Journal Pre-proof

Chronic exposure to glyphosate induces transcriptional changes in honey bee larva: A toxicogenomic study

Diego E. Vázquez, José M. Latorre-Estivalis, Sheila Ons, Walter M. Farina

PII: S0269-7491(19)36709-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114148

Reference: ENPO 114148

- To appear in: Environmental Pollution
- Received Date: 11 November 2019
- Revised Date: 14 January 2020
- Accepted Date: 6 February 2020

Please cite this article as: Vázquez, D.E., Latorre-Estivalis, José.M., Ons, S., Farina, W.M., Chronic exposure to glyphosate induces transcriptional changes in honey bee larva: A toxicogenomic study, *Environmental Pollution* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114148.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.





| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Chronic exposure to glyphosate induces transcriptional changes |
| 4 | in honey bee larva: a toxicogenomic study |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Diego E. Vázquez ^{1,2} , José M. Latorre-Estivalis ³ , Sheila Ons ³ and Walter M. Farina ^{1,2,*} |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| | |
| 11 | ¹ Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento |
| 12 | de Biodiversidad y Biología Experimental, Laboratorio de Insectos Sociales, Buenos Aires, |
| 13 | Argentina. |
| 14 | ² CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Fisiología, Biología Molecular y |
| 15 | Neurociencias (IFIBYNE), Buenos Aires, Argentina. |
| 16 | ³ Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Centro Regional de |
| 17 | Estudios Genómicos, Laboratorio de Neurobiología de Insectos, La Plata, Argentina |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | *Corresponding author: e-mail: <u>walter@fbmc.fcen.uba.ar</u> |

21 Abstract

The honey bee Apis mellifera is the most abundant managed pollinator in diverse crops 22 worldwide. Consequently, it is exposed to a plethora of environmental stressors, among 23 which are the agrochemicals. In agroecosystems, the herbicide glyphosate (GLY) is one of 24 25 the most applied. In laboratory assessments, GLY affects the honey bee larval development by delaying its moulting, among other negative effects. However, it is still unknown how 26 GLY affects larval physiology when there are no observable signs of toxicity. We carried 27 out a longitudinal experimental design using the *in vitro* rearing procedure. Larvae were fed 28 with food containing or not a sub-lethal dose of GLY in chronic exposure (120 h). 29 30 Individuals without observable signs of toxicity were sampled and their gene expression profile was analyzed with a transcriptomic approach to compare between treatments. Even 31 though 29% of larvae were asymptomatic in the exposed group, they showed 32 transcriptional changes in several genes after the GLY chronic intake. A total of 19 33 transcripts were found to be differentially expressed in the RNA-Seq experiment, mainly 34 35 linked with defensive response and intermediary metabolism processes. Furthermore, the enriched functional categories in the transcriptome of the exposed asymptomatic larvae 36 were linked with enzymes with catalytic and redox activity. Our results suggest an 37 38 enhanced catabolism and oxidative metabolism in honey bee larvae as a consequence of the sub-lethal exposure to GLY, even in the absence of observable symptoms. 39

40 41

42

43 Keywords: Apis mellifera, RNA-Seq, in vitro rearing, transcriptomic, energy
44 metabolism

45 **1. Introduction**

Animal pollination is a crucial ecosystem service for biosphere provided mainly by 46 different species of bees and other insects. Nevertheless, in most crops worldwide, the 47 honey bee Apis mellifera is the most used pollen vector (IPBES 2016). This generalist 48 pollinator is managed by pollination services placing beehives in agricultural settings. 49 Consequently, honey bees are exposed to different environmental stressors such as 50 landscape fragmentation, large-scale monocultures, extreme climate conditions, pathogens, 51 parasites and especially exposure to agrochemicals (Foley et al. 2005; Potts et al. 2010). In 52 croplands and surroundings, several routes of acute or chronic exposure to agrochemicals 53 54 occur, including the contact with spray drift, residues in vegetation and dust (IPBES 2016, Krupke et al. 2012, Peruzzo et al. 2008). Once the food incomes into the beehive, there is a 55 rapid distribution of contaminated pollen, nectar and water among nestmates (Thompson et 56 al. 2014, Orantes-Bermejo et al. 2010, Blasco et al. 2003). All these concomitant factors in 57 sublethal exposure and in addition to their cumulative biological response make the honey 58 59 bee exposome (Traynor et al. 2016, Miller and Jones 2013). This concept places the toxic exogenous agents in a broader context in which they interact with the inner physiology of 60 each animal, including its diet, behaviour and endogenous agents (e.g., metabolites and 61 62 microbiota). A maladaptive biological response of some individuals could affect the colony survival. In this context, the honey bee becomes a suitable sentinel species for pollinator 63 community (Gerhardt 2002, Pham-Delegue et al. 2002, Bromenshenk et al. 1985), 64 especially those individuals under development within beehives, given that they are much 65 more vulnerable to environmental challenges (Wu et al. 2011). The interaction between 66 67 environment and individual's physiology in chronic adaptation can lead to a high allostatic load and subclinical diseases (Juster et al. 2010). Therefore, the disturbed development of 68 69 honey bees could cause a long-term negative effect on the pollination service in commercial crops. 70

One of the most applied agrochemicals in agriculture landscapes worldwide is the active ingredient so-called glyphosate [N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine], henceforth: GLY (Benbrook 2016, Duke and Powles 2008, Giesy *et al.* 2000). This biocide chemical takes part in a wide range of herbicide formulations with broad-spectrum action. GLY became

extensively and intensively applied since the late 1990s due to the technological advances 75 in genetically modified crops and no-till farming (Benbrook 2016, Duke and Powles 2008). 76 This molecule inhibits the enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS), 77 part of the shikimate pathway, which exists only in higher plants, algae and bacteria (Duke 78 79 and Powles 2008). The disruption of the aromatic amino acid biosynthesis is the main mechanism for toxicity of GLY. However, different studies have shown detrimental effects 80 81 of GLY on the development and growth in a wide variety of animals (Seide et al. 2018, Dutra et al. 2011, Paganelli et al. 2010, Cauble and Wagner 2005, Marc et al. 2004, Tate et 82 al. 1997). Indeed, a previous work reported an increased prevalence of delayed moulting in 83 84 honey bee larvae exposed to GLY (Vázquez et al. 2018). This effect was observed mainly as reduced growth and prolonged duration of early larval stadia. In addition, other studies 85 showed that GLY acts as a stressor during the larval development of A. mellifera under in 86 vitro rearing by modulating some immune/detoxifying genes (e.g., antibacterial proteins 87 and some cytochrome P450 monooxygenases) and inducing high levels of cell apoptosis in 88 89 the gut epithelium (Gregorc et al. 2012, Gregorc and Ellis 2011). Although there is no a clear molecular mechanism of action, recent researches proved the disruption of gut 90 microbiota in honey bees after GLY ingestion (Blot et al. 2019, Dai et al. 2018, Motta et al. 91 92 2018). This result is consistent with the biocide action of GLY in bacteria, but its direct or indirect connection with delayed growth or the occurrence of other harmful effects in bees 93 is still unknown (Farina et al. 2019). After chronic exposure to GLY, young worker honey 94 bees reared in the laboratory showed impaired associative learning, reduced sucrose 95 sensitivity and depleted antioxidants associated with carotenoid-retinoid system (Goñalons 96 and Farina 2018, Helmer et al. 2015, Herbert et al. 2014). The accumulated evidence 97 suggests that chronic exposure to GLY is not harmless for bees; however, little is known 98 99 about its consequences on brood physiology after the intake of contaminated food (El Agrebi et al. 2019, Berg et al. 2018, Chamkasem and Vargo 2017, Rubio et al. 2014). 100 Thus, a toxicogenomic approach could provide a better understanding of the internal state 101 of larvae exposed to this herbicide, even in the absence of external symptoms. 102

