

Acta Crystallographica Section C Structural Chemistry ISSN 2053-2296

# The structure of $\{[Co(pht)(bpy)(H_2O)] \cdot 3H_2O\}_n$ (pht is phthalate and bpy is 4,4'-bipyridine) and the role of solvent water clusters in structure stability

### Miguel Angel Harvey, Sebastián Suarez, Fabio Doctorovich, Fabio D. Cukiernik and Ricardo Baggio

Acta Cryst. (2014). C70, 440-444

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site or institutional repository provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases other than as specified above is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

For further information see http://journals.iucr.org/services/authorrights.html



Acta Crystallographica Section C: Structural Chemistry specializes in the rapid dissemination of high-quality detailed studies of novel and challenging crystal and molecular structures of interest in the fields of chemistry, biochemistry, mineralogy, pharmacology, physics and materials science. The unique checking, editing and publishing facilities of the journal ensure the highest standards of structural reliability and presentation, while providing for reports on studies involving special techniques or difficult crystalline materials. Papers go beyond reporting the principal numerical and geometrical data, and may include the discussion of multiple related structures, a detailed description of non-routine structure determinations, placing the structure in an interesting scientific, physical or chemical context, or the discussion of interesting physical properties or modes of association. Reports of difficult or challenging structures, such as cases of twinning, severe disorder, or diffuse solvent regions are welcomed, provided the presented structures are correct and the difficulties and strategies used to treat them are scientifically discussed and properly documented. *Section C* readers have access to an extensive back archive of high-quality structural data.

## Crystallography Journals Online is available from journals.iucr.org

#### research papers

Acta Crystallographica Section C Structural Chemistry

ISSN 2053-2296

# The structure of $\{[Co(pht)(bpy)-(H_2O)]\cdot 3H_2O\}_n$ (pht is phthalate and bpy is 4,4'-bipyridine) and the role of solvent water clusters in structure stability

#### Miguel Angel Harvey,<sup>a,b</sup>\* Sebastián Suarez,<sup>c</sup> Fabio Doctorovich,<sup>c</sup> Fabio D. Cukiernik<sup>c</sup> and Ricardo Baggio<sup>d</sup>

Correspondence e-mail: unharvey@cenpat.edu.ar

Received 19 February 2014 Accepted 28 March 2014

The Co<sup>II</sup> cation in poly[[aqua( $\mu$ -benzene-1,2-dicarboxylato- $\kappa^3 O^1, O^2: O^1$ )( $\mu$ -4,4'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N: N'$ )cobalt(II)] trihydrate], {[Co(C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)(C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>n</sub>, is octahedrally coordinated by two N atoms of two 4,4'-bipyridine ligands, three O atoms from phthalate anions and a fourth O atom from a coordinated water molecule. The packing consists of planes of coordination polymers linked by hydrogen bonds mediated by three solvent water molecules; the linkage is achieved by the water molecules forming intricate oligomeric clusters which also involve the O atoms of the phthalate ligands.

Keywords: crystal structure; hydrated cobalt complex; twodimensional covalent arrays; two-dimensional coordination polymer; stabilizing water clusters; TBG porous coordination polymer.

#### 1. Introduction

The design and synthesis of structures with one-, two- or threedimensional architectures is nowadays a feasible and well established field (Desiraju, 1989; Simon & Bassoul, 2000). The general strategy relies on the use of multidentate O- or N-donor ligands with the ability to bridge metal centres into a varied range of polymeric structures, from very simple to extremely intricate ones. In this respect, the 4,4'-bipyridine (bpy) ligand is perhaps the most commonly used linear spacer, able to form (on its own) polymers of different dimensionality, *viz.* one- (Liu *et al.*, 2009), two- (Habermehl & Loeb, 2007) or, in conjunction with polycarboxylic acids, three-dimensional (Li *et al.*, 2005). From the many systems with bpy ligands studied so far, that involving transition metal centres (Tr) and benzene-1,2-dicarboxylate (pht) attracted our interest due to the many different species obtainable through slight variations in the synthetic conditions, differences which involve not only the cation-to-ligands ratio, but also the number of aqua ligands and solvent water molecules. For simplicity, in what follows we shall represent a general formula of the form  $Tr(pht)_{n1}$ -(bpy)<sub>n2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n3</sub>·(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n4</sub> by the shorthand notation n1:n2:-n3:(n4).

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.34; Allen, 2002) revealed five different formulations within this general family (see Table 1). Analysis of the original reports allows us to infer some general trends regarding the sensitivity of the formulation towards synthetic conditions (temperature), namely that compounds in the most populated group A [1:1:2:(2)] derive from lower-temperature conditions (thermodynamic control), while the rest appear at higher temperatures (kinetic control).

Trying to fine-tune this temperature dependence in the case of Tr = Co, we obtained (see *Experimental*) the so far unreported 1:1:1:(3) polymeric complex poly[[aqua( $\mu$ -benzene-1,2-dicarboxylato- $\kappa^3 O^1, O^2: O^1$ )( $\mu$ -4,4'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N:N'$ )cobalt(II)] trihydrate], {[Co(pht)(bpy)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>n</sub> (pht is phthalate and bpy is 4,4'-bipyridine), (I). The complex is the Co isologue of its previously reported Ni counterpart (Yang *et al.*, 2003).



