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#### Abstract

Benzimidazole phenol-porphyrin dyads have been synthesized to study proton-coupled


 electron transfer (PCET) reactions induced by photoexcitation. High-potential porphyrins have been chosen to model P680, the photoactive chlorophyll cluster of photosynthetic photosystem II (PSII). They have either two or three pentafluorophenyl groups at the meso positions to impart the high redox potential. The benzimidazole phenol (BIP) moiety models the $\mathrm{Tyr}_{\mathrm{z}}$-His 190 pair of PSII, which is a redox mediator that shuttles electrons from the water oxidation catalyst to $\mathrm{P} 680^{\circ+}$. The dyads consisting of a porphyrin and an unsubstituted BIP are designed to study one-electron one-proton transfer (E1PT) processes upon excitation of the porphyrin. When the BIP moiety is substituted with proton-accepting groups such as imines, one-electron two-proton transfer (E2PT) processes are expected to take place upon oxidation of the phenol by the excited state of the porphyrin. The bis-pentafluorophenyl porphyrins linked to BIPs provide platforms for introducing a variety of electron-accepting moieties and/or anchoring groups to attach semiconductor nanoparticles to the macrocycle. The triads thus formed will serve to study the PCET process involving the BIPs when the oxidation of the phenol is achieved by the photochemically produced radical cation of the porphyrin.KEYWORDS: proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET), photosystem II, pentafluorophenyl porphyrin,
benzimidazole derivatives. ..... 42

## INTRODUCTION

The photosynthetic process starts with the capture of solar energy by pigment-protein and in some cases pigment-pigment complexes known as antennas wherein the electromagnetic energy of light is converted into the chemical potential energy of a molecular excited

[^0]state. This singlet excitation energy is then transferred to a membrane-protein complex known as a reaction center (RC), where it is further transformed by charge separation into chemical redox potential. Photosystem II (PSII) is the RC of plants, cyanobacteria and algae, where multistep electron transfer processes produce reduced quinones and molecular oxygen from water splitting [1-3].

The primary electron donor in PSII is a chlorophyll cluster denominated P680. Its excited state initiates the multistep electron transfer forming P680 ${ }^{\circ+}$ and a reduced quinone $\mathrm{Q}^{*}$. The high potential of $\mathrm{P} 680^{\circ+}(\sim 1.3 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. NHE [4]) is required to oxidize the oxygen-evolving
complex (OEC), the catalytic site where water oxidation takes place. The oxidation of the OEC by $\mathrm{P} 680^{\circ+}$ takes place via a redox-mediating tyrosine $\left(\mathrm{Tyr}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$. The phenolic proton of this residue is hydrogen bonded to the nitrogen lone pair of the imidazole of nearby His 190. Oxidation of $\operatorname{Tyr}_{\mathrm{z}}$ by $\mathrm{P} 680^{\circ+}$ is accompanied by proton transfer to His190 [4, 5]. This reaction is one of the best known proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) process occurring in nature [6-8]. Protons derived from water splitting are transferred into the thylakoid lumen, contributing to the generation of proton motive force (PMF), which drives myriad bioenergetic processes including the synthesis of ATP from ADP and inorganic phosphate [7, 9].

As was previously reported, benzimidazole-phenol (BIP) and its substituted derivatives can be used as models of the redox mediating $\mathrm{Tyr}_{\mathrm{z}}$-His 190 pair [1013]. Evidence of a strong $H$ bond between the phenol (model of $\mathrm{Tyr}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) and the lone pair of the nitrogen of benzimidazole (model of His190) comes from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and FT-IR studies of these constructs [10]. Also, electrochemical measurements show that the oxidized phenol in some of the constructs is thermodynamically capable of activating water oxidizing catalysts. The BIP itself, which lacks substitution on the benzimidazole ring, is known to undergo proton transfer from the phenol to the nitrogen of the
imidazole upon one-electron oxidation of the phenol. This is an example of an E1PT reaction (one-electron, one-proton) [10, 14].

