the standard inner product notation <math>\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for the scalar product in  $L^2(dx)$ . We shall write  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w$  to denote the inner product in  $L^2(wdx)$ .

Notice first that  $\{h_I^w: I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  defined in (1.1) is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, wdx)$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set

$$\mathcal{V}_j = \{ f \in L^2(wdx) : f \text{ is constant on each } I \in \mathcal{D}^j \},\$$

and observe that  $\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_j$  is dense in  $L^2(wdx)$ . By (2.1) wdx is doubling and hence  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} w = \infty$ . Thus, we have  $\bigcap_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_j = \{0\}$ . For  $I \in \mathcal{D}$  fixed, the two dimensional vector space of those functions f defined on I which are constant on each half  $I_l$  and  $I_r$  of I has  $\{\frac{\chi_I}{\sqrt{w(I)}}, h_I^w\}$  as an orthonormal basis with the  $L^2(wdx)$  inner product. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define  $\mathcal{W}_j$  as the  $L^2(wdx)$  orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{V}_j$  in  $\mathcal{V}_{j+1}$ . In other words, as usual,  $\mathcal{V}_{j+1} = \mathcal{V}_j \oplus \mathcal{W}_j$ .

From the above mentioned properties of the multiresolution  $\{\mathcal{V}_i: j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  we see that

$$L^2(wdx) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{W}_j.$$

Since, for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  fixed, the family  $\{h_I^w : I \in \mathcal{D}^j\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{W}_j$  we get that  $\{h_I^w : I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(wdx)$ .

Given a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  we shall write E' to denote the image of E by W. In other words  $E' = \{W(x), x \in E\}$ . We write  $\mathcal{D}' = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}'_j$  to denote the family of all the images I' of intervals  $I \in \mathcal{D}$  through W, here  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the family of all dyadic intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  defined above. Notice that |I'| = w(I).

For each  $I \in \mathcal{D}$  we shall use  $H_I^w$  to denote the composition  $h_I^w \circ W^{-1}$ . It is easy to see that  $H_I^w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I_I'|}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|I_I'|}{|I_I'|}} \chi_{I_I'}(x) - \sqrt{\frac{|I_I'|}{|I_I'|}} \chi_{I_I'}(x) \right\}$  and that  $\{H_I^w, I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . In fact, for  $f \in L^2(dx)$  we have  $\langle f, H_I^w \rangle = \langle f \circ W, h_I^w \rangle_w$  for every  $I \in \mathcal{D}$ . Moreover

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f, H_I^w \rangle|^2 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f \circ W, h_I^w \rangle_w|^2 = \|f \circ W\|_{L^2(wdx)}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(dx)}^2$$

Next we regularize by convolution the function  $H_I^w$  for  $I \in \mathcal{D}$  in order to get  $H_I^{w,\epsilon}$ , defined by  $H_I^{w,\epsilon} = \varphi_{\epsilon w(I)} * H_I^w$ . Here  $I \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\varphi$  is as described in the introduction, and  $\epsilon$  is as in Lemma 2.1.

We prove a) in Theorem 1.1 by applying the Favier–Zalik stability result. We shall estimate the Bessel bound in  $L^2(dx)$  for the difference  $b_I^{\epsilon} = H_I^w - H_I^{w,\epsilon}$  between the basic element  $H_I^w$  and its regularization  $H_I^{w,\epsilon}$ .

We use the strategy described in the introduction, taking as  $\{f_k\}$  the sequence  $\{b_I^e\}$  and as the orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}$  the sequence  $H_I^w$ . Precisely, define

$$T_{\epsilon}f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f, b_I^{\epsilon} \rangle H_I^w$$

and  $T_j f = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \langle f, b_J^{\epsilon} \rangle H_J^w$ , thus  $T_{\epsilon} = \sum_j T_j$ . To prove that  $\{b_I^{\epsilon} : I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is a Bessel sequence with small bound, we apply Cotlar's Lemma to the sequence  $\{T_j\}$  of operators in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . We begin by estimating  $\|T_i^*T_j\|$  and  $\|T_iT_j^*\|$  where  $T_j^*$  is the adjoint of  $T_j$ ,

$$T_j^* f = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \langle f, H_J^w \rangle b_J^\epsilon.$$