#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was synthesized hydrothermally from cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (0.119 g, 0.5 mmol), 4,4'-bipyridine (0.156 g, 1.0 mmol) and potassium hydrogen phthalate (0.102 g, 0.5 mmol) mixed with water (10 ml). The mixture was heated in a 23 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel to 473 K for 4 d. The vessel was then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5 K h<sup>-1</sup>. Beautiful red prismatic crystals of (I) were separated from the solution. Analysis for  $C_{18}H_{20}CoN_2O_8$ found (calculated): C 48.3 (47.91), H 4.3 (4.47), N 6.4% (6.21%).

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments on selected single crystals were conducted on a Shimadzu DSC-50 apparatus at a heating rate of 5 K min<sup>-1</sup> under an N<sub>2</sub> atmos-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia SJB, Sede Trelew, 9100 Trelew, Chubut, Argentina, <sup>b</sup>CenPat, CONICET, 9120 Puerto Madryn, Chubut, Argentina, <sup>c</sup>Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Analítica y Química Física/INQUIMAE– CONICET, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and <sup>d</sup>Gerencia de Investigación y Aplicaciones, Centro Atómico Constituyentes, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Summary of transition metal complexe	s of the general formula	$Tr(pht)_{n1}(bpy)_{n2}(H_2O)_{n3}(H_2O)_{n$	$_{n4}$ , represented by the shorthand	notation <i>n</i> 1: <i>n</i> 2: <i>n</i> 3:( <i>n</i> 4)
· · ·	0			

CSD refcode	Tr	Space group	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	α (°)	$\beta$ (°)	γ (°)	$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	$ ho~({ m g~cm}^{-1})$
Group A, formul	a 1:1:2:(2)									
BASMIS01 <sup>a</sup>	Mn	P2/n	7.69	11.59	10.75	90	92.67	90	957.16	1.552
JEKTUP <sup>b</sup>	Zn	P2/n	7.63	11.32	10.81	90	92.44	90	933.35	1.629
$NEDXEZ^{c}$	Cd	P2/n	7.79	11.65	10.70	90	92.59	90	969.73	1.729
NEDXOJ01 <sup>d</sup>	Co	P2/n	7.61	11.36	10.81	90	92.28	90	933.65	1.605
<b>QEHCUC</b> <sup>e</sup>	Fe	P2/n	7.65	11.39	10.79	90	92.67	90	939.15	1.585
TEJKUP† <sup>f</sup>	Cu	P2/c	7.70	11.10	12.97	90	123.7	90	922.25	1.642
WIPFOR <sup>†g</sup>	Ni	P2/c	7.62	11.37	12.95	90	123.6	90	934.16	1.604
Group B, formul	a 2:1:0:(0)									
FEQSOK <sup>h</sup>	Cd	I4 <sub>1</sub> 22	8.29	8.29	33.75	90	90	90	2321.90	1.713
LESBEQ <sup>i</sup>	Co	I4122	8.16	8.16	32.93	90	90	90	2190.30	1.654
Group C, formul	a 2:2:0:(0)									
IZASIL <sup>j</sup>	Zn	$P\overline{1}$	7.51	10	22.08	84.31	88.08	71.02	1560.50	1.507
Group D, formul	a 1:1:2:(0.5)									
WEDNEA <sup>k</sup>	Ni	P41212	11.30	11.27	29.90	90	90	90	3796.60	1.484
Group E, formula	a 1:1:1:(3)									
KAGQEP	Ni	P2 <sub>1</sub>	11.10	8.38	11.27	90	115.4	90	949.42	1.578
(I)	Со	-	11.10	8.40	11.37	90	115.6	90	956.85	1.566

† In spite of being refined in the space group P2/c, these structures are isomorphous with those described in P2/n. References: (a) Koeferstein & Robl (2007a); (b) Li et al. (2006); (c) Suresh et al. (2001); (d) Koeferstein & Robl (2007b); (e) Fan et al. (2006); (f) Xu et al. (2006); (g) Zhang et al. (2008); (h) Wang et al. (2005); (i) Lightfoot & Snedden (1999); (j) Tang et al. (2004); (k) Gong et al. (2012).

#### Table 2

Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Co(C_8H_4O_4)(C_{10}H_8N_2)(H_2O)]$ - 3H <sub>2</sub> O
$M_r$	451.30
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub>
Temperature (K)	294
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	11.0975 (6), 8.4039 (3),
β(°)	115 564 (7)
$V(A^3)$	956.85 (10)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.95
Crystal size (mm)	$0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.25$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Oxford Gemini S Ultra CCD area-
	detector diffractometer
Absorption correction	Diffraction, 2009)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.72, 0.74
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	14398, 4451, 4096
R <sub>int</sub>	0.037
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.679
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.033, 0.084, 0.95
No. of reflections	4451
No. of parameters	286
No. of restraints	17
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.56, -0.31
Absolute structure	Flack (1983), with 2206 Friedel pairs
Absolute structure parameter	-0.016 (12)

Computer programs: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009), *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

phere, using aluminium pans. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed under similar conditions using a Shimadzu TGA-51H thermobalance. Elemental analyses were carried out at the Servicio a Terceros of INQUIMAE on a Carlo Erba CHNS-O EA1108 analyser.