By adding specific substitutions at the 7-position of the benzimidazole (see Scheme 1), BIP becomes capable of two proton transfers upon the oxidation of the phenol (an E2PT process) [10, 15]. When the benzimidazole is substituted with amines the second proton transfer is observed, but the phenoxyl radical/phenol redox potential decreases by $\sim 300 \mathrm{mV}$ compared to that of the BIP lacking substitution on the benzimidazole ring. Therefore, amino-substituted BIP constructs cannot be used as relays in water oxidizing schemes, due to insufficient driving force for the oxidation of the water oxidizing catalyst. On the other hand, some iminesubstituted BIPs are able to maintain the high potential of the phenoxyl radical/phenol couple even after the second proton transfer occurs. In general, in this genre of PCET processes proton transfer takes place across hydrogen bonded partners and the driving force for a proton transfer can be affected by interrelated factors such as the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the H -bond strength between the two partners. For example, substituents at the para-position of an $N$-phenylimine moiety can affect the ratio of E1PT to E2PT products. Strong electron withdrawing groups such as -CN and $-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ decrease the imine nitrogen basicity and the H -bond strength, leading to a large percentage of the

Scheme 1. PCET processes in dyads consisting of porphyrins covalently attached to benzimidazole phenol derivatives

E1PT product. Additionally, strong electron donating groups like $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ increase the basicity of the imine nitrogen and the H -bond strength, generating only the E2PT product, as was found with the amino-substituted BIPs. In these cases the proton translocation distance across the H-bond network is about $6 \AA$ [15].

The synthetic availability of porphyrins, and in particular high-potential fluorinated porphyrins [13, 16], has allowed the construction of quite elaborate structures containing porphyrins as building blocks. For example, three covalently linked redox subunits in a triad composed of a BIP moiety, a porphyrin and a semiconductor nanoparticle have been reported. In this system, the nanoparticle of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ accepts an electron from the photoexcited porphyrin yielding the porphyrin radical cation, which in turn can oxidize the BIP creating a long-lived chargeseparated species [17-20].

In this work, we present the synthetic routes for a series of porphyrins attached to benzimidazole phenol derivatives that mimic some of the aspects of the function of P680-Tyr ${ }_{z}$-His 190 components of PSII (Scheme 1). These synthetic constructs can undergo single or double proton transfers upon oxidation of the phenol. Both electron and proton transfer can be followed by infrared spectroelectrochemistry (IRSEC) because the protonation sites can be readily identified by the appearance of wellestablished changes in the IR spectra as a consequence of the bonding changes occurring during the oxidation of the phenol and reduction of the porphyrin. In future studies these constructs will be used to characterize the dynamics of E1PT and E2PT processes initiated by the excited state of the porphyrin.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSION

## Design and synthesis

The formation of the asymmetric porphyrins was carried out using Lindsey's method [21, 22], by condensation of 5-(pentafluorophenyl)dipyrromethane ( $\mathrm{F}_{5}$-DPM) and combinations of the corresponding aldehydes shown in Chart 1. The reaction was carried out in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / 0.75 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ and was catalyzed by borontrifluoride etherate $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$. After oxidation with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ), the desired porphyrins were obtained in reasonably good yield. The hydroxy group is at the para and ortho position in compounds 2 and 3, respectively. This affects the strength of the H bond between the OH and the imidazole nitrogen in the isomeric dyads 6 and 7 , which were synthesized from 2 and $\mathbf{3}$, respectively, (see below). Study of these systems will establish a correlation between this structural feature and the dynamics of the PCET process. The presence of an aryl iodine or bromine moiety in compounds 4 and 5 will permit the inclusion of additional components such as anchoring groups to attach the dyads to semiconductor nanoparticles or strong electron-accepting units to produce triad systems [18, 19, 23-25].

As shown in Scheme 2, the remaining aldehyde group in each porphyrin was condensed with phenylenediamine or its carbomethoxy derivative by the Philipps-Ladenburg reaction to yield the corresponding BIP-porphyrin derivatives. Compounds 6 and 7 were designed to undergo E1PT processes.