Since the family  $\{H_I^w, I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is orthonormal, for  $i \neq j$  we have  $T_i^* T_j f = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j, I \in \mathcal{D}^i} \langle f, b_J^\epsilon \rangle \langle H_J^w, H_I^w \rangle b_I^\epsilon = 0$ . On the other hand, for i = j,  $\|T_j^* T_j\| = \|T_j\|^2$  and  $\|T_j f\|_2^2 = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} |\langle f, b_J^\epsilon \rangle|^2$ .

Since  $H_J^w$  is piecewise constant, for  $\epsilon$  small enough the support of  $b_J^\epsilon$  splits into three intervals, each of them centered at the images through W of the two endpoints  $a_J$ ,  $b_J$  of J and of its center  $c_J$ . All of them have the same length  $2\epsilon w(J)$ . Precisely, with  $S_J^\epsilon = \sup b_J^\epsilon$  we have that  $S_J^\epsilon = \bigcup_{m=1}^3 S_J^{\epsilon,m}$ , where  $S_J^{\epsilon,1} = (W(a_J) - w(J)\epsilon, W(a_J) + w(J)\epsilon), S_J^{\epsilon,2} = (W(c_J) - w(J)\epsilon, W(c_J) + w(J)\epsilon)$  and  $S_J^{\epsilon,3} = (W(b_J) - w(J)\epsilon, W(b_J) + w(J)\epsilon)$ .

$$\left|\langle f, b_J^\epsilon 
ight
angle 
ight|^2 \le \left( \int_{S_J^\epsilon} \left| f \right|^2 
ight) \left( \int \left| b_J^\epsilon \right|^2 
ight).$$

In order to estimate  $\int |b_I^{\epsilon}|^2$ , let us first notice that  $|b_I^{\epsilon}| \leq |H_I^w| + |H_I^{w,\epsilon}| \leq 2|H_I^w| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{w(I)}} \max \{\sqrt{\frac{w(I_I)}{w(I_I)}}, \sqrt{\frac{w(I_I)}{w(I_r)}}\}$ , which is bounded by a constant C, depending only on w, times  $w(I)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then  $\int |b_I^{\epsilon}|^2 \leq \frac{C^2}{w(I)} |S_I^{\epsilon}| = 6C^2\epsilon$ .

Then, from b) in Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_j f\|_2^2 &\leq 6C^2 \epsilon \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \int_{S_J^{\epsilon}} |f|^2 \leq 6C^2 \epsilon \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \int_{W^{\epsilon}(J)} |f|^2 \\ &\leq 6C^2 \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \chi_{W^{\epsilon}(J)} \right) |f|^2 \leq 12C^2 \epsilon \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $||T_j^*T_j|| = ||T_j||^2 \le 12C^2\epsilon$ , and since  $||T_i^*T_j|| = 0$  for  $i \ne j$ , any s(k) with  $s(0) \le 12C^2\epsilon$  and  $s(k) \ge 0$  for  $k \ne 0$  is admissible for the estimate  $||T_i^*T_j|| \le s(i-j)$  required by Cotlar's Lemma.

The behavior of the sequence  $||T_iT_j^*||$  is more subtle since  $T_iT_j^*f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^i} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \langle f, H_J^w \rangle \langle b_J^\epsilon, b_I^\epsilon \rangle H_I^w$ , and now the functions  $b_J^\epsilon$  are not orthogonal. In this case the Lipschitz smoothness of each  $b_J^\epsilon$  away from its points of discontinuity, and its mean vanishing properties will play essential roles. These two properties are made precise in the following claims, which we proof later.

**Claim 1.** For each  $I \in \mathcal{D}$  with I = [a, b) centered at  $c_I$ , on each one of the segments  $\sigma_1 = (-\infty, W(a))$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (W(a), W(c_I))$ ,  $\sigma_3 = (W(c_I), W(b))$  and  $\sigma_4 = (W(b), \infty)$  the function  $b_I^{\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz with norm bounded by a constant times  $(\epsilon w(I))^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ .

**Claim 2.** On each one of the three connected components  $S_I^{\epsilon,m}$  of its support we have  $\int_{S_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon,m}} b_I^{\epsilon} = 0, m = 1, 2, 3.$ 

Let us assume Claims 1 and 2 and continue the proof.

To estimate  $||T_iT_i^*||$ , observe that, since  $\{H_I^w, I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is an orthonormal basis, we have

$$\left\|T_{i}T_{j}^{*}f\right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^{j}} \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle\right)^{2}.$$
(3.1)

Assume first that j > i. For a fixed  $I \in \mathcal{D}^i$ , we consider the partition of  $\mathcal{D}^j$  provided by the three sets,  $\mathcal{A}(I) = \{J \in \mathcal{D}^j : S_J^{\epsilon} \cap S_I^{\epsilon} = \emptyset\}; \ \mathcal{B}(I) = \{J \in \mathcal{D}^j \setminus \mathcal{A}(I) : b_I^{\epsilon} \text{ is continuous and not identically zero on } S_J^{\epsilon}\}$ and  $\mathcal{C}(I) = \mathcal{D}^j \setminus (\mathcal{A}(I) \cup \mathcal{B}(I)).$  Since for  $J \in \mathcal{A}(I)$  we have that  $\langle b_I^{\epsilon}, b_J^{\epsilon} \rangle = 0$ , then

$$\begin{split} \left\| T_{i}T_{j}^{*}f \right\|_{2}^{2} &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle \right)^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} |\langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle|^{2} \right) \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} |\langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle|^{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} |\langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle|^{2} \right) \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}(I)} |\langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle|^{2} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^i} \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} |\langle f, H_J^w \rangle|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} |\langle b_I^\epsilon, b_J^\epsilon \rangle|^2 \right)$$
  
=  $I_1 + I_2.$ 

In order to estimate  $I_1$  notice that  $\mathcal{C}(I)$  has at most six elements. On the other hand, from (2.1)

$$\begin{split} |\langle b_I^{\epsilon}, b_J^{\epsilon}\rangle| &\leq \int_{S_J^{\epsilon}} |b_I^{\epsilon}(x)| \left| b_J^{\epsilon}(x) \right| dx \\ &\leq C \frac{\epsilon w(J)}{(w(I)w(J))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \epsilon \frac{1}{2^{(j-i)\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \end{split}$$

hence

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &\leq C\epsilon^{2} 2^{-\gamma(j-i)} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} \left| \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \right|^{2} \\ &\leq C\epsilon^{2} 2^{-\gamma(j-i)} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^{j}} \left| \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \right|^{2} \sharp \{ I \in \mathcal{D}^{i} : \ J \notin \mathcal{A}(I) \} \leq C\epsilon^{2} 2^{-\gamma(j-i)} \left\| f \right\|_{2}^{2}, \end{split}$$

which has again the desired form to apply Cotlar's Lemma with  $s(j-i) = C\epsilon 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}(j-i)}$ .

For a given interval I, set  $\tilde{I}$  to denote the concentric with I and twice its length. Since for  $J \in \mathcal{B}(I)$  the function  $b_I^{\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz on the support of  $b_J^{\epsilon}$ , if  $x_J^m$  is the center of the *m*-th connected component of the support of  $b_J^{\epsilon}$ , from Claims 2 and 1 and applying again (2.1) we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} |\langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle|^{2} &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{S_{J}^{\epsilon,m}} b_{J}^{\epsilon}(x) \left( b_{I}^{\epsilon}(x) - b_{I}^{\epsilon}(x_{J}^{m}) \right) dx \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \frac{C}{(\epsilon w(I))^{3}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{S_{J}^{\epsilon,m}} |b_{J}^{\epsilon}(x)| \left| x - x_{J}^{m} \right| dx \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3} w(I)^{3}} \left| S_{J}^{\epsilon} \right|^{2} \frac{1}{w(J)} \epsilon^{2} w(J)^{2} \\ &\leq C \epsilon \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \left( \frac{w(J)}{w(I)} \right)^{2} \frac{w(J)}{w(I)} \\ &\leq C \epsilon \left( \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \left( \frac{|J|}{|I|} \right)^{2\gamma} \frac{1}{w(I)} \int_{J} w(x) dx \\ &\leq C \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2(j-i)\gamma} \frac{1}{w(I)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \chi_{J}(x) w(x) dx \\ &\leq C \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2\gamma(j-i)} \frac{w(\tilde{I})}{w(I)} \\ &\leq C \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2\gamma(j-i)} \frac{w(\tilde{I})}{w(I)} \end{split}$$