103 The aim of the present work has been to measure how GLY affects honey bee larva 104 when there are no observable signs of toxicity. For this purpose, we carried out a

- longitudinal randomized experiment in brood fed with food containing or not GLY, andassessed a transcriptomic analysis to compare gene expression between groups.
- 107

108 2. Materials and Methods

109 **2.1. Study site and animals**

110 The rearing experiment was performed in January 2018 (summer season in the 111 southern hemisphere). Female honey bee larvae were sampled from brood frames from 112 three healthy colonies (henceforth: A, B and C) and reared *in vitro* (see section 2.2). The 113 colonies were housed in Langstroth hives at the experimental apiary of the Universidad de 114 Buenos Aires, Argentina (34° 32' S, 58° 26' W). The queens from the three colonies are 115 not genetically related and they had been naturally inseminated by multiple mates during 116 free flights in the field (i.e., inter and intra-colony genetic diversity).

117

118 2.2. In vitro rearing

An empty frame was introduced into each colony (A-C) and monitored for 8 hours 119 until the queen laid enough eggs. Three days later the brood frames were withdraw and 120 carried to a room with suitable environmental conditions for grafting. Around 60 first 121 122 stadium larvae were grafted (0-8 hour old post-hatching) from the brood frame to plastic cups and placed in Petri dishes (Crailsheim et al. 2013). This number of larvae represents 123 around 5 % of a cohort (eggs laid in one day by the queen) and up to 0.5 % of colony in an 124 average hive. The same person carried out this procedure to avoid variability in grafting 125 effect. Larvae were reared inside an incubator with constant temperature and relative 126 humidity (34.5 °C and 95%, respectively) during five days. To standardize larval food 127 administration and GLY exposure, 110 µL of food spread in five aliquots of increasing 128 129 volume were provided to each larva during the 5 days of the feeding period: 10 μ L during grafting, 10 µL at 24 h, 20 µL at 48 h, 30 µL at 72 h and 40 µL at 96 h (Aupinel et al. 130 2005). A previously established diet was used: 6% D-glucose, 6% D-fructose, 1% yeast 131 extract (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50% commercial royal jelly (Kaftanoglu et al. 2011, 132 Vandenberg and Shimanuki 1987). In order to prevent bacterial or fungal contamination 133 dead larvae were removed every day. 134

135

136 **2.3. Exposure to GLY**

The accurate concentration of GLY in brood food (bee bread or royal/worker jelly) 137 offered to larvae inside the hive during field exposure have not yet been determined, but the 138 139 herbicide is actually ingested by larvae (Farina et al. 2019, Thompson et al. 2014, USEPA 2012, Raina-Fulton 2014). Therefore, the worst-case exposure scenario was assumed, and a 140 chronic exposure (0-120 h post-hatching) was chosen, considering the highest 141 concentration of GLY reported in agricultural landscapes and its median expected 142 environmental concentration (Farina et al. 2019). Two treatments for larva reared in vitro 143 144 were defined: control group (food without herbicide) and exposed group, food with 2.5 mg a.e. (acid equivalent) of GLY (analytical standard provided by Sigma-Aldrich, purity of 145 99.2 %) per litre of food. For this, a GLY stock solution of 100 mg a.e. L^{-1} (bi-distilled 146 water as solvent) was diluted in food solution. Food was renewed once a week due to the 147 slight photodegradation of GLY (Duke and Powles 2008). 148

149

150 **2.4. Endpoints**

The status of each larva during the 120 h of exposure was daily checked. Each 151 152 larval stadium can be identified by its morphological traits (Human et al. 2013). Whenever a larva had a smaller size or different characteristics from the stadium in that is expected to 153 be, it was classified as "in delay" and separated from the remainders with an optimal 154 growth (Vázquez et al. 2018; Wu et al. 2011). Besides, larvae were classified as dead when 155 their colour changed to brownish, they developed oedema or remained immobile 156 (Crailsheim et al. 2012). The "relative risk ratio" and its confidence interval (Katz et al. 157 1978) were calculated when significant differences in either survival or successful moulting 158 159 proportions were observed.

160

161 **2.5. Biological samples and RNA isolation**

After the chronic *in vitro* exposure, larvae with optimal growth (i.e., with a success moult in each moulting event and similar size) represented the asymptomatic subpopulation, regardless if they belonged to GLY exposed or control groups. The

biological replicates for the gene expression experiments were pools of 6 asymptomatic 165 larvae (2 larvae from each colony A-C) per treatment. Larvae were sampled in TRIzol® 166 reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and temporally stored in liquid nitrogen. We sampled only 5-day 167 old larvae with complete intake to homogenize nutritional state. Each larva was weighed 168 169 with a precision balance (Mettler Toledo AG285, ±0.1 mg). Therefore, the 110 µL of ingested food was equivalent to a dose of 0 in the control group or 275 ng a.e. of GLY in 170 171 exposed group. Pooled larvae were homogenized using sterilized pestles in cold and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® according to the supplier's protocol and resuspended in 172 90 µL of DEPC-treated water. RNA integrity and quality were assessed by means of a 1% 173 174 agarose electrophoresis gel and in Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).

175

176 **2.6. RNA sequencing**

The gene expression profiling of pooled larvae (section 2.5) was carried out with 3 177 biological replicates per treatment (control vs. GLY exposed). Library construction and 178 high-throughput sequencing services were hired at Novogene Corporation Inc. 179 (Sacramento, USA). A total of 6 cDNA libraries were constructed using the NEB Next® 180 UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs) with an insert length of 250-300 181 base pairs (bp). The libraries were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq2000 equipment (paired-182 end 150 bp) with a sequencing depth of at least 21 million paired-end reads per library 183 (Conesa et al. 2016, Rajkumar et al. 2015, Fang and Cui 2011). The raw sequence dataset 184 is available at the NCBI BioProject database with the accession number PRJNA587756 185 (Table S1 and S2). 186

187

188 2.7. Bioinformatic analysis

Differential expression analysis was performed with an empirical Bayes approach for small samples based on a negative binomial distribution using the edgeR package (Robinson *et al.* 2010) (for details in the bioinformatic procedure and cites see Supplementary information). Those genes with a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.1 were considered as differentially expressed between control and exposed groups and genes with logarithm2-fold-change more than \pm 0.5 were considered with relevant biological signal. Enrichment analysis of all genes of the transcriptomic result was carried out with the ermineR package using the Gene Score Resampling method (Gillis *et al.* 2010). The functional categories were determined using Gene Ontology (GO) terms from BeeBase (Gene Ontology Consortium 2018, Elsik *et al.* 2016, Honey Bee Genome Sequencing Consortium 2014).