Diffuse reflectance spectra were acquired on a pressed sample (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> diluted) on an Ocean Optics instrument (OOIBase32) with a 50 mm integrating sphere. Typical corrections were applied; the corrected reflectance value for a given sample was thus calculated as R = (Sa - D)/(Ref - D), where Sa, Ref and D stand for the measured values for that sample, for the reference and in the dark, respectively. The K/S coefficient, where  $K = (1 - R)^2$  and S = 2R, has been plotted against  $\lambda$ . For compound (I):  $\lambda_{max} = 490$  nm; for the dehydration product:  $\lambda_{max} = 550$  nm

#### 2.2. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. In the specimen analysed, water atoms O3W and O4W appeared slightly underpopulated, but refinement of their site-occupancy factors showed an oscillating behaviour due to severe correlation with the corresponding displacement parameters. In order to circumvent this problem, after a few cycles of refinement they were kept fixed at the average value (0.8 and 0.7, respectively) of those they had attained in the preceding cycles. H atoms attached to atoms O1W and O2W were clearly seen in the difference map; those for atoms O3W and O4W could not be reliably assigned, and the most probable peaks were included in the model and refined with stringent internal restraints  $[O-H = 0.850 (1) \text{ Å} and H \cdots H = 1.35 (1) \text{ Å}]$  subject to

#### Table 3

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Co1-O21 2.0710	(18) $Co1-O1W$	2.1141 (17)
Co1-O41 <sup>i</sup> 2.0819	(16) Co1-N12	2.1486 (17
Co1-O31 2.0947	(18) $Co1 - N22^{ii}$	2.1678 (17)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z - 1.

#### Table 4

```
\pi-\pi contacts (Å, °).
```

Cg1 is the centroid of the C11/C21/C31/C41/C51/C61 ring and Cg2 that of the N12/C12/C22/C32/C42/C52 ring. IPD is the mean interplanar distance (average distance from one of the planes to the neighbouring centroid), CCD the centre-to-centre distance and DA the dihedral angle between planes; for details, see Janiak (2000).

$Cg \cdots Cg'$	CCD (Å)	IPD (Å)	DA (°)
$Cg1 \cdots Cg2$	3.5851 (17)	3.48 (5)	16.39 (14)

nearest-neighbour anti-bumping constraints  $[H \cdots H' > 2.25 (1) \text{ Å}]$ . After convergence, all water H atoms appeared in positions compatible with the difference map and were involved in hydrogen bonding. In all cases,  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{parent})$ 

#### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows an ellipsoid plot of the asymmetric unit of (I) and Table 3 provides the coordination distances. The cobalt(II) cation has a  $CoN_2O_4$  octahedral coordination, the N atoms



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. Single dashed lines indicate  $\pi$ - $\pi$  contacts and double-dashed lines indicate the coordination to vicinal Co<sup>II</sup> cations. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z - 1.]

being provided by two 4,4'-bipyridine (bpy) ligands, three O atoms by phthalate anions (pht) and the fourth O atom coming from a coordinated water molecule. In spite of the coordination distances being rather even in each group [Co-O = 2.0710 (18)–2.1141 (17) Å and Co-N = 2.1486 (17)–2.1678 (17) Å], the octahedron appears somewhat distorted due to the coordination angles departing significantly from their ideal values, mainly due to chelation of pht [*cis/trans* angles =  $90\pm7.52$  (7) and  $180\pm10.29$  (7)°]. The stability of the



#### Figure 2

A packing view of one of the (100) planes. On both sides (right and bottom) are given detailed views of the columnar arrays defining the (vertical and horizontal) linking units. Dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry code: (iii) x, y + 1, z.]



Packing views of (I), along [010], showing (a) a projection in the (100) plane and (b) the [010] hydrogen-bonded water ribbons. Dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry codes: (iii) x, y + 1, z; (iv) -x,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z.]

coordinated assembly is enhanced by an intramolecular  $\pi - \pi$  interaction between the pht group and one of the substituted aromatic rings in bpy (Fig. 1 and Table 4). The overall structure can be described as formed by columnar Co-pht arrays (Fig. 2, right inset), with the tricoordinated anions binding one Co<sup>II</sup> cation in chelating mode *via* atoms O21 and O31 from two different carboxylate groups, while bridging a second cation through atom O41. The result is a zigzag chain built up around a 2<sub>1</sub> axis, running along [010], as shown in the inset. The chain cohesion is enhanced by an intra-chain hydrogen bond (first entry in Table 5). These one-dimensional structures are in turn linked along [001] by the bpy ligand, acting in its usual bridging mode (Fig. 2, lower inset), to form a firmly bound two-dimensional structure parallel to (100).

Finally, these sheets are stacked along [100] to form the final crystal structure (Fig. 3*a*). This stacking of planes is held together by the solvent water molecules (O2*W*, O3*W* and O4*W*, the latter two being slightly underpopulated in the specific sample measured; see *Refinement* for details), hydrogen bonded to each other (third, sixth and eighth entries in Table 5) to form very labile zigzag ribbons (Fig. 3*b*) parallel

Table 5Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1W = H1WA \cdots O41^{iii}$	0.85(2)	2.02.(1)	2,810 (2)	154 (1)
$O1W - H1WB \cdots O2W$	0.85(1)	1.91(1)	2.743 (3)	167 (1)
$O2W - H2WA \cdots O3W$	0.85 (1)	2.01(1)	2.751 (5)	145 (1)
$O2W - H2WB \cdots O11^{iii}$	0.85 (1)	2.05 (1)	2.867 (4)	161 (2)
$O3W - H3WA \cdots O11^{iv}$	0.85 (1)	2.02 (1)	2.843 (4)	162 (2)
$O3W - H3WB \cdots O4W^{iv}$	0.85(2)	1.80 (1)	2.576 (6)	152 (2)
$O4W - H4WB \cdots O11^{iv}$	0.85(2)	2.28 (2)	3.038 (4)	150 (2)
$O4W - H4WA \cdots O2W$	0.85(1)	1.98 (1)	2.799 (5)	162 (2)
$O4W - H4WB \cdots O3W$	0.85(2)	2.51 (3)	3.096 (7)	127.5 (14)

Symmetry codes: (iii) x, y + 1, z; (iv)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ .

to the Co-pht chains and filling the interplanar spacing. This hydrophilic structure is parallel to the shortest crystallographic direction, as predicted by the empirical rule of Infantes & Motherwell (2002), and provides the interplanar linkage. This connection is achieved through outgoing hydrogen bonds (having the only uncoordinated carboxylate O atom, O11, as the acceptor; fourth, fifth and seventh entries in Table 5) and incoming ones (second entry in Table 5), thus generating the resulting three-dimensional framework. Compound (I) can thus be considered as a TBG (templated by guests) porous coordination polymer, following Kitagawa's classification (Tanaka & Kitagawa, 2008).