Chart 1. The combination of aldehydes shown in the top panel were mixed with $\mathrm{F}_{5}$-DPM (2 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at room temperature under argon atmosphere, catalyzed by $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(\sim 1 \mathrm{~h})$ and oxidized with DDQ (overnight at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give the corresponding porphyrins shown in the panel below. Secondary products are not shown


Scheme 3. Synthetic route to generate porphyrin-BIPCHO, precursor of compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 13. The same procedure was followed starting with porphyrin 9 to obtain $\mathrm{PF}_{10}-p-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{BIPCHO}$ (15) (Scheme S1)

The ester group at the 7-position of the benzimidazole attached to the porphyrin (Scheme 2, compounds 8 and 9 ), was reduced to the alcohol followed by allylic oxidation to give the corresponding aldehyde $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Scheme 3). This reaction was carried out in milder conditions (room temperature) and no overoxidation to carboxylic acid was observed. It is well known that pentafluorophenylsubstituted porphyrins are susceptible to regioselective nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ ) in the presence of amines, thiols, alcohols and other basic compounds, with selective substitution at the parafluoro positions [26]. This situation restricts the reaction conditions that can be used to reduce the ester group. In the present work, the reaction was successfully carried out under mild conditions using diisobutylaluminum
hydride (DIBALH) in dry dichloromethane at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aldehyde group in $\mathbf{1 1}$ was used to attach the phenyl imine groups, and could be used to introduce a second benzimidazole if so desired. The imino group was incorporated by a general aminocatalytic method [27]. As was previously reported, imines act as a secondary proton acceptor; these systems are designed to undergo E2PT processes while maintaining a higher potential for the phenoxyl radical/phenol redox couple [15]. Because these imines are prone to hydrolysis, compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 13 were used without further purification (they hydrolyze on silica gel columns or TLCs). For this reason, the formation of the imine in compound $\mathrm{PF}_{10}$-para-IBIPCHO (15) will not be carried out until it is attached to a compatible third structure. As mentioned before,
$\mathrm{PF}_{10}$-para-I-BIPCHO is a suitable and versatile building block for the construction of triads. The aryl iodide moiety can be functionalized by cross coupling reactions such as Suzuki, Sonogashira, Heck, Stille, etc. [28-30], which offer the possibility of introducing a wide variety of electron accepting units and/or anchor groups for attachment of the dyads to semiconductors. Furthermore, the perfluorinated phenyl groups can easily undergo regioselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ by different nucleophiles, thereby offering an extra site for substitution. As mentioned above, the aldehyde can be used to introduce an additional benzimidazole moiety to generate a structure which can exhibit three proton transfers in conjunction with the oxidation of the phenol (an E3PT process).

## Structural characterization

Figure 1 presents the downfield region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra taken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ of compounds 6, 7, $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 13. The sharp signal at $\sim 14.20 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponds to the strongly deshielded phenolic proton of compounds 6, 12 and 13. The deshielding of these signals is due to the strong H bond between the phenolic proton and the nitrogen lone pair of the imidazole group. This is probably due to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the fluorine substituted porphyrin and the good electronic communication between the tetrapyrrolic macrocycle and the phenolic ring [19]. On the other hand, in compound 7 the phenolic proton resonance is shifted upfield, indicative of a weaker H bond. As was previously reported, in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of BIP, lacking substitution on the benzimidazole ring, shows a chemical shift of 13.46 ppm for the OH , similar to that of compound 7 [10]. In the case of 7 the electron withdrawing effect of the macrocycle must be greatly diminished compared to that observed in $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$. This is most likely due to the presence of the large substituent at the ortho position of the meso phenyl group, which causes the dihedral angle between the macrocycle and the meso phenyl group to increase. This structural feature is expected to reduce the electronic coupling between the macrocycle and the phenol, with the ensuing increase of the phenolic $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ in 7 compared to that of the phenol in $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$, and results in a weaker H bond between the phenol and the N of the imidazole [14, 19].