So that, for j > i

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I)} \left| \left\langle b_I^{\epsilon}, b_J^{\epsilon} \right\rangle \right|^2 \le C \epsilon 2^{-2(j-i)\gamma}$$
(3.2)

hence

$$I_{2} \leq C\epsilon 2^{-2(j-i)\gamma} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}(I)} |\langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle|^{2}$$
$$\leq C\epsilon 2^{-2(j-i)\gamma} \|f\|_{2}^{2},$$

finally

$$||T_i T_j^* f||_2^2 \le I_1 + I_2 \le C \epsilon 2^{-\gamma(j-i)} ||f||_2^2.$$

Hence, for j > i taking  $s(j-i) = C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}(j-i)}$  we have a good sequence in order to use Cotlar's Lemma. For  $i \ge j$ , with the above notation for  $J \in \mathcal{D}^j$  given, we have the three classes  $\mathcal{A}(J)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(J)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(J)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left\| T_{i}T_{j}^{*}f \right\|_{2}^{2} &\leq C \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^{i}} \left( \sum_{\{J \in \mathcal{D}^{j} / S_{I}^{\epsilon} \cap S_{J}^{\epsilon} \neq \emptyset\}} \left| \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \right|^{2} \left| \langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle \right|^{2} \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^{j}} \left| \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \right|^{2} \left( \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(J) \cup \mathcal{B}(J)} \left| \langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle \right|^{2} \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^{j}} \left| \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \right|^{2} \left( \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(J)} \left| \langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle \right|^{2} \right) + C \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^{j}} \left| \langle f, H_{J}^{w} \rangle \right|^{2} \left( \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}(J)} \left| \langle b_{I}^{\epsilon}, b_{J}^{\epsilon} \rangle \right|^{2} \right) . \end{split}$$

For the first term, notice that if  $I \in \mathcal{C}(J)$ , we obtain from (2.1) as before

$$\begin{split} |\langle b_I^{\epsilon}, b_J^{\epsilon} \rangle| &\leq \int_{S_I^{\epsilon}} |b_J^{\epsilon}(x)| \left| b_I^{\epsilon}(x) \right| dx \\ &\leq C \frac{\epsilon w(I)}{w(J)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(I)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \epsilon 2^{-(i-j)\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \end{split}$$

since the number of elements in  $\mathcal{C}(J)$  is bounded we get that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} |\langle f, H_J^w \rangle|^2 \left( \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}(J)} |\langle b_I^\epsilon, b_J^\epsilon \rangle|^2 \right) \le C \epsilon^2 2^{-\gamma(i-j)} \|f\|_2^2.$$

For the second term observe that if  $I \in \mathcal{B}(J)$  and  $y_I^m$  is the center of the interval  $S_I^{\epsilon,m}$ , since the integral of  $b_I^{\epsilon}$  vanishes on each connected component  $S_I^{\epsilon,m}$ , we have

$$\left| \langle b_I^{\epsilon}, b_J^{\epsilon} \rangle \right|^2 \le \left( \left| \sum_{m=1}^3 \int_{S_I^{\epsilon,m}} b_I^{\epsilon}(y) \left( b_J^{\epsilon}(y) - b_J^{\epsilon}(y_I^m) \right) dy \right| \right)^2,$$