200

201 **2.8. qRT-PCR**

The differential expression results from RNA-Seq were complemented with qRT-202 PCR (Everaert et al. 2017). For this purpose, we selected 5 genes from the set of 203 204 differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Their expression level was analysed using 4 biological replicates per treatment (control and exposed group) independent from those 205 used for RNA-Seq and prepared as described above (section 2.5). A total of 1.5 µg of total 206 RNA were treated with DNaseI (Promega). cDNA was synthesized with 15.5 µL of treated 207 RNA per sample by means of the M-MLV reverse transcriptase system (Promega). qRT-208 209 PCRs were performed in an AriaMx Real-Time PCR System (Agilent Technologies) using 6 µL of FastStart Universal Master Mix (Hoffmann-La Roche), 0.5 µL of a 10 µM forward 210 and reverse primer solution and 1.5 μ L of 4-fold diluted cDNA in final volume of 12 μ L. 211 212 Primers were designed using Primer3 v4.0.0 (http://primer3.wi.mit.edu) and efficiency was calculated for each primer pair (Table S2). Reactions were performed in technical triplicate 213 under the following conditions: 10 min at 95 °C; 40 cycles of 20 s at 95 °C; 20 s at 56-58 214 °C and 30 s at 72 °C. In all qRT-PCR experiments, no-template controls were included. 215 The efficiency and amplification of a single fragment was evaluated for each primer pair 216 (Table S3) (Taylor et al. 2010). The expression of GAPDH, Rp18S and Rp49S was used to 217 normalize target gene expression (Table S4 and Fig S1A). These genes were previously 218 219 described as stable reference genes in A. mellifera (Lourenço et al. 2008, Scharlaken et al. 220 2008). Gene expression ratio was calculated by means of the Pfaffl formula (Pfaffl 2001).

221

222 **2.9.** Statistics

We performed data analysis and graphics in R (for details and cites see Supplementary Information). Survival and developmental data were analyzed with Cox Proportional Hazard models (CPH). Weighing data were transformed with Box-Cox method to meet the statistical assumptions and analyzed with generalized linear models (GLM). Gene expression data from qRT-PCR were analyzed with principal components (PCA) and Mann-Whitney *U* test for comparison between treatments for each gene. The alpha level was set at 0.1 and p-value corrected for multiple post-hoc comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

231

232 **3. Results**

233 **3.1. Signs of toxicity in larvae fed with GLY**

In order to detect changes in the physiology of honey bee larvae without observable signs of disturbed development after ingesting GLY (Table S5), we tracked brood during the exposure period (0-120 h) searching for the occurrence of the endpoints (death or delay, Figure 1).

Thirty percent of the brood in the control group died at the age of 84 ± 27 h, around 238 the last larval moult. Those larvae exposed to GLY dead at a similar age (80.9 ± 24 h) and 239 did not differ with the control group in survival proportion (CPH model: survival ~ [GLY] 240 + strata(colony), $\chi^2(1) = 1.74$, P = 0.187, N = 364). However, a significant increase in the 241 proportion of larvae with delayed development during the exposure to GLY was observed 242 (CPH model: successful moulting ~ [GLY] + strata(colony). $\chi^2(1) = 10.57$, P = 0.001, N = 243 364). Forty-four percent of the brood in the control group displayed a delay in at least one 244 moult with delay of 55.0 \pm 26 h. Nevertheless, sixty-two percent of larvae in the group 245 exposed to GLY displayed a moulting process with delay of 60.7 \pm 33 h. Hence, the 246 relative risk ratio associated with the delayed development and the exposure to GLY was 247 1.43 (confidence interval of 95%: 1.20-1.69). Therefore, GLY affected the larval 248 development of a subpopulation of brood in the longitudinal experiment, increasing the 249 incidence of delayed moulting. 250

At the end of the experiment (120 h), 53% of brood in the control group and 29% in the exposed group did not show disruptions in the larval development (delay, death or both). Thereupon, we sampled from these asymptomatic subpopulations those larvae with full intake and similar size (89. 89 \pm 8.8 mg in control group and 89.95 \pm 12.44 mg in exposed group. GLM model: Box-Cox(weight) ~ [GLY]. F(1,94) = 0.64, P = 0.426, N =
96).

257

258 **3.2.** Gene expression profiling of asymptomatic larvae

The transcriptomes derived from the samples of asymptomatic larvae with or without the intake of GLY allowed us to explore differences in gene expression using RNA-Seq. Less than 10% of the raw read pairs were eliminated after quality control. Between 20 and 24 million of clean reads were obtained per library (biological replicate). More than 88% of reads mapped to the honey bee genome (Table S1 and S2).

264 Nineteen coding transcripts (0.22% of protein-coding genes expressed in samples) were found to be differentially expressed (7 up and 12 down-regulated in exposed larvae 265 relative to control group) (Figure 2 and Table S6). The magnitude of the fold change ranged 266 from 0.6 to 0.1 for the genes under-expressed and from 1.7 to 2.7 for the genes over-267 expressed in the exposed group. The DEGs were characterized by information about their 268 function and dominant expression in tissues provided by different genome databases (Table 269 S7). Moreover, all genes in the transcriptomes were classified according to functional 270 categories based on the Gene Ontology classification (Table 1). The most enriched GO 271 272 terms of biological processes and molecular functions in the exposed larvae were lipid metabolism (with a high percentage of genes with low FDR) and oxidoreductase activity 273 274 (with a high percentage of genes with large fold-change).

Finally, we choose 5 transcripts from the DEG set (CYP6AS3, GB46620, SLC1, EST 275 and UGT1-3) to quantify their expression levels by qRT-PCR in independent biological 276 replicates from the same cohort under study (Figure 3). UGT1-3 showed a significant up-277 regulation between treatments while SLC1 and EST showed a meaningful biological 278 279 modulation on their average expression ratio, 50% of down-regulation and 83% of up-280 regulation respectively (Mann Whitney U test: CYP6AS3: W = 4, P = 0.248. GB46620: W = 8, P = 1. SLC1: W = 14, P = 0.08. EST: W = 2, P = 0.08. UGT1-3: W = 1, P = 0.04). 281 Furthermore, two principal components (PC1 and PC2) achieving 68% of the cumulative 282 proportion of deviation were obtained from a PCA with the 5 genes (Table S8). Although 283 there was variation in the gene expression ratio among samples in qRT-PCR (Table S9), the 284

PCA showed more similarity among samples from the same treatment in the internal state based on those genes (Figure S1B). Lastly, the effect of GLY exposure in the transcript's abundance of *UGT1-3* had similar response in direction (over-expression) in both qRT-PCR and transcriptomic procedures, with high read counts and moderate variability in the latter (Table S6).