Evidence for the formation of a H bond between the distal NH of benzimidazole and the imine N comes from their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (see Fig. 1). When benzimidazole is not substituted, the NH chemical shift appears upfield compared to that of compounds substituted by the imino group. In a BIP lacking substitution on the benzimidazole moiety and not attached to a porphyrin, this signal appears at 9.34 ppm , similar to that observed for compounds 6 and 7 [12]. The NH chemical shift of compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ is considerably downfield and this effect is attributed to the formation of a strong H bond between the NH and the lone pair of the imino N. The NH


Fig. 1. Difference in chemical shift of the phenolic proton and benzimidazole NH for compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
in compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ is observed as a broad band. This was previously observed in BIPs substituted at the 7-position with good proton acceptors such as amino groups and p-dimethylaminophenyl imines [10, 15]. Presumably, this effect is due to fast exchange of the proton between the imidazole N and the imine N on the NMR time scale.

As mentioned above, when fluorinated porphyrins such as those of Schemes 2 and 3 are used in synthetic procedures, the possibility of replacement of fluorine atoms by basic groups at the para position via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ has to be considered. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were used to confirm the structure of compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$, indicating the stability of the fluorine substituted phenyl groups under the reaction conditions employed in this work (Figs S7, S15 and S17).

## CONCLUSION

New artificial photosynthetic models of $\mathrm{P} 680-\mathrm{Tyr}_{z^{-}}$ His 190 have been designed and synthesized. The reactions and purifications have been optimized and the reported procedures resulted in good yields. The photochemically driven PCET processes in the constructs described herein require three critical prerequisites: high redox potential porphyrins, adequate electronic coupling between the porphyrin and the BIP moiety, and a welldefined H-bond network formed between the sites where the proton transfer(s) take place.

The position of the hydroxy group of the phenol attached to the meso position of the macrocycle must be taken into consideration because of the difference in the H -bond strength between the phenolic proton and the nitrogen lone pair of the benzimidazole in isomeric systems. The H bond is stronger when the OH is located in the para position of the meso-phenyl group.

To effectively carry out intramolecular E2PT processes, a second proton acceptor such as an imino group has been
included in the benzimidazole portion of the molecule. The synthetic route for such systems must consider the conditions necessary to prevent the loss of fluorine atoms from the pentafluorophenyl groups. It is noteworthy that the fluorine-substituted phenyl groups are stable under the conditions of the Philipps-Ladenburg reaction. Also, mild conditions were found using DIBALH as the reducing agent to convert the ester group at the 7-position of the BIP to the alcohol at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After oxidation of the alcohol the aldehyde derivative was obtained, which serves as a precursor of the molecules that can undergo the E2PT processes.

The synthesis of porphyrins requires several combinations of dipyrromethanes and aldehydes. In this work we have chosen two different halogen substituents in the para positions of benzaldehydes for the construction of porphyrin-based assemblies bearings electron accepting moieties and/or anchoring groups for semiconductor nanoparticles.

Transient absorption studies with excitation in the visible and detection in the IR on the molecules synthesized in the present work will provide information essential to understanding the dynamics of E1PT and E2PT processes. Specifically, we hope to determine on the femtosecond time scale the extent to which electron and proton movements are correlated in these E1PT and E2PT processes. We anticipate that this information will further define the concept of "concerted" in the context of PCET.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## General

The chemicals used in synthesis were purchased from Aldrich, Acros and Alfa Aesar. Solvents were purchased from VWR. Dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was distilled from calcium hydride $\left(\mathrm{CaH}_{2}\right)$ and kept over molecular sieves. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed with silica-gel-coated glass plates from Merck Millipore. All column chromatography purifications were conducted with Silicycle silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Mass spectra of each compound were obtained using a Voyager DE STR matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDITOF) mass spectrometer. The spectra were taken in positive ion and reflector modes with trans, trans-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene as the matrix. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained with 500 and 400 MHz Bruker spectrometers at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using standard pulse techniques. Deuterated chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ was used as the solvent for the NMR samples with TMS $(0.05 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as the internal reference.