then, from Claim 1,

$$\begin{split} |\langle b_I^{\epsilon}, b_J^{\epsilon} \rangle|^2 &\leq \left( \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} w(J)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{m=1}^3 \int\limits_{S_I^{\epsilon,m}} |b_I^{\epsilon}(y)| \left| y - y_I^m \right| dy \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \frac{3C \epsilon w(I) \left| S_I^{\epsilon} \right|}{\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} w(J)^{\frac{3}{2}} w(I)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 \leq C \epsilon \left( \frac{w(I)}{w(J)} \right)^3. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T_i T_j^* f \right\|_2^2 &\leq C \epsilon^2 2^{-(i-j)\gamma} \left\| f \right\|_2^2 + C \epsilon 2^{-(i-j)2\gamma} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^j} \left| \langle f, H_J^w \rangle \right|^2 \left( \frac{1}{w(J)} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}(J)} w(I) \right) \\ &\leq C \epsilon^2 2^{-(i-j)\gamma} \left\| f \right\|_2^2 + C \epsilon 2^{-(i-j)2\gamma} \left\| f \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\left\|T_iT_j^*\right\| \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}(i-j)}$ , for  $i \geq j$ .

So far we have the hypotheses of Cotlar's Lemma for the sequence  $\{T_j\}$  with  $s(k) = C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|k|}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $||T_{\epsilon}|| \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}, 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 = \min\{\frac{2^{-p}}{2C}, \frac{3^{-p}}{C}\}$  where C is the constant in (1.2). Now from the Favier–Zalik stability lemma, we get that  $\{H_I^{w,\epsilon}: I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with bounds  $\left(1 - \sqrt{C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right)^2$  and  $\left(1 + \sqrt{C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right)^2$ . Since  $h_I^{w,\epsilon} = H_I^{w,\epsilon} \circ W$  and for  $f \in L^2(wdx)$  we have the identity

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\langle f,h_{I}^{w,\epsilon}\rangle_{w}^{2}=\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\langle f\circ W^{-1},H_{I}^{w,\epsilon}\rangle^{2}$$

we immediately see that  $\{h_I^{w,\epsilon}: I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, wdx)$  with bounds  $(1 \pm \sqrt{C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}})^2$ . This proves a).

The absolute continuity of each  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  follows from the regularity of  $H_I^{w,\epsilon}$  and the absolute continuity of W. Part b) in the statement of Theorem 1.1 follows directly from the Riesz bounds for  $\{h_I^{w,\epsilon}: I \in \mathcal{D}\}$  obtained before.

Let us prove c). With  $a_I$  and  $b_I$  the left and right endpoint of I we have that the support of  $h_I^{w,\epsilon}$  is the interval  $I_{\epsilon} = [W^{-1}(W(a_I) - \epsilon w(I)), W^{-1}(W(b_I) + \epsilon w(I))] = [a_I^{\epsilon}, b_I^{\epsilon}]$  containing I. Notice that since  $W(a_I) - W(a_I^{\epsilon}) = \epsilon w(I)$  and  $W(b_I^{\epsilon}) - W(b_I) = \epsilon w(I)$ , from the continuity of  $W^{-1}$  it follows that  $a_I^{\epsilon} \to a_I$ and  $b_I^{\epsilon} \to b_I$  when  $\epsilon \to 0$ . A more quantitative estimate of the rate of approximation can be obtained using again (1.2). In fact, set  $I^*$  to denote the interval concentric with I with three times its length. Let J be the interval  $[a_I^{\epsilon}, a_I]$ , then from (1.2)

$$\frac{a_I - a_I^{\epsilon}}{3|I|} = \frac{|J|}{|I^*|} \le C\left(\frac{w(J)}{w(I^*)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C\left(\frac{\epsilon w(I)}{w(I^*)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In a similar way  $\frac{b_i^c - b_I}{|I|} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Hence  $\frac{|I_\epsilon|}{|I|} = 1 + \frac{a_I - a_i^c}{|I|} + \frac{b_i^c - b_I}{|I|}$  and  $0 < \frac{|I_\epsilon|}{|I|} - 1 < C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$  where C depends on the  $A_p$  constant of w. Notice that the rate of approximation is better as p tends to 1.