290

291 **4. Discussion**

4.1. Transcriptional changes in asymptomatic larvae

293 In agreement with previous results (Vázquez et al 2018), the chronic self-dosing of GLY in 294 the honey bee brood has sub-lethal effects in the larval development under *in vitro* rearing conditions. GLY acts as a risk factor increasing the incidence of delayed moults. Moreover, 295 296 the gene expression profiling in the asymptomatic subpopulation suggests alterations in their physiology after the chronic intake of GLY. A set of 19 coding transcripts was found 297 to be differentially expressed in their whole body. This modulation was mainly restricted to 298 299 genes related to the defensive response against environmental stressors (37%) and the 300 intermediary metabolism (26%) (Table S7). Furthermore, the most enriched functional 301 categories in the whole transcriptome (Table 1) were those associated to enzymes with catalytic and redox activities. Most of the DEGs have been reported in Drosophila 302 melanogaster with predominant transcription in the gut epithelium, integument and 303 304 Malpighian tubules (Table S7) (Thurmond et al. 2019). These organs are directly exposed to the herbicide which would indicate an inner adjustment of the larval physiology as a 305 306 consequence of the oral and epidermal exposures.

A complete correlation between RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR results should be 307 expectable for those experiments dealing with an acute treatment with a relative simple 308 309 mode of action and a great modulatory effect on gene expression. In the current study, we identified transcriptional changes triggered by chronic exposure to a chemical whose action 310 311 mechanism is unknown in insects and seems to act at different levels, e.g. in gut microbiota (Blot et al. 2019, Dai et al. 2018, Motta et al. 2018). Besides, Vázquez and co-workers 312 (2018) observed that the impact of GLY among colonies was not homogeneous. Even 313 314 individuals of the same colony could present different response profiles to GLY due to the

different self-dosing of each larva and the variation in susceptibility of its microbiota 315 (Motta et al. 2018, Vázquez et al. 2018). Hence, the results presented here are a snapshot of 316 a dynamic process and several individuals within the same group could have been caught in 317 different points along their toxicological response. We consider both RNA-Seq and RT-318 319 qPCR as complementary procedures to identify changes, that in our case we expect to be subtle. Therefore, the current detection of signs of transcriptional modulation constitutes a 320 321 meaningful piece of information revealing a physiological reaction of the larva against the herbicide in an allostatic process (Juster et al. 2010), even in the absence of evident 322 323 symptoms of toxicity.

324

325 **4.2. Signs of detoxification and dysbiosis**

Phytochemicals and pesticides present in food or nest were shown to modulate similar 326 genes during the defensive response in honey bees (Poquet et al. 2016, Mao et al. 2013, 327 James and Xu 2012, Johnson et al. 2012). In the current study, genes related to immunity 328 (pacifastin and MME), plant-herbivore interaction (G12-like protein), epigenetic 329 mechanisms of disrupted microbiota (GB46620) and detoxification were modulated by 330 GLY. In the latter process, three genes belong to phase I (CYP6AS3) and phase II (a 331 332 sulfotransferase and UGT1-3) in the biotransformation of xenobiotics (Timbrell 2008, Claudianos et al. 2006). Previous works showed that CYP6AS3 detoxified xenobiotics 333 334 present in honey and beebread, such as quercetin (Mao et al. 2013, Johnson et al. 2012). Meanwhile, the UDP-glycosyltransferase gene (UGT1-3) was related to the glycosylation 335 (UDP-glucose as sugar donor) of small hydrophobic molecules (Ahn et al. 2012). Many 336 endogenous compounds are glycosylated, such as ecdysteroid hormones and cuticle tanning 337 precursors (Hu et al. 2019, Ahn et al. 2012). The xenobiotic metabolism and immunity 338 339 have been consistently modulated by the intake of GLY in honey bee brood in different experiments (Vázquez et al. 2018, Gregorc et al. 2012). Nevertheless, it is currently 340 unknown if these enzymes metabolize GLY or other chemical that could be generated 341 secondarily, e.g. toxins from the dysbiosis in gut microbiota (Blot et al. 2019, Dai et al. 342 2018, Motta et al. 2018). Therefore, the long-term trend in gene modulation does not 343 necessarily reflect a specific gene activation or repression capacity of GLY (Samsel and 344

Seneff 2013). Besides that, expression of an apoptotic signalling gene (*SMPD1*) was significantly modulated in our experiment. The induction of apoptosis suggested by this result is in agreement to a previous study (Gregorc and Ellis 2011). Furthermore, the most up-regulated transcript was a metalloprotein related to inflammatory response (*MME*). These are toxicity signs frequently associated with dysbiosis (Samsel and Seneff 2013).

350

351 **4.3. Signs of metabolic stress**

Triggering of stress compensatory mechanisms induces energy consumption (Wang et al. 352 2019, Li et al. 2017, Avigliano et al. 2014), which could disrupt the moulting process in 353 354 honey bees due to a trade-off between growth and defensive response. The herbicide showed adverse effects in growth of various invertebrates including honey bees (Vázquez 355 et al. 2018, Dutra et al. 2011, Marc et al. 2004, Tate et al. 1997). Although more 356 physiological experiments should be performed, the functional analysis presented here 357 provides evidence of alterations in the energetic metabolism. On one hand, the most down-358 regulated transcripts were a branched-chain-amino-acid transaminase (GB49819) and a 359 fatty acid hydroxylase (GB40899) related to protein and lipid catalytic metabolism 360 respectively. Lipids and amino acids contribute to energy metabolism by providing carbon 361 362 source into the Krebs cycle, especially when the primary sources of energy (trehalose and glycogen) are scarce (Nation 2015) (GO:0006629 and GO:0008610). On the other hand, 363 364 one of the most enriched functional categories was iron-binding proteins (GO:0005506). These are important metalloproteins that contribute in solute transport (SLC1) and defense 365 response, such as MME and CYP450s (Dlouhy and Outten 2013, Claudianos et al. 2006, 366 De Sousa et al. 1988). The other most enriched category was alcohol oxidoreductase 367 enzymes (GO:0016614 and EC 1.1). These enzymes are mainly dehydrogenases and also 368 369 take part in the energetic metabolism and phase I in xenobiotic metabolism (IUBMB 1992). 370 Isocitrate dehydrogenase is the major control point in the Krebs cycle modulated by the concentration of ATP and other metabolites (Nation 2015). In addition, cells use the 371 coenzyme FAD (Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide) associated with flavoproteins (GO:0050662 372 and GO:0050660) in many energetically difficult oxidation reactions such as 373 dehydrogenation, because it is a very strong oxidizing agent. Flavoproteins take part in a 374

large variety of energetic metabolic pathways including beta-oxidation of fatty acids and 375 376 amino acid catabolism (Nation 2015, Iida et al. 2007, Patterson and Bates 1989). All the mentioned enzymes and biological processes have a crucial role in the redox homeostasis. 377 Disturbances in the normal redox state of cell can cause toxic effects through the 378 379 production of reactive oxygen species. Oxidative stress is associated with increased production of oxidizing compounds during catabolism and severe oxidation can trigger 380 apoptosis and energy depletion (Lelli et al. 1998). These toxic oxidants are removed by 381 antioxidant metabolites and different enzymes. In this sense, in a previous laboratory 382 assessment with adult honey bees exposed chronically to GLY, the authors reported a 383 384 decrease in antioxidants (Helmer et al. 2015).