## Synthesis

5-(Pentafluorophenyl)dipyrromethane, $F_{5}$-DPM (1). A procedure similar to that described below was
previously reported [18]. A portion of 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzaldehyde ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in freshly distilled pyrrole ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 720 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred and kept under an argon atmosphere. After 15 min , trifluoroacetic $\operatorname{acid}(\mathrm{TFA})(120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 160 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was diluted after 1 h with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with water, controlling the pH of the aqueous phase at around 7 using a NaOH solution. The organic layer was then dried over sodium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The excess of pyrrole was removed under high vacuum. The light yellow oily solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes/ $15 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ 0.5 \%$ triethylamine (TEA). The white solid was recrystallized from hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford 2.9 g of pure $1\left(57 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, CH), $6.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, CH), 6.16-6.18 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, CH), 6.72-6.74 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 8.12 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, NH).

5-(3-Formyl-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $P F_{15}-\mathbf{4 O H}$ (2). A procedure similar to that described below was previously reported [18]. Compound 1 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5-formyl-3-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde previously synthesized in our laboratory ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzaldehyde ( $207 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a flask and dissolved in 400 mL of chloroform $/ 0.75 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ under an argon atmosphere. Boron-trifluoride etherate $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)(156 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The resulting product was oxidized with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was then filtered through a silica pad and concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of solvents from hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $4: 1$ to $1: 1$ to afford 270 mg of pure 2 ( $16 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.82(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, pyrrolic NH$)$, $1.62\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $8.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), 8.88-8.91 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrolic H), $8.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $10.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 12.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ 984.157, experimental: $984.154(\mathrm{M})^{+}$.

5-(2-Hydroxy-3-formyl-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-(10,15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $\boldsymbol{P F}_{15} \mathbf{- 2 O H}$ (3). The same procedure used in the synthesis of 2 was followed, using 5-(tert-butyl)-2-hydroxyisophthalaldehyde previously synthesized in our laboratory. The residue was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 3: 1$ to pure $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, to give 300 mg of compound $\mathbf{3}$ ( $65 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.83(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, pyrrolic NH), $1.53\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 8.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.35$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $8.89(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, pyrrolic H$), 8.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $10.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 11.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ 984.157, experimental: $984.146(\mathrm{M})^{+}$.

5-(3-Formyl-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-15-(4-iodophenyl)-10,20-bis(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $\mathrm{PF}_{10}-4 \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{pI}$ (4). Compound $1(1.82 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.82 \mathrm{mmol})$, 5 -formyl-3-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-iodobenzaldehyde ( $675 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 740 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ containing 5.6 mL of EtOH under an argon atmosphere. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(283 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The resulting product was oxidized with DDQ $(1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 8.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature overnight. The mixture was then filtered through a silica pad to remove polymeric byproducts and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, from 3:2 to 1:4), affording the target porphyrin $4\left(0.415 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \%\right.$ yield) as a purple solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$)$, $1.62\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 7.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArI})$, $8.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArI}), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 8.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.80-8.86(4 \mathrm{H}$, brt, pyrrolic H), 8.92-8.99 ( 4 H , brt, pyrrolic H), 10.15 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 12.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$. MALDI-TOF-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$1020.101, experimental: $1020.102(\mathrm{M})^{+}$.

5-(3-Formyl-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-15-(4-bromophenyl)-10,20-bis(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin, PF $_{10}-4 O H-p \operatorname{Br}(\mathbf{5})$. Compound $1(908 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.91 mmol ), 5 -formyl-3-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-bromobenzaldehyde ( $269 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 370 mL of chloroform containing 2.8 mL of EtOH under an argon atmosphere. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(161 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The resulting product was oxidized with DDQ $(987 \mathrm{mg}$, 4.35 mmol ) at room temperature overnight. The mixture was then filtered through a silica pad to remove polymeric byproducts and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of solvents hexanes/ $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from 6:4 to 2:8 to yield the target porphyrin $5(175 \mathrm{mg}$, $14 \%$ yield) as a purple solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta-2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$), 1.62\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 7.94$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArBr}), 8.09$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{ArBr}$ ), 8.24 ( 1 H , d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.84$ ( 4 H, brt, 4 H , pyrrolic H), 8.99-891 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrolic H), $10.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 12.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$. MALDI-TOF-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrF}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} 972.115$, experimental: $972.153(\mathrm{M})^{+}$.