Water cyclic oligomers are often found in metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and are nowadays actively investigated as models for understanding the properties of bulk water (Mascal et al., 2006). Dimers, tetramers, pentamers, hexamers, octamers and decamers have been identified (Bernini et al., 2012; Colak et al., 2010; Ghosh & Bharadwaj, 2003; Ma et al., 2005; Song et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2003, 2006; Zhang et al., 2005) in different compounds. In most cases, these oligomers contain only water molecules and are attached to the MOF structure via hydrogen bonds. In (I), two kinds of tetramers are found, viz. O2W-O3W-O11<sup>iv</sup>-O4W (type A, an AD-AD-AA-DD cluster, where A indicates a hydrogen-bond acceptor and D a hydrogen-bond donor) and  $O2W-O3W-O4W^{iv}-O11^{iii}$  (type **B**, a DD-AD-AD-AAcluster) which also involves an O atom belonging to the MOF framework; this type is quite rare (Colak et al., 2010; Yang et *al.*, 2003) [symmetry code: (iii) x, y + 1, z; (iv)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ]. Individual O···O distances lie in the usual range of 2.70-3.05 Å, with the only exception being  $O3W \cdots O4W^{iv}$ , which exhibits a significantly shorter value of 2.576 (6) Å. Average  $O \cdots O$  distances are 2.86 (13) and 2.80 (19) Å for type A and type **B** tetramers, respectively, in agreement with the previous

electronic reprint



**Figure 4** (*a*) TGA and (*b*) DSC diagrams for (I).

comment. Three different factors seem to influence the  $O \cdots O$  distances in water clusters in confined environments: the nuclearity of each cluster, the degree of condensation of adjacent clusters, and the influence of the framework. The lack of a clear means of establishing their relative influences precludes further interpretations.

The crystallographic characteristics of the water 'substructure' suggested that gentle heating of (I) could result in the loss of all three solvent water molecules in a low temperature range. TGA and DSC analyses (Fig. 4) gave quantitative results consistent with an overall loss of three H<sub>2</sub>O molecules per formula unit in the temperature range 380–400 K. In particular, DSC runs on individual single crystals of (I) showed an endothermic peak from 370 to 400 K with an enthalpy change of 116 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with the vaporization heat of 3 moles of water at 370 K (Dortmund Data Bank; http://www.ddbst.com). On the other hand, TGA studies on higher masses showed a 12.5% mass loss at 375– 405 K (calculated for three H<sub>2</sub>O molecules: 12.0%). A *ca* 5.5% mass loss, consistent with the leaving of the fourth water molecule (calculated 4.0%), was detected at *ca* 450 K. Consistent with the important stabilizing role fulfilled by the solvent water molecules, the resulting dehydration product was a powder of poor crystallinity.

The authors acknowledge ANPCyT (project No. PME 2006-01113) for the purchase of the Oxford Gemini CCD diffractometer and the Spanish Research Council (CSIC) for provision of a free-of-charge licence to the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, 2002). FAD and FDC are members of the research staff of CONICET.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: YF3058).