386 4.4. Implications in field assessments

387 The present results suggest that open or semi-field assessments need to consider measurements of internal state regarding conspicuous endpoints, such as death or delayed 388 development (Thompson et al. 2014). The hazard analysis of stressors, one at a time, in 389 390 laboratory gives the advantage to identify useful biomarkers of effect or exposure for biomonitoring (Gerhardt 2002). Although samples of whole body insects makes more 391 392 difficult the detection of organ-specific changes, this kind of sampling allowed us to describe in a holistic way changes in the transcriptional state of brood and establish a 393 reference due to the unfeasible dissections of larvae *in situ*. Finally, in open field assays it is 394 395 important not to lose sight of the exposome of each honey bee colony that is a result of 396 different kind of acute or chronic disturbances in brood or adults honey bees (Traynor et al. 397 2016, Miller and Jones 2013). These exposures could affect the biological fitness to cope with concomitant stressors, as previously demonstrated for GLY and mosquitoes (Riaz et 398 al. 2009) even if there are not observable signs of toxicity. 399

400

401 Conclusion

402 Our results suggest an increase of the catabolism and oxidative metabolism in honey bee
403 asymptomatic larvae chronically exposed to GLY. A maladaptive physiological response in
404 early stages in life cycle could lead to long-term negative effects on bee populations.

405

Acknowledgements: Authors thank G. da Rocha Fernandes and F. Gonçalves dos Santos
(Fiocruz - Research Center Renê Rachou, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) for their technical
support in the bioinformatic analysis. Finally, the authors thank to the Universidad de
Buenos Aires, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET for support.

410

Fundings: The study was financially supported by ANPCYT (PICT 2016 2084), the
University of Buenos Aires (UBACYT 2018 20020170100078BA) and CONICET (PIP
112-201501-00633) to WMF, and ANPCYT (PICT2015 0468) to SO.

| 415 | Author contributions: Conceptualization: W.M.F., J.M.L. and D.E.V.; Resources: | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 416 | W.M.F., S.O. and J.M.L.; Writing - original draft: D.E.V. and W.M.F.; Writing - review & | | | | |
| 417 | editing: D.E.V., W.M.F, J.M.L. and S.O.; Supervision: W.M.F. and S.O.; Project | | | | |
| 418 | administration: W.M.F.; Funding acquisition: W.M.F and S.O. | | | | |
| 419 | | | | | |
| 420 | Competing interests: The authors declare no competing or financial interests. | | | | |
| 421 | | | | | |
| 422 | References | | | | |
| 423 | Ahn SJ, Vogel H, Heckel DG (2012). Comparative analysis of the UDP-glycosyltransferase | | | | |
| 424 | multigene family in insects. Insect Biochem Molec, 42(2), 133-147. | | | | |
| 425 | | | | | |
| 426 | Aupinel P, Fortini D, Dufour H, Tasei J, Michaud B, et al. (2005). Improvement of | | | | |
| 427 | artificial feeding in a standard in vitro method for rearing Apis mellifera larvae. B Insectol, | | | | |
| 428 | 58(2): 107-111. | | | | |
| 429 | | | | | |
| 430 | Avigliano L, Fassiano AV, Medesani DA, De Molina MR, Rodríguez EM (2014). Effects | | | | |
| 431 | of glyphosate on growth rate, metabolic rate and energy reserves of early juvenile crayfish, | | | | |
| 432 | Cherax quadricarinatus M. B Environ Contam Tox, 92(6), 631-635. | | | | |
| 433 | | | | | |
| 434 | Benbrook CM (2016). Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. | | | | |
| 435 | Environ Sci Eur, 28(1), 3. | | | | |
| 436 | | | | | |
| 437 | Berg CJ, King HP, Delenstarr G, Kumar R, Rubio F, et al. (2018). Glyphosate residue | | | | |
| 438 | concentrations in honey attributed through geospatial analysis to proximity of large-scale | | | | |
| 439 | agriculture and transfer off-site by bees. PloS one, 13(7), e0198876. | | | | |
| 440 | | | | | |
| 441 | Blasco C, Fernández M, Pena A, Lino C, Silveira MI, et al. (2003). Assessment of pesticide | | | | |
| 442 | residues in honey samples from Portugal and Spain. J Agr Food Chem, 51(27), 8132-8138. | | | | |

| 444 | Bromenshenk JJ, Carlson SR, Simpson JC, Thomas JM (1985). Pollution monitoring of | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 445 | Puget Sound with honey bees. Science, 227, 632–634. | | | | |
| 446 | | | | | |
| 447 | Blot N, Veillat L, Rouzé R, Delatte H (2019). Glyphosate, but not its metabolite AMPA, | | | | |
| 448 | alters the honeybee gut microbiota. PloS one, 14(4), e0215466. | | | | |
| 449 | | | | | |
| 450 | Cauble K, Wagner RS (2005). Sublethal effects of the herbicide glyphosate on amphibian | | | | |
| 451 | metamorphosis and development. B Environ Contam Tox, 75(3): 429-435. | | | | |
| 452 | | | | | |
| 453 | Chamkasem N, Vargo JD (2017). Development and independent laboratory validation of an | | | | |
| 454 | analytical method for the direct determination of glyphosate, glufosinate, and | | | | |
| 455 | aminomethylphosphonic acid in honey by liquid chromatography/tandem mass | | | | |
| 456 | spectrometry. J Regul Sci, 5(2), 1-9. | | | | |
| 457 | | | | | |
| 458 | Claudianos C, Ranson H, Johnson RM, Biswas S, Schuler MA, et al. (2006). A deficit of | | | | |
| 459 | detoxification enzymes: pesticide sensitivity and environmental response in the honeybee. | | | | |
| 460 | Insect Mol Bio, 15(5), 615-636. | | | | |
| 461 | | | | | |
| 462 | Conesa A, Madrigal P, Tarazona S, Gomez-Cabrero D, Cervera A, et al. (2016). A survey | | | | |
| 463 | of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. Genome Biol, 17(1), 13. | | | | |
| 464 | | | | | |
| 465 | Crailsheim K, Brodschneider R, Aupinel P, Behrens D, Genersch E, et al. (2013). Standard | | | | |
| 466 | methods for artificial rearing of Apis mellifera larvae. J Apicult Res, 52(1): 1-16. | | | | |
| 467 | | | | | |
| 468 | Dai P, Yan Z, Ma S, Yang Y, Wang Q, et al. (2018). The herbicide glyphosate negatively | | | | |
| 469 | affects midgut bacterial communities and survival of honey bee during larvae reared in | | | | |
| 470 | vitro. J. Agric. Food Chem, 66 (29): 7786–7793. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b02212 | | | | |
| 471 | PMID: 29992812 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Journal Pre-proo