5-(3-(Benzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butyl-phenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin, PF $_{15}-4 O H B I P$ (6). o-Phenylenediamine ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of nitrobenzene was added dropwise to a solution of $2(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of nitrobenzene. The mixture was stirred and kept under an argon atmosphere. After 1 h , the reaction was refluxed
overnight at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed under high vacuum and the residue was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1$ to $1: 1$ as the eluent, to give 101 mg of $\mathbf{6}\left(93 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$)$, 1.71 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), 7.25-7.29 (overlap with solvent signal, m, ArH), $7.32-7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 4.6 Hz , pyrrolic H), 8.89-8.93 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrolic H), 9.09 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $9.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 14.20$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$-161.75-$-161.43\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {meata }}, \mathrm{m}\right),-151.75--151.60\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {parte }}, \mathrm{m}\right),-137.00-$ - 136.44 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho, }} \mathrm{m}$ ). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}^{+} \quad$ 1073.208, experimental: 1073.213 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(2-Hydroxy-3-(benzimidazole-2-yl)-5-tert-butyl-phenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin, PF $_{15}-\mathbf{2 O H B I P}$ (7). The same procedure used in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3}$ was followed. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 3: 1$ to pure $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent, to obtain 210 mg of 7 ( $70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-2.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$), 1.55(9 \mathrm{H}$ $\left(+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ overlap), s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.49$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.06 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ArH), $8.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $8.89(4 \mathrm{H}$, br s, pyrrolic H), $9.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 4.7 Hz , pyrrolic H$), 9.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 13.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ 1073.208, experimental: $1073.236(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-Carbomethoxybenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,-4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, PF ${ }_{15}$-BIPCOOMe (8). The same procedure used in the synthesis of 6 was followed, using methyl 2,3 -diaminobenzoate ( 16.1 mg , 0.097 mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL nitrobenzene. The solution of 2,3-diaminobenzoate was added dropwise to a solution of $2(95.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of nitrobenzene. The residue was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8: 2$ to $1: 1$ ) as eluent, to give 80.8 mg of $\mathbf{8}\left(74 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$)$, $1.69\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.74\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.75$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $8.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), 8.89-8.93 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrolic H ), 9.03 ( 2 H , d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $10.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 13.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, OH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$ 1131.214, experimental: $1131.210(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-Carbomethoxybenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-15-(4-iodophenyl)-10,20-bis(2,3,-4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, PF F $_{10}$-pI-BIPCOOMe (9). Methyl 2,3 -diaminobenzoate ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of nitrobenzene was added dropwise to a solution of $4(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of nitrobenzene. The mixture was stirred and purged with argon for 30 min .