Let us finally prove Claims 1 and 2.

**Proof of Claim 1.** Since for  $x, y \in \sigma_i$ , i = 1, ..., 4 we have that  $H_I^w(x) = H_I^w(y)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_I^{\epsilon}(x) - b_I^{\epsilon}(y)| &= \left| H_I^{w,\epsilon} * \varphi_{\epsilon w(I)}(x) - H_I^{w,\epsilon} * \varphi_{\epsilon w(I)}(y) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{H_I^w(z)}{\epsilon w(I)} \left( \varphi\left(\frac{x-z}{\epsilon w(I)}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{y-z}{\epsilon w(I)}\right) \right) dz \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is smooth, applying the mean value theorem we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_I^{\epsilon}(x) - b_I^{\epsilon}(y)| &\leq \frac{\|\varphi'\|_{\infty}}{\epsilon^2 w(I)^2} |x - y| \int_{\{|x - z| \leq \epsilon w(I)\} \cup \{|y - z| \leq \epsilon w(I)\}} |H_I^w(z)| \, dz \\ &\leq c \frac{\|\varphi'\|_{\infty}}{(\epsilon w(I))^{\frac{3}{2}}} |x - y| \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Claim 2.** It is easy to see that  $\int b_I^{\epsilon} dx = 0$ . In fact, we can see from (1.3)

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{|I'|} \int_{I'} H_I^w(x) dx &= \frac{\sqrt{|I'_r|}}{\sqrt{|I'_l|}} \int_{I'} \chi_{I'_l}(x) dx - \frac{\sqrt{|I'_l|}}{\sqrt{|I'_r|}} \int_{I'} \chi_{I'_r}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{|I'_r|}}{\sqrt{|I'_l|}} |I'_l| - \frac{\sqrt{|I'_l|}}{\sqrt{|I'_r|}} |I'_r| = 0. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, since  $\int \varphi(z) dz = 1$ , we also have that  $\int H_I^{w,\epsilon} dx = 0$ .

Notice that, after normalization,  $\int_{S_I^{\epsilon,1}} b_I^{\epsilon} dx = 0$  since  $\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\chi_{(0,\infty)}(x) - (\chi_{(0,\infty)} * \varphi_{\delta})(x)] dx = 0$  for  $\delta > 0$ . Since a similar argument proves that  $\int_{S_I^{\epsilon,3}} b_I^{\epsilon} dx = 0$  and  $\int b_I^{\epsilon} = 0$ , we also have  $\int_{S_I^{\epsilon,2}} b_I^{\epsilon} dx = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

#### References

- H.A. Aimar, A.L. Bernardis, O.P. Gorosito, Perturbations of the Haar wavelet by convolution, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (12) (2001) 3619–3621.
- [2] H. Aimar, A. Bernardis, B. Iaffei, Multiresolution approximations and unconditional bases on weighted Lebesgue spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, J. Approx. Theory 148 (1) (2007) 12–34.
- [3] P. Auscher, T. Hytönen, Orthonormal bases of regular wavelets in spaces of homogeneous type, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 34 (2) (2013) 266–296.
- [4] O. Christensen, An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [5] R.R. Coifman, C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, Studia Math. 51 (1974) 241-250.
- [6] M. Cotlar, A combinatorial inequality and its applications to L<sup>2</sup>-spaces, Rev. Mat. Cuyana 1 (1956) 41–55, 1955.
- [7] M. De Guzmán, Real Variable Methods in Fourier Analysis, North-Holl. Math. Stud., vol. 46, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1981. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 75.
- [8] S.J. Favier, R.A. Zalik, On the stability of frames and Riesz bases, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 2 (2) (1995) 160–173.
- [9] J. García-Cuerva, J.L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holl. Math. Stud., vol. 116, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 104.
- [10] N.K. Govil, R.A. Zalik, Perturbations of the Haar wavelet, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (11) (1997) 3363–3370.
- [11] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972) 207–226.
- [12] E.M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Math. Ser., vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, with the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.