- 473 De Sousa M, Breedvelt F, Dynesius-Trentham R, Trentham D, Lum J (1988). Iron,
- 474 Iron-binding Proteins and Immune System Cells. Ann NY Acad Sci, 526(1), 310-322.
- 475
- 476 Dlouhy AC, Outten CE (2013). The Iron Metallome In Eukaryotic Organisms. Metallomics
- 477 And The Cell (pp. 241-278). L. Banci (Ed.). Dordrecht, The Netherlands Springer.
- 478
- 479 Duke SO, Powles SB (2008). Glyphosate: a once in a century herbicide. Pest Manag Sci,
 64(4): 319–325. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1518 PMID: 18273882
- 481
- 482 Dutra BK, Fernandes FA, Failace DM, Oliveira GT (2011). Effect of roundup (glyphosate
 483 formulation) in the energy metabolism and reproductive traits of *Hyalella castroi*484 (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Dogielinotidae). Ecotoxicology, 20(1): 255–263.
 485 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-010-0577-x PMID: 21086158
- 486
- El Agrebi N, Tosi S, Wilmart O, Scippo ML, de Graaf DC, et al. (2019). Honeybee and
 consumer's exposure and risk characterisation to glyphosate-based herbicide (GBH) and its
 degradation product (AMPA): Residues in beebread, wax, and honey. Sci Total Environ,
 135312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135312
- 491
- Elsik CG, Tayal A, Diesh CM, Unni DR, Emery ML, et al. (2016). Hymenoptera Genome
 Database: integrating genome annotations in HymenopteraMine. Nucleic Acids Res,
 44(D1):D793-800. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1208 10. Epub 2015 Nov 17. PubMed PMID :
 26578564.
- 496
- Everaert C, Luypaert M, Maag JL, Cheng QX, Dinger ME, et al. (2017). Benchmarking of
 RNA-sequencing analysis workflows using whole-transcriptome RT-qPCR expression
 data. Sci Rep, 7(1), 1559.
- 500
- Fang Z, Cui X (2011). Design and validation issues in RNA-seq experiments. Brief
 Bioinform, 12(3), 280-287.

| 503 | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 504 | Farina WM, Balbuena MS, Herbert LT, Mengoni Goñalons C, Vázquez DE (2019). Effects | | | |
| 505 | of the Herbicide Glyphosate on Honey Bee Sensory and Cognitive Abilities: Individual | | | |
| 506 | Impairments with Implications for the Hive. Insects, 10, 354. | | | |
| 507 | | | | |
| 508 | Gene Ontology Consortium (2018). The gene ontology resource: 20 years and still GOing | | | |
| 509 | strong. Nucleic Acids Res, 47(D1), D330-D338. | | | |
| 510 | | | | |
| 511 | Gerhardt A. (2002). Bioindicator species and their use in biomonitoring. Environ Monit, 1, | | | |
| 512 | 77-123. | | | |
| 513 | | | | |
| 514 | Giesy JP, Dobson S, Solomon KR (2000). Ecotoxicological risk assessment for Roundup | | | |
| 515 | herbicide. Rev Environ Contam T, 167: 35–120. | | | |
| 516 | | | | |
| 517 | Gillis J., Mistry M., Pavlidis P. (2010). Gene function analysis in complex data sets using | | | |
| 518 | ErmineJ. Nat Protoc, 5: 1148 – 1159. | | | |
| 519 | | | | |
| 520 | Goñalons CMM, Farina WM (2018). Impaired associative learning after chronic exposure | | | |
| 521 | to pesticides in young adult honey bees. J Exp Biol, 221, jeb176644. | | | |
| 522 | | | | |
| 523 | Gregorc A, Evans JD, Scharf M, Ellis JD (2012). Gene expression in honeybee (Apis | | | |
| 524 | mellifera) larvae exposed to pesticides and Varroa mites (Varroa destructor). J Insect | | | |
| 525 | Physiol, 58(8): 1042–1049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.03.015 PMID: | | | |
| 526 | 22497859 | | | |
| 527 | | | | |
| 528 | Gregorc A, Ellis JD (2011). Cell death localization in situ in laboratory reared honeybee | | | |
| 529 | (Apis mellifera L.) larvae treated with pesticides. Pestic Biochem Phys, 99(2): 200–207. | | | |
| 530 | | | | |
| 531 | Helmer SH, Kerbaol A, Aras P, Jumarie C, Boily M (2015). Effects of realistic doses of | | | |
| 532 | atrazine, metolachlor, and glyphosate on lipid peroxidation and diet-derived antioxidants in | | | |

| 533 | caged honey bees (Apis mellifera). Environ Sci Pollut R, 22(11): 8010-8021. | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 534 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2879-7 PMID: 24728576 | | | | |
| 535 | | | | | |
| 536 | Herbert LT, Vázquez DE, Arenas A, Farina WM (2014). Effects of field-realistic doses of | | | | |
| 537 | glyphosate on honeybee appetitive behaviour. J Exp Biol, 217, 3457–3464. | | | | |
| 538 | | | | | |
| 539 | Honey Bee Genome Sequencing Consortium (2014). Finding the missing honey bee genes: | | | | |
| 540 | lessons learned from a genome upgrade. BMC Genomics, 15:86. PMID:24479613 | | | | |
| 541 | | | | | |
| 542 | Hu B, Zhang SH, Ren MM, Tian XR, Wei Q, et al. (2019). The expression of Spodoptera | | | | |
| 543 | exigua P450 and UGT genes: tissue specificity and response to insecticides. Insect Sci, | | | | |
| 544 | 26(2), 199-216. | | | | |
| 545 | | | | | |
| 546 | Human H, Brodschneider R, Dietemann V, Dively G, Ellis J, et al. (2013). Miscellaneous | | | | |
| 547 | standard methods for Apis mellifera research. J Apicult Res, 52(4): | | | | |
| 548 | http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.10 | | | | |
| 549 | | | | | |
| 550 | Iida K, Cox-Foster DL, Yang X, Ko WY, Cavener DR (2007). Expansion and evolution of | | | | |
| 551 | insect GMC oxidoreductases. BMC Evol Biol, 7(1), 75. | | | | |
| 552 | | | | | |
| 553 | IPBES (2016). The Assessment Report Of The Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform | | | | |
| 554 | On Biodiversity And Ecosystem Services On Pollinators, Pollination And Food Production. | | | | |
| 555 | S.G. Potts, V. L. Imperatriz-Fonseca, and H. T. Ngo, (eds). Secretariat of the IPBES, Bonn, | | | | |
| 556 | Germany. 552 pages. | | | | |
| 557 | | | | | |
| 558 | James RR, Xu J (2012). Mechanisms by which pesticides affect insect immunity. J | | | | |
| 559 | Invertebr Pathol, 109(2), 175-182. | | | | |
| 560 | | | | | |