#### References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Bernini, M. C., Brusau, E. V., Narda, G. E., Echeverria, G., Fantoni, A., Punte, G. & Ayala, A. P. (2012). *Polyhedron*, **31**, 729–737.
- Colak, A. T., Yesilel, O. Z. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2010). Polyhedron, 29, 2127–2133.
- Desiraju, G. R. (1989). In Crystal Engineering: The Design of Organic Solids. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Fan, L., Qin, C., Li, Y., Wang, E., Wang, X., Xiao, D., An, H. & Xu, L. (2006). J. Coord. Chem. 59, 883–890.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Ghosh, S. K. & Bharadwaj, P. K. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 8250-8254.
- Gong, Y., Li, J., Qin, J. & Lin, J. (2012). CrystEngComm, 14, 5862-5869.
- Habermehl, N. C. & Loeb, S. J. (2007). Dalton Trans. pp. 2870-2875.
- Infantes, L. & Motherwell, S. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 454-461.
- Janiak, C. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3885-3898.
- Koeferstein, R. & Robl, C. (2007a). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633, 1323-1325.
- Koeferstein, R. & Robl, C. (2007b). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633, 1127-1130.
- Li, X., Cao, R., Bi, W., Yuan, D. & Sun, D. (2005). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 3156–3166.
- Li, X.-M., Wang, Q.-W., Cui, Y.-C., Li, C.-B., Wang, R.-Z. & Liu, B. (2006). Jiegou Huaxue (Chin. J. Struct. Chem.), 25, 621–625.
- Lightfoot, P. & Snedden, A. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3549-3551.
- Liu, L., Li, Z., Wang, B., Li, G., Wang, L., Meng, X. & He, Z. (2009). Cryst. Growth Des. 9, 5244–5258.
- Ma, B.-Q., Sun, H.-L. & Gao, S. (2005). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 3902-3906.
- Mascal, M., Infantes, L. & Chisholm, J. (2006). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45(1), 32–36.
- Oxford Diffraction (2009). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Simon, J. & Bassoul, P. (2000). In *Design of Molecular Materials:* Supramolecular Engineering. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.
- Song, J.-F., Lu, J., Chen, J., Liu, Y.-B., Zhou, R.-S., Xu, X.-Y. & Xu, J.-Q. (2006). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 9, 1079–1082.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Sun, Y.-G., Gao, E.-J. & Wei, D.-Z. (2007). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 10, 467–470.
- Suresh, E., Boopalan, K., Jasra, R. V. & Bhadbhade, M. M. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 4078–4080.
- Tanaka, D. & Kitagawa, S. (2008). Chem. Mater. 20, 922-931.
- Tang, E., Dai, Y.-M. & Lin, S. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, m433-m434.
- Wang, X., Qin, C., Wang, E. & Xu, L. (2005). J. Mol. Struct. 737, 49–54.
- Xu, H., Sun, R., Li, Y.-Z. & Bai, J.-F. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m1156-m1158.
- Yang, S.-Y., Long, L.-S., Huang, R.-B., Zheng, L.-S. & Ng, S. W. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m507–m509.
- Yang, J., Ma, J.-F., Liu, Y.-Y., Ma, J.-C., Jia, H.-Q. & Hu, N.-H. (2006). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 1208–1215.
- Zhang, X.-M., Fang, R.-Q. & Wu, H.-S. (2005). Cryst. Growth Des. 5, 1335– 1337.
- Zhang, X., Yi, Z. H., Xia, W., Yang, C. & Li, B. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m345– m346.

# supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2014). C70, 440-444 [doi:10.1107/S2053229614006950]

# The structure of $\{[Co(pht)(bpy)(H_2O)]\cdot 3H_2O\}_n$ (pht is phthalate and bpy is 4,4'bipyridine) and the role of solvent water clusters in structure stability

# Miguel Angel Harvey, Sebastián Suarez, Fabio Doctorovich, Fabio D. Cukiernik and Ricardo Baggio

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

#### $Poly[[aqua(\mu-benzene-1,2-dicarboxylato-\kappa^3O^1,O^2:O^1)(\mu-4,4'-bipyridine-\kappa^2N:N')cobalt(II)] trihydrate]$

Crystal data	
$[Co(C_8H_4O_4)(C_{10}H_8N_2)(H_2O)] \cdot 3H_2O$ $M_r = 451.30$ Monoclinic, $P2_1$ Hall symbol: P 2yb a = 11.0975 (6) Å b = 8.4039 (3) Å c = 11.3732 (6) Å $\beta = 115.564$ (7)° V = 956.85 (10) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 2	F(000) = 466 $D_x = 1.566 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3445 reflections $\theta = 3.8-27.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.95 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294  K Prism, red $0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Oxford Gemini S Ultra CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator $\omega$ scans, thick slices Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Oxford Diffraction, 2009) $T_{min} = 0.72, T_{max} = 0.74$	14398 measured reflections 4451 independent reflections 4096 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.037$ $\theta_{max} = 28.9^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.6^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 15$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$ $wR(F^2) = 0.084$ S = 0.95 4451 reflections 286 parameters 17 restraints	<ul> <li>Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods</li> <li>Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map</li> <li>Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites</li> <li>H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement</li> </ul>

# electronic reprint

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0448P)^2 + 0.4467P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.006$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.56 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$   $\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), with 2206 Friedel pairs Absolute structure parameter: -0.016 (12)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Col	0.35427 (3)	0.50053 (6)	0.41073 (2)	0.02221 (7)	
011	0.0305 (2)	0.2427 (3)	0.2280 (2)	0.0559 (6)	
O21	0.15083 (17)	0.4560 (2)	0.32261 (18)	0.0326 (4)	
O31	0.39059 (19)	0.2551 (2)	0.42902 (16)	0.0292 (4)	
O41	0.44053 (15)	0.0217 (2)	0.52815 (15)	0.0281 (4)	
C11	0.1390 (2)	0.2443 (3)	0.4571 (2)	0.0288 (5)	
C21	0.0448 (3)	0.2621 (3)	0.5065 (3)	0.0397 (6)	
H21	-0.0332	0.3187	0.4587	0.048*	
C31	0.0648 (3)	0.1978 (4)	0.6243 (3)	0.0484 (8)	
H31	0.0012	0.2125	0.6561	0.058*	
C41	0.1780 (3)	0.1122 (4)	0.6954 (3)	0.0481 (8)	
H41	0.1920	0.0696	0.7757	0.058*	
C51	0.2719 (3)	0.0893 (4)	0.6464 (3)	0.0399 (6)	
H51	0.3469	0.0271	0.6927	0.048*	
C61	0.2552 (2)	0.1586 (3)	0.5287 (2)	0.0277 (5)	
C71	0.1063 (2)	0.3192 (3)	0.3255 (3)	0.0319 (5)	
C81	0.3688 (2)	0.1452 (3)	0.4906 (2)	0.0232 (5)	
N12	0.37286 (17)	0.5158 (3)	0.60643 (16)	0.0253 (4)	
N22	0.34412 (18)	0.4913 (4)	1.21618 (16)	0.0295 (4)	
C12	0.2668 (3)	0.5679 (4)	0.6219 (2)	0.0340 (6)	
H12	0.1949	0.6083	0.5493	0.041*	
C22	0.2578 (3)	0.5651 (3)	0.7385 (2)	0.0347 (6)	
H22	0.1814	0.6027	0.7437	0.042*	
C32	0.3635 (2)	0.5059 (4)	0.84854 (19)	0.0268 (4)	
C42	0.4757 (3)	0.4573 (3)	0.8339 (2)	0.0346 (6)	
H42	0.5505	0.4203	0.9054	0.041*	
C52	0.4761 (2)	0.4637 (3)	0.7132 (2)	0.0318 (6)	
H52	0.5523	0.4300	0.7057	0.038*	
C62	0.2767 (3)	0.5978 (4)	1.1257 (2)	0.0403 (7)	
H62	0.2239	0.6707	1.1438	0.048*	
C72	0.2803 (3)	0.6066 (4)	1.0062 (3)	0.0414 (7)	
H72	0.2326	0.6852	0.9468	0.050*	
C82	0.3556 (2)	0.4975 (4)	0.97543 (19)	0.0280 (4)	
C92	0.4228 (3)	0.3828 (3)	1.0675 (2)	0.0369 (6)	
H92	0.4732	0.3057	1.0503	0.044*	
C102	0.4148 (3)	0.3834 (3)	1.1853 (2)	0.0367 (6)	