The reaction was refluxed at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h under an argon atmosphere. The solvent was removed under high vacuum. The residue was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from $7: 3$ to $6: 4$ as eluent, to give 193 mg of $\mathbf{4}$ ( $84 \%$ yield) as a purple solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta-2.81$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$), 1.69\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OMe}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArBIP}), 7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArBIP $), 8.02-7.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArI}+\mathrm{ArBIP}), 8.14$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArI}), 8.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArOH})$, $8.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArOH}), 8.84(4 \mathrm{H}$, brt, pyrrolic H), $8.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $9.04(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $10.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 13.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{OH})$. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$ 1167.157, experimental: $1167.114(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-Hydroxymethylbenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hyd-roxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $\mathrm{PF}_{15}-\mathrm{BIPCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (10). Compound 8 ( $73.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0649 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 4 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. A solution of $25 \%$ DIBALH ( $260 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.3892 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 4 h . To quench the reaction, 8 mL of 3 M HCl solution (kept cold) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight. The resulting mixture was extracted 3 times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, controlling the pH of the aqueous phase at around 7 using a sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$ solution. The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent, to give 183 mg of $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$), 1.68\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 4.80$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.21$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $8.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), 8.88-8.92 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrolic H), $9.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), 10.16 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 14.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArOH})$; isomeric species were detected in the spectrum. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$1103.218, experimental: 1103.224 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-Formylbenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, PF $_{15}$-BIPCHO (11). A procedure similar to that described below was previously reported [15]. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $30.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 15 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the solution was stirred under argon. Activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ was added carefully at room temperature, and the oxidation reaction was followed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 3:2 as the eluent to afford 21.9 mg of pure $\mathbf{1 1}$ ( $74 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-3.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$,
pyrrolic NH), $1.64\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 5.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $8.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO})$, $8.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic H$), 8.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), 8.95-8.98 ( 4 H , m, pyrrolic H), $10.76(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 13.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$1101.203, experimental: 1101.203 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-(4-Methoxyphenyliminomethyl)-benzimid-azole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-10,-15,20-tris(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $\boldsymbol{P F}_{15}$-BIP-Ph ${ }^{\text {OMe }}$ imine (12). A procedure similar to that described below was previously reported [15, 27]. A solution of 11 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-anisidine ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves in 2 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred and kept under an argon atmosphere at room temperature. After 15 min , pyrrolidine ( 0.002 mmol ) was added. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was filtered and the molecular sieves washed several times with dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 20 mg of pure 12 ( $83 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.81$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH$), 1.69\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.41(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.38-6.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.80-6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH), 7.35 (overlap with solvent, br s, ArH), $7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, ArH), $8.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 8.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5$ Hz, pyrrolic H), 8.87-8.90 (4H, m, pyrrolic H), $9.09(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $11.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 14.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ 1206.260, experimental: $1206.205(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-((7-N-Cyclohexyliminebenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-10,15,20-tris(2,3,-4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, PF $_{15}$-BIP-Cyimine (13). This reaction uses the same conditions as the previous reaction but employs cyclohexylamine. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 25 mg of the desired pure compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $87 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.75(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, pyrrolic NH ), 0.87-0.95 (3H, m, cy ring), 1.05-1.12 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cy ring), $1.26-1.32$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cy ring), 1.43-1.46 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cy ring), $1.71\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.09-3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}), 7.38$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.88-7.91 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.28 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $8.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 8.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), 8.89-8.92 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrolic H), $9.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 4.6 Hz , pyrrolic H), $11.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, NH), $14.22(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-161.80\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right.$, $\mathrm{td})$, -161.66--161.49 ( $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{m}\right),-151.84-151.66\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right.$, m), -136.92- - 136.47 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho, }} \mathrm{m}$ ). MALDI-TOF-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}^{+}$1182.297, experimental: $1182.205(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-Hydroxymethylbenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-15-(4-iodophenyl)-10,20-bis(2,3,4,-5,6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $\quad \mathrm{PF}_{10}$ - $\mathrm{PI}-\mathrm{BIPCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (14). A solution of compound 9 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in 5 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an
argon atmosphere. A solution of $25 \%$ DIBALH ( $267 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $390 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in toluene was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 4 h . After that, 8 mL of 3 M HCl solution was added (kept cold) to quench the reaction. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred overnight under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was poured into 25 mL of water and 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Then it was extracted 3 times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, controlling the pH of the aqueous phase at around 7 using a sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$ solution. The organic layer was then washed with water and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 7: 3$ as the eluent, to give 14 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). At least two isomeric species were detected in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. MALDI-TOF-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$1139.162, experimental: $1139.145(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(3-(7-Formylbenzimidazole-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-tert-butylphenyl-1-yl)-15-(4-iodophenyl)-10,20-bis(2,3,4,5,-6-pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin, $P F_{10}-p I-B I P C H O$ (15). Compound 14 ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 15 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the solution was stirred under argon. Activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added carefully at room temperature, and the oxidation reaction was followed by TLC. After completion ( 4 h ), the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexanes $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$ to afford 50 mg of pure $\mathbf{1 5}(91 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-2.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrrolic NH), $1.66\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArBIP}), 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArBIP $), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArBIP), $7.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArI $), 8.02$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArI), $8.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, \operatorname{ArI}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.82(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $8.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, pyrrolic H), $8.97(4 \mathrm{H}$, brt, pyrrolic H), $9.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 10.99$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 13.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-162.04-161.69\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{m}\right),-152.22\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}, \mathrm{t}\right.$, $J=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}),-136.79\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho, }}\right.$ dd, $\left.J=24.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ 1137.146, experimental: $1137.107(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
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