| 561 | Johnson RM, Mao W, Pollock HS, Niu G, Schuler MA, et al. (2012). Ecologically | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 562 | appropriate xenobiotics induce cytochrome P450s in Apis mellifera. PloS one, 7(2), | | | |
| 563 | e31051. | | | |
| 564 | | | | |
| 565 | Juster RP, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ (2010). Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and | | | |
| 566 | impact on health and cognition. Neurosci Biobehav R, 35(1), 2-16. | | | |
| 567 | | | | |
| 568 | Kaftanoglu O, Linksvayer TA, Page Jr RE (2011). Rearing honeybees, Apis mellifera, in | | | |
| 569 | vitro I: Effects of sugar concentrations on survival and development. J Insect Sci, 11(1): 96. | | | |
| 570 | | | | |
| 571 | Katz D, Baptista J, Azen SP, Pike MC (1978). Obtaining confidence intervals for the risk | | | |
| 572 | ratio in cohort studies. Biometrics, 469-474. | | | |
| 573 | | | | |
| 574 | Krupke CH, Hunt GJ, Eitzer BD, Andino G, Given K (2012). Multiple routes of pesticide | | | |
| 575 | exposure for honey bees living near agricultural fields. PLoS one, 7(1), e29268. | | | |
| 576 | | | | |
| 577 | Lelli Jr JL, Becks LL, Dabrowska MI, Hinshaw DB (1998). ATP converts necrosis to | | | |
| 578 | apoptosis in oxidant-injured endothelial cells. Free Radical Bio Med, 25(6), 694-702. | | | |
| 579 | | | | |
| 580 | Li MH, Ruan LY, Zhou JW, Fu YH, Jiang L, et al. (2017). Metabolic profiling of goldfish | | | |
| 581 | (Carassius auratis) after long-term glyphosate-based herbicide exposure. Aquat Toxicol, | | | |
| 582 | 188, 159-169. | | | |
| 583 | | | | |
| 584 | Lourenço AP, Mackert A, dos Santos Cristino A, Simões ZLP (2008). Validation of | | | |
| 585 | reference genes for gene expression studies in the honey bee, Apis mellifera, by quantitative | | | |
| 586 | real-time RT-PCR. Apidologie, 39(3), 372-385. | | | |
| 587 | | | | |
| 588 | Mao W, Schuler MA, Berenbaum MR (2013). Honey constituents up-regulate | | | |
| 589 | detoxification and immunity genes in the western honeybee Apis mellifera. P Natl Acad Sci | | | |
| 590 | USA, 110(22): 8842–8846. | | | |

591

Marc J, Mulner-Lorillon O, Bellé R (2004). Glyphosate-based pesticides affect cell cycle
regulation. Biol Cell, 96(3): 245–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biolcel.2003.11.010 PMID:
15182708

595

596 Miller GW, Jones DP (2013). The nature of nurture: refining the definition of the 597 exposome. Toxicol Sci, 137(1), 1-2.

598

Motta EV, Raymann K, Moran NA (2018). Glyphosate perturbs the gut microbiota ofhoney bees. P Nat Acad Sci, 115(41), 10305-10310.

601

602 Nation JL (2015). Insect Physiology And Biochemistry. CRC press.

603

Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular
Biology (IUBMB) (1992). Enzyme Nomenclature. Academic Press, San Diego, California,
ISBN 0-12-227164-5.

607

Orantes-Bermejo FJ, Pajuelo AG, Megías MM, Fernández-Píñar CT (2010). Pesticide
residues in beeswax and beebread samples collected from honey bee colonies (*Apis mellifera* L.) in Spain. Possible implications for bee losses. J Apicult Res, 49(3), 243-250.

611

Paganelli A, Gnazzo V, Acosta H, López SL, Carrasco AE (2010). Glyphosate-based
herbicides produce teratogenic effects on vertebrates by impairing retinoic acid signaling.
Chem Res Toxicol, 23(10):1586–1595. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx1001749 PMID:
20695457

616

Patterson BE, Bates CJ (1989). Riboflavin deficiency, metabolic rate and brown adipose
tissue function in sucking and weanling rats. Brit J Nutr, 61(3), 475-483.

Peruzzo PJ, Porta AA, Ronco AE (2008). Levels of glyphosate in surface waters, sediments
and soils associated with direct sowing soybean cultivation in north pampasic region of
Argentina. Environ Pollut, 156(1): 61–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.01.015
PMID: 18308436

- 624
- Pfaffl MW (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT–
 PCR. Nucleic Acids Res, 29(9), e45-e45.
- 627
- Pham-Delegue MH, Decourtye A, Kaiser L, Devillers J (2002). Behavioural methods to
 assess the effects of pesticides on honey bees. Apidologie, 33, 425–432.
- 630

631 Poquet Y, Vidau C, Alaux C (2016). Modulation of pesticide response in honeybees.
632 Apidologie, 47(3), 412-426.

633

Raina-Fulton R (2014). A review of methods for the analysis of orphan and difficult
pesticides: glyphosate, glufosinate, quaternary ammonium and phenoxy acid herbicides,
and dithiocarbamate and phthalimide fungicides. J AOAC Int, 97(4), 965-977.

637

Rajkumar AP, Qvist P, Lazarus R, Lescai F, Ju J, et al. (2015). Experimental validation of
methods for differential gene expression analysis and sample pooling in RNA-seq. BMC
Genomics, 16(1), 548.

641

Riaz MA, Poupardin R, Reynaud S, Strode C, Ranson H, et al. (2009). Impact of
glyphosate and benzo[a]pyrene on the tolerance of mosquito larvae to chemical
insecticides. Role of detoxification genes in response to xenobiotics. Aquat Toxicol, 93(1),
61-69.

646

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: 139-140.

- Rubio F, Guo E, Kamp L (2014). Survey of glyphosate residues in honey, corn and soy
 products. J Environ Anal Toxicol, 5: 249.
- 652
- Samsel A, Seneff S (2013). Glyphosate's suppression of cytochrome P450 enzymes and
 amino acid biosynthesis by the gut microbiome: pathways to modern diseases. Entropy,
 15(4), 1416-1463.
- 656
- Scharlaken B, de Graaf DC, Goossens K, Brunain M, Peelman LJ, et al. (2008). Reference
 gene selection for insect expression studies using quantitative real-time PCR: The head of
 the honeybee, *Apis mellifera*, after a bacterial challenge. J Insect Sci, 8(1), 33.
- 660
- Seide VE, Bernardes RC, Pereira EJG, Lima MAP (2018). Glyphosate is lethal and Cry
 toxins alter the development of the stingless bee *Melipona quadrifasciata*. Environ Pollut,
 243, 1854-1860.
- 664
- Tate TM, Spurlock JO, Christian FA (1997). Effect of glyphosate on the development of *Pseudosuccinea columella* snails. Arch Environ Con Tox, 33(3): 286–289.
- 667
- Taylor S, Wakem M, Dijkman G, Alsarraj M, Nguyen M (2010). A practical approach to
 RT-qPCR—publishing data that conform to the MIQE guidelines. Methods, 50(4), S1-S5.
- 670
- Thompson HM, Levine SL, Doering J, Norman S, Manson P, et al. (2014). Evaluating
 exposure and potential effects on honeybee brood (*Apis mellifera*) development using
 glyphosate as an example. Integr Environ Assess Manag, 10(3): 463–470.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1529 PMID:24616275
- 675
- Thurmond J, Goodman JL, Strelets VB, Attrill H, Gramates LS, et al. FlyBase Consortium
 (2019). FlyBase 2.0: the next generation. Nucleic Acids Res, 47(D1) D759–D765
- 678 Timbrell JA (2008). Principles Of Biochemical Toxicology. CRC Press.