H4WA	-0.0434 (19)	0.8035 (15)	0.0761 (8)	0.149*	0.70
H4WB	-0.0722 (18)	0.788 (4)	-0.0518 (11)	0.149*	0.70
O4W	-0.0925 (4)	0.7527 (6)	0.0073 (3)	0.124 (2)	0.70
H3WB	0.093 (2)	1.0440 (12)	-0.041 (3)	0.159*	0.80
H3WA	0.0890 (15)	0.8997 (18)	-0.0931 (9)	0.159*	0.80
O3W	0.1274 (5)	0.9518 (4)	-0.0226 (3)	0.132 (2)	0.80
H2WB	0.0868 (16)	1.0100 (13)	0.232 (2)	0.110*	
H2WA	0.1460 (8)	0.947 (2)	0.1613 (9)	0.110*	
O2W	0.1079 (2)	0.9216 (3)	0.2094 (3)	0.0914 (10)	
H1WB	0.2452 (8)	0.8072 (13)	0.3472 (15)	0.043*	
H1WA	0.3694 (9)	0.8086 (14)	0.4445 (19)	0.043*	
O1W	0.30884 (19)	0.74617 (19)	0.39430 (17)	0.0359 (4)	
H102	0.4607	0.3053	1.2462	0.044*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Col	0.02774 (13)	0.02391 (12)	0.01810 (12)	0.00001 (15)	0.01284 (10)	0.00015 (14)
011	0.0597 (14)	0.0495 (12)	0.0385 (11)	-0.0157 (11)	0.0023 (11)	-0.0059 (10)
O21	0.0305 (8)	0.0311 (10)	0.0351 (9)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0130 (8)	0.0044 (6)
O31	0.0391 (9)	0.0255 (8)	0.0319 (9)	0.0032 (8)	0.0236 (8)	0.0034 (7)
O41	0.0297 (7)	0.0250 (9)	0.0317 (8)	0.0021 (7)	0.0153 (6)	0.0043 (7)
C11	0.0273 (11)	0.0261 (11)	0.0356 (12)	-0.0056 (9)	0.0159 (10)	-0.0039 (10)
C21	0.0295 (12)	0.0413 (14)	0.0528 (16)	-0.0012 (12)	0.0221 (13)	-0.0015 (13)
C31	0.0427 (15)	0.0610 (19)	0.0571 (18)	-0.0113 (14)	0.0364 (15)	-0.0095 (15)
C41	0.0511 (17)	0.0612 (19)	0.0448 (16)	-0.0056 (15)	0.0329 (14)	0.0074 (14)
C51	0.0411 (15)	0.0473 (15)	0.0379 (14)	0.0019 (13)	0.0233 (13)	0.0106 (12)
C61	0.0290 (11)	0.0268 (11)	0.0322 (12)	-0.0012 (9)	0.0178 (10)	-0.0006 (9)
C71	0.0257 (11)	0.0353 (13)	0.0351 (13)	0.0016 (10)	0.0135 (11)	-0.0008 (10)
C81	0.0243 (11)	0.0265 (11)	0.0198 (10)	-0.0033 (9)	0.0104 (9)	-0.0034 (8)
N12	0.0290 (8)	0.0289 (10)	0.0210 (8)	0.0021 (10)	0.0136 (7)	-0.0019 (9)
N22	0.0337 (9)	0.0376 (10)	0.0211 (8)	0.0003 (12)	0.0156 (7)	0.0027 (11)
C12	0.0347 (13)	0.0477 (14)	0.0209 (11)	0.0086 (11)	0.0132 (10)	0.0017 (10)
C22	0.0337 (13)	0.0502 (14)	0.0256 (12)	0.0070 (11)	0.0178 (11)	-0.0002 (10)
C32	0.0355 (10)	0.0289 (10)	0.0208 (9)	0.0012 (14)	0.0166 (8)	-0.0024 (14)
C42	0.0340 (12)	0.0468 (17)	0.0225 (11)	0.0113 (10)	0.0118 (10)	0.0051 (9)
C52	0.0322 (11)	0.0406 (16)	0.0275 (11)	0.0086 (10)	0.0176 (10)	0.0014 (9)
C62	0.0553 (17)	0.0465 (15)	0.0269 (13)	0.0215 (13)	0.0250 (13)	0.0056 (11)
C72	0.0567 (17)	0.0472 (15)	0.0243 (12)	0.0206 (13)	0.0212 (12)	0.0089 (11)
C82	0.0331 (10)	0.0340 (10)	0.0195 (9)	0.0039 (15)	0.0136 (8)	0.0007 (14)
C92	0.0516 (16)	0.0389 (13)	0.0276 (12)	0.0141 (12)	0.0241 (12)	0.0033 (10)
C102	0.0526 (16)	0.0367 (13)	0.0251 (12)	0.0102 (13)	0.0209 (12)	0.0054 (10)