| 679 680 681 | Traynor KS, Pettis JS, Tarpy DR, Mullin CA, Frazier JL, <i>et al.</i> (2016). In-hive Pesticide Exposome: Assessing risks to migratory honey bees from in-hive pesticide contamination in the Eastern United States. Sci Rep, 6, 33207. |
|-------------------|---|
| 682 683 684 | USEPA (2012). White Paper In Support Of The Proposed Risk Assessment Process For Bees. Chemical Safety And Pollution Prevention. Office Of Pesticides Programs. Environmental Fate And Effects Division. |
| 685 686 | Vandenberg JD, Shimanuki H (1987). Technique for rearing worker honeybees in the laboratory. J Apicult Res, 26: 90-97. |
| 687 | |
| 688 | [dataset]Vázquez DE, Latorre-Estivalis JM, Ons S, Farina WM. Apis mellifera RNAseq. |
| 689 | NCBI BioProject database (2019) PRJNA587756. |
| 690 | |
| 691 | Vázquez DE, Ilina N, Pagano EA, Zavala JA, Farina WM (2018). Glyphosate affects the |
| 692 | larval development of honey bees depending on the susceptibility of colonies. PLoS ONE |
| 693 | 13(10):e0205074. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205074 |
| 694 | |
| 695 | Wang X, Chang L, Zhao T, Liu L, Zhang M, et al. (2019). Metabolic switch in energy |
| 696 | metabolism mediates the sublethal effects induced by glyphosate-based herbicide on |
| 697 | tadpoles of a farmland frog Microhyla fissipes. Ecotox Environ Safe, 186, 109794. |
| 698 | |
| 699 | Wu JY, Anelli CM, Sheppard WS (2011). Sublethal effects of pesticide residues in brood |
| 700 | comb on worker honeybee (Apis mellifera) development and longevity. PLoS one, 6(2): |
| 701 | e14720. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014720 PMID: 21373182 |
| 702 | |
| 703 | |
| 704 | |
| 705 | |
| 706 | |
| 707 | |
| 708 | |

709 Figures

710 Figure 1.



713 Figure 2.



716 Figure 3.



719 Tables

720 Table 1.

| ID | Description | N° genes | P-value | FDR |
|--------------|---|----------|----------|----------|
| GO:0016614 § | oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH-OH group of donors | 46 | 1.00E-12 | 2,48E-10 |
| GO:0005506 * | iron ion binding | 66 | 2.03E-05 | 2,48E-10 |
| GO:0050660 § | flavin adenine dinucleotide binding | 45 | 1.00E-12 | 1,24E-10 |
| GO:0050662 § | coenzyme binding | 134 | 7.61E-07 | 1.22E-06 |
| GO:0008610 * | lipid biosynthetic process | 73 | 0.011 | 2.94E-06 |
| GO:0006629 * | lipid metabolic process | 152 | 0.022 | 0.015 |

Journal Press

721

723 Figures Captions

Fig 1. Endpoint assessment in honey bee larvae exposed in vitro to GLY. A) The 724 725 proportion of survival and **B**) the proportion of larvae with successful moulting (without delay events) during the chronic exposure (0-120 h post-hatching) to contaminated food 726 with GLY (0 or 2.5 mg a.e. of herbicide per litre). The curves are plotted with their 727 confidence interval (95%) and with different colours per treatment: control group in blue 728 and exposed larvae in orange. The + indicates time points with censoring data. Both 729 730 survival and developmental data were fitted to CPH models (survival or successful 731 moulting ~ [GLY] + strata(colony)). The number of assessed larvae and p-values for each test are shown in the graph. 732

733 Figure 2. Effect of GLY on gene transcription in asymptomatic larvae. Heatplot of 734 differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.1, Table S6) comparing transcription levels among samples of pooled bees (6 asymptomatic larvae, i.e. without signs of toxicity after chronic 735 exposure of 120 h to GLY) in both treatments (control: C or exposed: T, total dose of 0 or 736 275 a.e. ng of GLY respectively). Transcription levels per gene (fragments per kilobase per 737 million, a.k.a. FPKM) were standardized with z-score and represented by means of a color 738 scale, in which blue/red represent lowest/highest expression respect to average FPKM 739 among all samples per gene. The density subplot allows to identify the trend in 740 741 transcription level. Genes are identified by their symbol or Beebase code (GB-number). A bar color code identifies the functional category of genes: violet for intermediary 742 metabolism, green for defensive response, yellow for cellular processes, blue for 743 development and grey for genes without functional information (Table S7). Dendrograms 744 were plotted with hierarchical clustering among samples and genes based on Euclidean 745

- 746 distances and Ward method for clustering.
- Figure 3. Gene expression measured with qRT-PCR. Mean gene expression ratio (Pfaffl
 formula) of 5 genes (differently expressed in RNA-Seq, Table S6) has been performed with
 4 samples of pooled larvae (6) per treatment (control or exposed) using qRT-PCR. The
- samples or pooled in the (o) per intermediate (control of enposed) using quite 1 of a 1 samples were different from those in sequencing data. *GAPDH*, *Rp18S* and *Rp49S*
- 751 expression levels (Table S3) has been used to normalize the expression level of every gene.
- 752 Bars indicate means + s.e.m. The *p*-values for each test to compare between treatments
- 753 (Mann-Whitney U test) are shown in the graph for each gene.
- 754
- 755
- 756
- 757

Tables Captions 758

- 759 Table 1. GO enrichment analysis of the RNA-Seq data. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis
- was performed with the list of filtered genes (8567) from the RNA-Seq result (see 760
- Supplementary). Functional gene sets were defined using the Gene Ontology (GO) 761
- annotations (2554) of the Apis mellifera genome in BeeBase (93% of the filtered genes). 762
- Gene Score Resampling method (GSR) applied to identify significantly enriched functional 763
- categories with high-scoring genes (§ mean absolute fold-change or * mean -log₁₀(FDR) 764
- 765 from the RNASeq result). The reported IDs correspond to the significantly enriched GO
- terms (FDR with multifunctionality correction < 0.1). 766

. signi

Highlights

- 1. Honey bee larvae were chronically fed in vitro with food containing glyphosate.
- 2. At the end of the cohort study, larvae without signs of toxicity were sampled.
- Exposed asymptomatic larvae showed differentially expressed genes in RNA-Seq. 3.
- Enriched functional categories suggested high catalytic and oxidative metabolism. 4.

, ic an

Author contributions: Conceptualization: W.M.F., J.M.L. and D.E.V.; Resources: W.M.F., S.O. and J.M.L.; Writing - original draft: D.E.V. and W.M.F.; Writing - review & editing: D.E.V., W.M.F, J.M.L. and S.O.; Supervision: W.M.F. and S.O.; Project administration: W.M.F.; Funding acquisition: W.M.F and S.O.

Journal Proposi

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Prerk