O1W	0.0405 (10)	0.0263 (9)	0.0335 (10)	-0.0014 (9)	0.0089 (8)	-0.0038 (7)
O2W	0.100 (2)	0.0791 (19)	0.0711 (19)	0.0338 (18)	0.0147 (17)	-0.0068 (15)
O3W	0.177 (5)	0.141 (6)	0.071 (3)	0.019 (4)	0.047 (3)	-0.025 (3)
O4W	0.138 (5)	0.124 (5)	0.084 (3)	0.012 (4)	0.024 (3)	-0.012 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Co1-021	2.0710 (18)	N22—Co1 <sup>iv</sup>	2.1678 (17)
Co1-O41 <sup>i</sup>	2.0819 (16)	C12—C22	1.373 (3)
Co1-031	2.0947 (18)	C12—H12	0.9300
Col—O1W	2.1141 (17)	C22—C32	1.387 (3)
Co1—N12	2.1486 (17)	C22—H22	0.9300
Co1—N22 <sup>ii</sup>	2.1678 (17)	C32—C42	1.387 (3)
O11—C71	1.244 (3)	C32—C82	1.485 (3)
O21—C71	1.257 (3)	C42—C52	1.375 (3)
O31—C81	1.244 (3)	C42—H42	0.9300
O41—C81	1.265 (3)	С52—Н52	0.9300
O41—Co1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.0819 (16)	C62—C72	1.380 (4)
C11—C21	1.392 (4)	С62—Н62	0.9300
C11—C61	1.392 (3)	C72—C82	1.383 (4)
C11—C71	1.516 (4)	C72—H72	0.9300
C21—C31	1.371 (4)	C82—C92	1.381 (4)
C21—H21	0.9300	C92—C102	1.380 (3)
C31—C41	1.368 (5)	С92—Н92	0.9300
C31—H31	0.9300	C102—H102	0.9300
C41—C51	1.391 (4)	O1W—H1WA	0.8501 (10)
C41—H41	0.9300	O1W—H1WB	0.8501 (10)
C51—C61	1.396 (3)	O2W—H2WA	0.8501 (10)
C51—H51	0.9300	O2W—H2WB	0.8500 (10)
C61—C81	1.504 (3)	O3W—H3WA	0.8500 (10)
N12—C52	1.333 (3)	O3W—H3WB	0.8503 (10)
N12-C12	1.336 (3)	O4W—H4WB	0.8500 (10)
N22—C62	1.325 (4)	O4W—H4WA	0.8501 (10)
N22—C102	1.341 (4)		
O21—Co1—O41 <sup>i</sup>	169.71 (7)	C52—N12—C12	116.54 (19)
O21—Co1—O31	89.59 (7)	C52—N12—Co1	125.78 (15)
041 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O31	85.12 (7)	C12—N12—Co1	117.34 (15)
O21—Co1—O1W	88.01 (7)	C62—N22—C102	116.84 (19)
O41 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1W	97.52 (7)	C62—N22—Co1 <sup>iv</sup>	122.12 (19)
O31—Co1—O1W	177.00 (8)	C102—N22—Co1 <sup>iv</sup>	120.85 (17)
O21—Co1—N12	96.29 (7)	N12-C12-C22	123.9 (2)
O41 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N12	92.68 (6)	N12—C12—H12	118.1
O31—Co1—N12	91.59 (8)	C22—C12—H12	118.1
O1W—Co1—N12	86.89 (8)	C12—C22—C32	119.4 (2)
O21—Co1—N22 <sup>ii</sup>	86.21 (7)	C12—C22—H22	120.3
O41 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N22 <sup>ii</sup>	84.92 (7)	C32—C22—H22	120.3
O31—Co1—N22 <sup>ii</sup>	89.38 (9)	C22—C32—C42	116.8 (2)
O1W—Co1—N22 <sup>ii</sup>	92.25 (9)	C22—C32—C82	120.8 (2)
N12-Co1-N22 <sup>ii</sup>	177.33 (9)	C42—C32—C82	122.4 (2)
C71—O21—Co1	120.77 (16)	C52—C42—C32	119.9 (2)
C81—O31—Co1	135.33 (16)	C52—C42—H42	120.1
C81—O41—Co1 <sup>iii</sup>	128.39 (15)	C32—C42—H42	120.1
C21—C11—C61	119.1 (2)	N12—C52—C42	123.4 (2)
C21—C11—C71	116.8 (2)	N12—C52—H52	118.3

Acta Cryst. (2014). C70, 440-444

C61—C11—C71	124.0 (2)	С42—С52—Н52	118.3
C31—C21—C11	121.2 (3)	N22—C62—C72	123.7 (2)
C31—C21—H21	119.4	N22—C62—H62	118.2
C11—C21—H21	119.4	С72—С62—Н62	118.2
C41—C31—C21	120.3 (3)	C62—C72—C82	119.4 (2)
C41—C31—H31	119.8	С62—С72—Н72	120.3
C21—C31—H31	119.8	С82—С72—Н72	120.3
C31—C41—C51	119.5 (3)	C92—C82—C72	117.3 (2)
C31—C41—H41	120.3	C92—C82—C32	122.1 (2)
C51—C41—H41	120.3	C72—C82—C32	120.6 (2)
C41—C51—C61	120.9 (3)	C102—C92—C82	119.6 (2)
C41—C51—H51	119.6	С102—С92—Н92	120.2
C61—C51—H51	119.6	С82—С92—Н92	120.2
C11—C61—C51	118.9 (2)	N22—C102—C92	123.1 (2)
C11—C61—C81	123.4 (2)	N22-C102-H102	118.4
C51—C61—C81	117.6 (2)	C92—C102—H102	118.4
O11—C71—O21	124.8 (3)	Co1—O1W—H1WA	117.0 (9)
O11—C71—C11	116.6 (2)	Co1—O1W—H1WB	138.3 (10)
O21—C71—C11	118.4 (2)	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	104.6 (9)
O31—C81—O41	123.5 (2)	H2WA—O2W—H2WB	104.6 (11)
O31—C81—C61	119.8 (2)	H3WA—O3W—H3WB	104.3 (11)
O41—C81—C61	116.6 (2)	H4WB—O4W—H4WA	105.5 (11)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1; (ii) *x*, *y*, *z*-1; (iii) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1; (iv) *x*, *y*, *z*+1.

#### *Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D····A	D—H···A
01 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>WA</i> ···O41 <sup>v</sup>	0.85 (2)	2.02(1)	2.810 (2)	154 (1)
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>WB</i> ···O2 <i>W</i>	0.85 (1)	1.91 (1)	2.743 (3)	167 (1)
O2 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>WA</i> ···O3 <i>W</i>	0.85 (1)	2.01 (1)	2.751 (5)	145 (1)
O2 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>WB</i> ⋯O11 <sup>v</sup>	0.85 (1)	2.05 (1)	2.867 (4)	161 (2)
O3 <i>W</i> —H3 <i>WA</i> ···O11 <sup>vi</sup>	0.85 (1)	2.02(1)	2.843 (4)	162 (2)
$O3W - H3WB \cdots O4W^{vi}$	0.85 (2)	1.80(1)	2.576 (6)	152 (2)
O4 <i>W</i> —H4 <i>WB</i> ⋯O11 <sup>vi</sup>	0.85 (2)	2.28 (2)	3.038 (4)	150 (2)
O4 <i>W</i> —H4 <i>WA</i> ⋯O2 <i>W</i>	0.85 (1)	1.98 (1)	2.799 (5)	162 (2)

Symmetry codes: (v) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (vi) –*x*, *y*+1/2, –*z*.

Summary of transition metal complexes of general formula  $Tr(pht)_{n1}(bpy)_{n2}(H_2O)_{n3}.(H_2O)_{n4}$ , represented by the shorthand notation n1:n2:n3:(n4)

CSD refcode	Tr	Space group	a (Å)	<i>b</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	α (°)	$\beta$ (°)	γ (°)	$V(Å^3)$	ho (g cm <sup>-1</sup> )
Group A,										
formula										
1:1:2:(2)										
BASMIS0	l Mn	P2/n	7.69	11.59	10.75	90	92.67	90	957.16	1.552
JEKTUP	Zn	P2/n	7.63	11.32	10.81	90	92.44	90	933.35	1.629
NEDXEZ	Cd	P2/n	7.79	11.65	10.70	90	92.59	90	969.73	1.729
NEDXOJ0	1Co	P2/n	7.61	11.36	10.81	90	92.28	90	933.65	1.605

							sup	plemer	ntary m	aterials
QEHCUC	Fe	P2/n	7.65	11.39	10.79	90	92.67	90	939.15	1.585
TEJKUP*	Cu	P2/c	7.70	11.10	12.97	90	123.7	90	922.25	1.642
WIPFOR*	Ni	P2/c	7.62	11.37	12.95	90	123.6	90	934.16	1.604
Group <i>B</i> , formula 2:1:0:(0)										
FEQSOK	Cd	<i>I</i> 4 <sub>1</sub> 22	8.29	8.29	33.75	90	90	90	2321.90	1.713
LESBEQ	Co	I4122	8.16	8.16	32.93	90	90	90	2190.30	1.654
Group <i>C</i> , formula 2:2:0:(0) IZASIL	Zn	$P\overline{1}$	7.51	10	22.08	84.31	88.08	71.02	1560.50	1.507
Group <i>D</i> , formula 1:1:2:(0.5) WEDNEA	Ni	P4 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2	11.30	11.27	29.90	90	90	90	3796.60	1.484
Group <i>E</i> , formula 1:1:1:(3)										
KAGQEP	Ni	$P2_1$	11.10	8.38	11.27	90	115.4	90	949.42	1.578
(I)	Со		11.10	8.40	11.37	90	115.6	90	956.85	1.566

(\*): In spite of being refined in space group P2/c, these structures are isomorphous with those described in P2/n.

 $\pi$ - $\pi$  contacts (Å, °)

Cg…Cg′	CCD (Å)	IPD (Å)	DA (°)	
Cg1···Cg2	3.5839 (19)	3.48 (5)	16.42 (16)	

Cg1 is the centroid of the C11/C21/C31/C41/C51/C61 ring and Cg2 that of the N12/C12/C22/C32/C42/C52 ring. IPD is the interplanar distance, CCD the centre-to-centre distance and DA the dihedral angle between planes; for details, see Janiak (2000).